


# World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Surgery*

*World J Gastrointest Surg* 2022 September 27; 14(9): 877-1088



## Contents

Monthly Volume 14 Number 9 September 27, 2022

## MINIREVIEWS

- 877 Oncologic aspects of the decision-making process for surgical approach for colorectal liver metastases progressing during chemotherapy  
*Araujo RLC, Carvalho CGCY, Maeda CT, Milani JM, Bugano DG, de Moraes PHZ, Linhares MM*
- 887 Research progress on the immune microenvironment of the gallbladder in patients with cholesterol gallstones  
*Jiao JY, Zhu XJ, Zhou C, Wang P*

## ORIGINAL ARTICLE

## Retrospective Study

- 896 Central pancreatectomy for benign or low-grade malignant pancreatic tumors in the neck and body of the pancreas  
*Chen YW, Xu J, Li X, Chen W, Gao SL, Shen Y, Zhang M, Wu J, Que RS, Yu J, Liang TB, Bai XL*
- 904 Irinotecan- vs oxaliplatin-based regimens for neoadjuvant chemotherapy in colorectal liver metastasis patients: A retrospective study  
*Liu W, Chen FL, Wang K, Bao Q, Wang HW, Jin KM, Xing BC*
- 918 Predictors of difficult endoscopic resection of submucosal tumors originating from the muscularis propria layer at the esophagogastric junction  
*Wang YP, Xu H, Shen JX, Liu WM, Chu Y, Duan BS, Lian JJ, Zhang HB, Zhang L, Xu MD, Cao J*
- 930 Liver transplantation with simultaneous splenectomy increases risk of cancer development and mortality in hepatocellular carcinoma patients  
*Fan HL, Hsieh CB, Kuo SM, Chen TW*
- 940 Development of an innovative nomogram of risk factors to predict postoperative recurrence of gastrointestinal stromal tumors  
*Guan SH, Wang Q, Ma XM, Qiao WJ, Li MZ, Lai MG, Wang C*
- 950 Comparison of short-term efficacy between totally laparoscopic gastrectomy and laparoscopic assisted gastrectomy for elderly patients with gastric cancer  
*Zhao RY, Li HH, Zhang KC, Cui H, Deng H, Gao JW, Wei B*
- 963 Personal predictive model based on systemic inflammation markers for estimation of postoperative pancreatic fistula following pancreaticoduodenectomy  
*Long ZD, Lu C, Xia XG, Chen B, Xing ZX, Bie L, Zhou P, Ma ZL, Wang R*
- 976 Feasible management of median arcuate ligament syndrome in orthotopic liver transplantation recipients  
*Li SX, Fan YH, Tian GY, Lv GY*

- 986** Study of preoperative diagnostic modalities in Chinese patients with superficial esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

*Zeng YT, Sun YY, Tan WC, Luo SA, Zou BH, Luo GY, Huang CY*

#### Observational Study

- 997** Oesophageal cancer metastases: An observational study of a more aggressive approach

*Pickett L, Dunne M, Monaghan O, Grogan L, Breathnach O, Walsh TN*

- 1008** Change of tumor-infiltrating lymphocyte of associating liver partition and portal vein ligation for staged hepatectomy for hepatocellular carcinoma

*Wang W, Deng ZF, Wang JL, Zhang L, Bao L, Xu BH, Zhu H, Guo Y, Wen Z*

- 1026** Blood index panel for gastric cancer detection

*Guo GH, Xie YB, Zhang PJ, Jiang T*

#### Randomized Controlled Trial

- 1037** Effect of cardiac output - guided hemodynamic management on acute lung injury in pediatric living donor liver transplantation

*Dou XJ, Wang QP, Liu WH, Weng YQ, Sun Y, Yu WL*

#### SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS

- 1049** Minimally invasive endoscopic repair of rectovaginal fistula

*Zeng YX, He YH, Jiang Y, Jia F, Zhao ZT, Wang XF*

#### META-ANALYSIS

- 1060** Laparoscopic appendectomy, stump closure and endoloops: A meta-analysis

*Zorzetti N, Lauro A, Bellini MI, Vaccari S, Dalla Via B, Cervellera M, Cirocchi R, Sorrenti S, D'Andrea V, Tonini V*

#### CASE REPORT

- 1072** Retrorectal mucinous adenocarcinoma arising from a tailgut cyst: A case report and review of literature

*Wang YS, Guo QY, Zheng FH, Huang ZW, Yan JL, Fan FX, Liu T, Ji SX, Zhao XF, Zheng YX*

#### LETTER TO THE EDITOR

- 1082** Successful treatment of acute symptomatic extensive portal venous system thrombosis by 7-day systemic thrombolysis

*Gao FB, Wang L, Zhang WX, Shao XD, Guo XZ, Qi XS*

- 1086** Prediction factors for ischemia of closed-loop small intestinal obstruction

*Pavlidis ET, Pavlidis TE*

**ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*, Shu-You Peng, FACS, FRCP (Hon), MD, Full Professor, Department of Surgery, Medical School of Zhejiang University, Hangzhou 310009, Zhejiang Province, China. zrwkpsy@zju.edu.cn

**AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery* (WJGS, *World J Gastrointest Surg*) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal surgery with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGS mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal surgery and covering a wide range of topics including biliary tract surgical procedures, biliopancreatic diversion, colectomy, esophagectomy, esophagostomy, pancreas transplantation, and pancreatectomy, etc.

**INDEXING/ABSTRACTING**

The WJGS is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, PubMed, PubMed Central, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJGS as 2.505; IF without journal self cites: 2.473; 5-year IF: 3.099; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.49; Ranking: 104 among 211 journals in surgery; Quartile category: Q2; Ranking: 81 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q4.

**RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Rui-Rui Wu, Production Department Director: Xiang Li, Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

**NAME OF JOURNAL**

*World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**ISSN**

ISSN 1948-9366 (online)

**LAUNCH DATE**

November 30, 2009

**FREQUENCY**

Monthly

**EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Peter Schemmer

**EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/editorialboard.htm>

**PUBLICATION DATE**

September 27, 2022

**COPYRIGHT**

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

**INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204>

**GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287>

**GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>

**PUBLICATION ETHICS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288>

**PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208>

**ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242>

**STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS**

<https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239>

**ONLINE SUBMISSION**

<https://www.f6publishing.com>





Retrospective Study

## Feasible management of median arcuate ligament syndrome in orthotopic liver transplantation recipients

Shu-Xuan Li, Ye-Hui Fan, Guang-Yao Tian, Guo-Yue Lv

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review report's scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0  
Grade B (Very good): B  
Grade C (Good): C  
Grade D (Fair): 0  
Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Kazmi SSH, Norway; Luyer MDP, Netherlands

**Received:** June 6, 2022

**Peer-review started:** June 6, 2022

**First decision:** July 12, 2022

**Revised:** July 22, 2022

**Accepted:** August 25, 2022

**Article in press:** August 25, 2022

**Published online:** September 27, 2022



**Shu-Xuan Li, Guang-Yao Tian, Guo-Yue Lv**, Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130021, Jilin Province, China

**Ye-Hui Fan**, Department of The First Operation Room, The First Hospital of Jilin University, Changchun 130021, Jilin Province, China

**Corresponding author:** Guo-Yue Lv, PhD, Dean, Professor, Surgeon, Department of Hepatobiliary and Pancreatic Surgery, The First Hospital of Jilin University, No. 1 Xinmin Street, Changchun 130021, Jilin Province, China. [lvgy@jlu.edu.cn](mailto:lvgy@jlu.edu.cn)

### Abstract

#### BACKGROUND

In orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) recipients, median arcuate ligament syndrome (MALS) is considered a risk factor for hepatic arterial thrombosis (HAT), which is dreadful for OLT recipients. Different alternative surgical procedures have been proposed to overcome the impact of MALS on transplantation, but clinical evidence is still scarce.

#### AIM

To evaluate the feasible surgical management of MALS to reduce complications in OLT patients.

#### METHODS

Data for 288 consecutive patients who underwent OLT at The First Hospital of Jilin University between January 2017 and July 2020 were retrospectively reviewed. The surgical management of median arcuate ligament (MAL) and modifications to the arterial anastomosis were recorded. The perioperative and long-term prognosis of MALS recipients were noted. Detailed preoperative and postoperative data of patients were analyzed in a descriptive manner.

#### RESULTS

Eight patients with MALS were included in this study. The first patient with MALS received no intervention during the primary surgery and developed postoperative HAT. Salvage liver transplantation with MAL division was successfully performed. Gastroduodenal artery (GDA) preservation with splenic artery ligation was performed on three patients, only GDA preservation was performed on two patients, and no intervention was performed on two patients. No patient developed HAT after surgery and postoperative recovery was

satisfactory.

### CONCLUSION

The preservation of collateral circulation between the superior mesenteric artery and celiac trunk *via* the GDA with or without splenic artery ligation is a safe and feasible alternative to MAL division.

**Key Words:** Orthotopic liver transplantation; Median arcuate ligament syndrome; Surgical complications; Surgical management; Hepatic artery thrombosis

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Core Tip:** This retrospective single-center study analyzed diagnosis, surgical procedure and outcome of 8 patients with median arcuate ligament syndrome (MALS). In eight patients with MALS, orthotopic liver transplantation without median arcuate ligament (MAL) division and celiac trunk-aorta bypass ensured adequate hepatic arterial blood flow. No new onset hepatic arterial thrombosis was observed. The study suggests that without intraoperative MAL release, one cannot ensure adequate hepatic artery flow and prevent hepatic arterial thrombosis.

**Citation:** Li SX, Fan YH, Tian GY, Lv GY. Feasible management of median arcuate ligament syndrome in orthotopic liver transplantation recipients. *World J Gastrointest Surg* 2022; 14(9): 976-985

**URL:** <https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-9366/full/v14/i9/976.htm>

**DOI:** <https://dx.doi.org/10.4240/wjgs.v14.i9.976>

## INTRODUCTION

Orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) is the most effective treatment for end-stage liver disease[1]. Although the operative technique for OLT has been standardized, postoperative hepatic arterial thrombosis (HAT) remains a rare but dreadful complication[2-4]. Previous studies have demonstrated that factors associated with HAT include anastomotic stenosis, anastomosis inversion, arterial tortuosity, acute cellular rejection, transfusion and other rare factors. Median arcuate ligament syndrome (MALS) is one of the rare causes of HAT[5-7]. MALS refers to an extrinsic compression of the celiac axis caused by the fibrous ligament known as the MAL and periaortic ganglionic tissue[8]. The condition was first reported as a post-mortem finding by Lipshutz[9] in 1917. Harjola[10] and Dunbar *et al*[11] successfully performed median arcuate ligament (MAL) release operations in 1963 and 1965, respectively. MALS can reduce the hepatic blood flow velocity from 425 cm/s to 200 cm/s[12]. This indicates that MALS can disrupt the hepatic artery hemodynamics, which is considered a high-risk factor for HAT in OLT recipients[12,13]. Thus, timely recognition and management of MALS is of major importance for transplant surgeons. Different surgical procedures have been proposed to overcome the impact of MALS on transplantation, but clinical evidence is still scarce with regard to the surgical treatment of MALS. In this retrospective study, we evaluated the surgical management of MALS to reduce complications in OLT patients.


## MATERIALS AND METHODS

### Patients

The data for 288 consecutive patients who underwent OLT at The First Hospital of Jilin University between January 2017 and July 2020 were retrospectively reviewed. All patients received liver grafts from cardiac death donors. Patients without adequate preoperative images as well as those who received simultaneous liver-kidney transplantation and pediatric liver transplantations were excluded. The collected data included preoperative data on celiac trunk stenosis and MALS, surgical procedures for MALS as well as postoperative short- and long-term follow-up details. The investigators obtained approval from the Ethics Committee of The First Hospital of Jilin University. All patients provided written informed consent for the procedures.

### Preoperative computed tomographic angiography

All OLT recipients underwent preoperative computed tomographic angiography (CTA) (Figure 1). End-inspiratory arterial phase, end-expiratory portal venous phase and sagittal arterial reconstruction were



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v14.i9.976 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

**Figure 1** Computed tomography images of orthotopic liver transplantation recipients with median arcuate syndrome in the sagittal plane.

A: Patient with median arcuate ligament syndrome showing stenosis of the celiac trunk due to compression by the median arcuate ligament and the post-stenotic dilation (arrow); B: Abundant collateral circulation between the superior mesenteric artery and the celiac trunk (arrow).

examined. Vascular abnormalities were evaluated by a senior staff radiologist and the transplant surgeon to determine the operative approach. According to stenosis rate, length of stenosis and distance from aorta, Sugae *et al*[14] classified MALS to three types. The rate of type A stenosis should be less than 50%, its length should be less than 3 mm, and its position should be more than 5 mm from the aorta. The rate of type B stenosis should be between 50 and 80 percent, its length should be between 3 and 8 mm, and its position should be greater than 5 mm from the aorta. The rate of type C stenosis should exceed 80%, its length should exceed 8 mm, and its position should be less than 5 mm from the aorta. MALS was defined based on extrinsic compression on the celiac trunk due to MAL, post-stenotic dilatation, and patients diagnosed with MALS should exhibit at least one or more of the following symptoms postprandial pain, weight loss and small meals as described previously[8,15].

### **Surgical management of MALS**

OLT recipients with suspected or confirmed MALS on pre-operative imaging underwent detailed evaluation of the collateral circulation between the superior mesenteric artery and the celiac trunk based on the pre-operative imaging and intraoperative findings. Gastroduodenal arteries (GDAs) with abundant collateral branches were clamped to determine whether the hepatic arterial flow or pulse was reduced. If clamping decreased the hepatic arterial flow, then the GDA and collateral branches were preserved. The hepatic artery/splenic artery patch from the donor and right/left hepatic artery patch from the recipient were used for branch patch anastomosis (Figure 2). If hepatic arterial flow was not affected by GDA clamping, the hepatic artery/GDA patch from the recipient and hepatic artery/splenic artery patch from the donor was used for branch patch anastomosis as a standard arterial revascularization method (Figure 3). After the anastomosis, the intrahepatic arterial blood flow was evaluated using Doppler ultrasound. If the blood flow was not satisfactory (hepatic arterial blood flow rate < 50 cm/s), after assessing the potential for splenic artery steal syndrome, the splenic artery was ligated and the hepatic arterial flow and pulse was tested again. Surgical division of MAL or celiac trunk-aorta bypass was performed when the hepatic arterial flow remained poor despite all the above measures.

Postoperatively, Doppler ultrasound was used periodically: every 12 h during the first week, twice per week until discharged, and once a week for 3 mo to monitor hepatic artery anastomosis. If Doppler ultrasound revealed any abnormal findings, such as HAT as defined by resistive index (RI) < 0.5 and hepatic artery blood flow < 39 cm/s[16] combined with elevated liver enzymes and bilirubin suggestive of hepatocellular injury, CTA was performed immediately to determine the status of hepatic artery anastomosis and initiate the timely salvage of the liver graft if required.

If there were no other signs, the patients received standard prophylaxis of thromboembolism for 6 wk post-OLT and no anticoagulant therapy was used.

## **RESULTS**


### **Patient characteristics and perioperative outcomes**

Among 288 patients who received OLT, eight were diagnosed with MALS (Figure 1). The mean recipient age was 59 years. There were four men and four women. The warm ischemia time for the liver graft ranged from 12 s to 41 s and the cold ischemia time ranged from 452 min to 632 min. The median follow-up was 20 mo. Other patient characteristics are presented in Table 1. The surgical details for the

**Table 1 Characteristics and prognoses of patients with median arcuate ligament syndrome who received orthotopic liver transplantation**

Characteristics and prognoses	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8
Age, donor/recipient	55/52	54/53	67/66	45/48	62/63	52/62	56/38	50/63
Sex, donor/recipient	F/F	M/F	M/M	F/M	M/M	M/F	M/M	M/F
BMI, donor/recipient	20/19	22/22	22/23	21/19	23/20	26/21	22/20	25/21
Donor cause of death	CVA	CVA	Trauma	CVA	Trauma	CVA	CVA	Trauma
The primary disease	PBC	AIH	AIH	Viral	Viral	Viral	Viral	HCC
MALS type	B	B	B	C	A	B	A	A
Cold ischemic time in min	608	348	461	582	586	510	550	458
Warm ischemic time in s	19	15	41	29	12	26	15	16
Intraoperative blood loss in mL	1800	1500	2850	3000	7000	300	1000	2000
Intra-operative red blood cell transfusions in U	4	20	10.5	22	27	9	8	16.5
Intra-operative fresh frozen plasma transfusions in mL	1000	2350	1200	950	3600	960	420	960
Operation time in min	485	580	526	538	632	556	560	452
Intraoperative hepatic arterial blood flow rate in cm/s	NA	80	90	50	60	65	50	53
Hepatic arterial blood flow rate on discharge in cm/s	80	85	102	64	65	70	60	68
Hospital stay in d	17	28	39	18	21	17	17	15

AIH: Autoimmune hepatitis; BMI: Body mass index; CVA: Cerebrovascular accident; F: Female; HCC: Hepatocellular carcinoma; M: Male; NA: Not available; PBC: Primary biliary cirrhosis.



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v14.i9.976 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

**Figure 2 Intraoperative photograph.** A: Median arcuate ligament division; B: The hepatic artery/splenic artery patch from the donor and the right/left hepatic artery patch from the recipient were used for branch patch anastomosis with preservation of the gastroduodenal artery. MAL: Median arcuate ligament; CT: Computed tomography; HA/SA: Hepatic artery/splenic artery; RHA/LHA: Right/left hepatic artery.

recipients with MALS are shown in Table 2.


For the first patient, due to a lack of knowledge about MALS, no intervention for celiac trunk stenosis caused by MAL was performed during the first operation and standard revascularization was performed. On the ninth postoperative day, the total and direct bilirubin reached 210 mmol/L and 130 mmol/L, respectively. Markers of hepatocellular injury increased (alanine aminotransferase 337.5 U/L, aspartate aminotransferase 88.9 U/L). The hepatic flow rate decreased to 10 cm/s and the resistive index dropped to 0.4, suggestive of HAT. On exploratory laparotomy, there was extensive thrombosis in the hepatic artery around the anastomosis. Thrombectomy was performed and hepatic arterial blood flow was restored after re-anastomosis. However, there was no intrahepatic blood flow on Doppler ultrasound, probably due to intrahepatic arterial thrombosis. Thrombolytic therapy with alteplase was



**Table 2** Details about hepatic arterial reconstruction

No.	Donor arterial patch	Recipient arterial patch	Ligament lysis	GDA preservation	Splenic artery ligation
1	Celiac truck	Hepatic/gastroduodenal artery patch	Yes	No	No
2	Hepatic/splenic artery patch	Right/left hepatic artery patch	No	Yes	Yes
3	Common hepatic artery	Right/left hepatic artery patch	No	Yes	Yes
4	Hepatic/splenic artery patch	Right/left hepatic artery patch	No	Yes	Yes
5	Common Hepatic artery	Right/left hepatic artery patch	No	Yes	No
6	(1) Gastroduodenal artery; (2) common hepatic artery	(1) Right hepatic artery from the superior mesenteric artery; (2) proper hepatic artery	No	Yes	No
7	Hepatic/splenic artery patch	Hepatic/gastroduodenal artery patch	No	No	No
8	Hepatic/splenic artery patch	Hepatic/gastroduodenal artery patch	No	No	No

GDA: Gastroduodenal artery.



DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v14.i9.976 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

**Figure 3** Schematic diagram showing different types of patch anastomoses performed in this study. A: Donor: celiac trunk; recipient: hepatic/gastroduodenal artery (GDA) patch. Median arcuate ligament (MAL) was divided. Splenic artery was not ligated; B: Donor: hepatic/splenic artery (HA/SA) patch; recipient: right/left hepatic artery (RHA/LHA) patch; MAL was not divided. GDA was preserved. Splenic artery was ligated; C: Donor: common hepatic artery (CHA); recipient: RHA/LHA patch; MAL was not divided. GDA was preserved. Splenic artery was ligated; D: Donor: CHA; recipient: RHA/LHA patch; MAL was not divided. GDA was preserved. Splenic artery was not ligated; E: Donor: (1) GDA; and (2) CHA; recipient: (1) aberrant right hepatic artery; and (2) right/left hepatic artery patch; MAL was not divided. GDA was preserved. Splenic artery was not ligated; F: Donor: HA/SA patch; recipient: hepatic/GDA patch; MAL was not divided. Splenic artery was not ligated. MAL: Median arcuate ligament; CT: Computed tomography; HA/SA: Hepatic artery/splenic artery; RHA/LHA: Right/left hepatic artery; GDA: Gastroduodenal artery; SMA: Superior mesenteric artery; CHA: Common hepatic artery.

given but failed to restore the intrahepatic blood flow. Six hours later, salvage liver transplantation was performed and the MAL was divided (Figure 2A and 3A, Table 1 and 2). Postoperatively, the hepatic blood flow rate increased to 70-87 cm/s.

The remaining six patients had normal preoperative hepatic arterial flow. Four patients had abundant collateral circulation between the superior mesenteric artery and the celiac trunk *via* GDA (Figure 1B), thus GDA was preserved and the hepatic artery/splenic artery patch from the donor and right/left hepatic artery patch from the recipient were used for branch patch anastomosis (Figure 2B).

In three patients, low hepatic arterial flow rate was detected using Doppler ultrasound during the operation with patent anastomosis. Consequently, splenic artery steal syndrome was evaluated when RI was greater than 0.8 and hepatic artery blood flow was less than 35 cm/s[17]. Hepatic artery blood flow returned to normal after splenic artery ligation, and no HAT occurred after surgery (Figures 3B-D).

Another patient with aberrant right hepatic artery received two anastomoses. The first anastomosis was performed between the recipient right hepatic artery from the superior mesenteric artery and the donor GDA. The second anastomosis was done between the recipient's proper hepatic artery and the donor common hepatic artery (Figure 3E).

Two patients received standard arterial revascularization without preservation of the GDA or splenic artery ligation (Figure 3F).

The seven MALS patients without MAL division had satisfactory hepatic arterial blood flow after the operation. All eight patients had adequate hepatic arterial blood flow at discharge, as presented in Table 1.

### Long-term outcomes of patients with MALS

The median follow-up was 19 mo (range: 10-29 mo). All the patients are alive. Among these eight patients, seven of them are healthy without complications. One patient developed biliary stricture 2 mo after surgery, which was successfully managed with endoscopic retrograde cholangiography and biliary stenting.

## DISCUSSION

In MALS, the coeliac artery gets compressed by the MAL, leading to reduced blood flow in the hepatic artery[12,13,18-20]. Because the blood flow in the hepatic artery is significantly reduced, it predisposes the patients to HAT after OLT, which leads to graft failure in 50% of cases and re-transplantation[2,21-24]. MALS patients with normal hemodynamics usually have no or little clinical symptoms before OLT. However, in the postoperative phase after OLT, patients may develop severe hemodynamic restrictions in hepatic arterial flow, which increases the risk of HAT[25]. Hence, an appropriate preoperative surgical plan should be developed for OLT patients with MALS. The reported incidence of MALS after liver transplantation varies from 2% to 12%[21,26,27]. The low incidence of MALS in previous reports may be due to insufficient awareness of this disease and limited diagnostic methods. Currently, the extensive application of contrast enhance computed tomographic (CECT) has improved the diagnostic rate of MALS.

Recurrent post-prandial epigastric pain, weight loss, nausea or vomiting and abdominal pain after exercise is common symptoms of MALS. Eight patients in this study had a history of epigastric pain and weight loss, but these symptoms were attributed to chronic hepatitis and decompensated liver cirrhosis. Therefore, the diagnosis of MALS is partly clinical and mainly based on radiology. Celiac axis stenosis caused by MAL appears similar to a hook on CECT during sagittal reconstruction[28]. Abundant collateral branches, post-stenotic dilation and thickening of the MAL can also help in the diagnosis of MALS. Angiography used to be a routine test for detecting aberrant arterial vessels but is now used selectively for suspected cases in arterial dynamic studies[21,28]. Gruber *et al*[29] found that the combination of a maximum end-expiratory velocity over 350 cm/s in the celiac trunk and a deflection angle higher than 50°, detected using functional ultrasound, was a reliable diagnostic method for MALS. At our center, we routinely perform CTA on OLT patients to detect vascular variations and MALS.

Sugae *et al*[14] classified MALS into three types according to the stenosis rate, length of stenosis, distance from the aorta and collateral pathways. According to the different types, it has been suggested that type A MALS should not be manipulated, while type B and type C usually require surgery to maintain the blood supply of the hepatic artery.

Cassar *et al*[24] reported the fourth type in which coeliac artery compression from MAL is at the origin of splenic artery and surgical intervention is required to restore hepatic artery flow during liver transplantation. These suggestions are all based on maintaining the hepatic blood to the liver graft, as it is sensitive to hemodynamic changes. Therefore, whether an intervention should be performed for type A needs to be determined carefully. If MAL-related compression is mild with adequate pre- or intraoperative arterial blood flow, surgical division of MAL is not necessary. However, the perioperative hepatic artery flow is determined by various factors, making it difficult to determine whether the blood flow is adequate[8]. Golse *et al*[30] used intraoperative contrast-enhanced Doppler ultrasonography to determine the hepatic blood flow in OLT patients. In their reports, MALS patients who required further treatment and six patients with weak arterial flow without intervention underwent MAL division and the incidence of postoperative vascular complications was significantly reduced. In this study, we determined the hepatic blood flow based on the pulse in the hepatic artery and arterial blood flow rate measured using intraoperative Doppler ultrasonography after anastomosis. In MALS patients, postoperative Doppler ultrasound was used routinely to determine hepatic arterial blood flow.

Currently, there is no consensus on the treatment of MALS in patients who undergo liver transplantation. The various methods reported in the literature are as follows: (1) Endovascular interven-

tional therapy; (2) MLA division to release the extrinsic compression on the celiac axis; (3) Anastomosis of the graft's celiac artery to the recipient's aorta; and (4) Use of gastroduodenal branch-patch anastomosis without MAL division[21].

With the continuous advancements in endovascular interventional therapy, some OLT recipients with MAL have been treated with interventional therapy postoperatively to restore the hepatic blood flow [31,32]. However, the preoperative use of stenting remains controversial, as persistent external compression from the MAL carries a higher risk[21,33].

Recent studies have suggested that regular vascular reconstruction after surgical division of MAL in liver transplant recipients with MALS is safe and effective[13,34]. Czigany *et al*[21] reported a 7-year retrospective study of 34 MALS patients, in which 26 patients received MAL division and four patients required aorto-hepatic conduit construction. Twenty-six patients who underwent surgical division of MAL or alternative reconstruction had no postoperative complications. Three patients with MALS who did not receive any intervention for MALS developed severe vascular complications and one of them required re-transplantation. In their study, preoperative assessment of vascular aberrations and different surgical approaches were planned before the surgery which led to a relatively low HAT rate.

MAL division is a standard treatment for MALS. However, OLT recipients with MALS usually have gastroesophageal varices and extensive collateral vessels between the celiac trunk and superior mesenteric artery, which increases the risk of bleeding during MAL division. The most common collateral circulation is the superior mesenteric artery-pancreaticoduodenal artery-GDA-hepatic artery network. This collateral circulation helps in maintaining hepatic arterial flow in MALS patients after liver transplantation, even without MAL division. Lubrano *et al*[27] reported that one out of 10 patients with MALS underwent MAL division while six patients underwent standard hepatic arterial reconstruction without the division of MAL. None of the 10 patients experienced postoperative vascular complications. In this study, one patient with MALS received standard hepatic arterial reconstruction with GDA ligation. The patient developed HAT during the postoperative period and required a salvage liver transplantation with MAL division. The remaining seven MALS patients were diagnosed with MALS before surgery and had adequate hepatic blood flow preoperatively, determined with Doppler ultrasound. Thus MAL was not divided irrespective of the type. Five patients were found to have abundant collateral circulation between the superior mesenteric artery and the celiac trunk before surgery; therefore, the GDA was preserved intraoperatively. The other two patients had no obvious collateral circulation. Consequently, the GDA was clamped and hepatic arterial blood flow was assessed. Since there was adequate hepatic blood flow despite GDA clamping, GDA ligation with standard hepatic arterial anastomosis was performed. All seven patients had good postoperative hepatic blood flow without HAT. Hence, we believe that in OLT recipients with MALS, preservation of the collateral circulation without MAL division is a safe and feasible procedure. The procedure has fewer complications and makes surgery easier. In addition to collateral preservation, the splenic artery can be ligated if necessary. Additionally, we used the left and right hepatic artery bifurcations to enlarge the anastomosis. If the hepatic artery blood flow is still unsatisfactory with the above measures, the division of MAL may be considered. Hepatic artery-abdominal aorta bypass is the most difficult surgical procedure and can be used as a last resort.

This study has certain limitations. First, this study was a single-center retrospective study. Second, the number of patients was limited. Hence, future studies with larger sample sizes are needed to verify the findings of this study.

## CONCLUSION

Preoperative diagnosis of MALS in OLT recipients is important to prevent HAT. Preservation of collateral circulation with or without splenic artery ligation is an easier surgical technique with shorter operation time and a lower risk of intraoperative complications compared to MAL division and celiac trunk-aorta bypass to ensure adequate hepatic arterial blood flow.

## ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

### Research background

In orthotopic liver transplantation (OLT) recipients, median arcuate ligament syndrome (MALS) is regarded as a risk factor for hepatic artery thrombosis (HAT), a devastating complication of OLT. To counteract the influence of MALS on transplantation, a variety of different surgical methods have been proposed, but clinical evidence is still lacking.

### Research motivation

To increase the survival rate of MALS patients who receive OLT and decrease postoperative complications.

**Research objectives**

To evaluate the efficacy of surgical treatment for MALS to reduce complications in OLT patients in order to improve patient survival and decrease the incidence of postoperative complications.

**Research methods**

A total of 288 consecutive OLT patients at The First Hospital of Jilin University were retrospectively evaluated. Median arcuate ligament (MAL) surgical treatment and arterial anastomosis modification were recorded. Perioperative and long-term MALS prognoses were noted.

**Research results**

In this investigation, eight patients with MALS were enrolled. The first patient with MALS did not get any intervention during the main operation, and afterward developed HAT. Successful salvage liver transplantation with MAL division was accomplished. Gastroduodenal artery (GDA) preservation with splenic artery ligation was performed on three patients, GDA preservation alone was performed on two patients, and no intervention were performed on two patients. After surgery, no patient got HAT and healing was acceptable.

**Research conclusions**

The preservation of collateral circulation between the superior mesenteric artery and celiac trunk *via* the GDA, with or without ligation of the splenic artery, provides a safe and practicable alternative to MAL division.

**Research perspectives**

To provide surgeons with effective and feasible surgical options when they need to perform OLT in MALS patients.

---

**FOOTNOTES**


---

**Author contributions:** Li SX and Fan YH contributed equally to this work; Li SX wrote the original draft of the manuscript; Fan YH was responsible for the revision and editing of the manuscript; Tian GY was responsible for data curation and software; LV GY was responsible for supervision and methodology; All authors issued final approval for the version submitted.

**Supported by** the Science and Technology Department of Jilin Province, No. 20190101002JH.

**Institutional review board statement:** This research was approved by the Ethical Committee of First Hospital of Jilin University.

**Informed consent statement:** The patients provided informed written consent.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The authors have no conflicts of interest to disclose.

**Data sharing statement:** Dataset is available from the corresponding author at [lvgy@jlu.edu.cn](mailto:lvgy@jlu.edu.cn). Participants gave informed consent for data sharing.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: <https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**ORCID number:** Shu-Xuan Li 0000-0001-6809-4283; Ye-Hui Fan 0000-0002-3041-7224; Guang-Yao Tian 0000-0002-1276-5911; Guo-Yue Lv 0000-0001-9115-5945.

**S-Editor:** Zhang H

**L-Editor:** Filipodia

**P-Editor:** Zhang H



## REFERENCES

- 1 **Starzl TE**, Fung JJ. Themes of liver transplantation. *Hepatology* 2010; **51**: 1869-1884 [PMID: [20235333](#) DOI: [10.1002/hep.23595](#)]
- 2 **Oberkofler CE**, Reese T, Raptis DA, Kuemmerli C, de Rougemont O, De Oliveira ML, Schlegel A, Dutkowski P, Clavien PA, Petrowsky H. Hepatic artery occlusion in liver transplantation: What counts more, the type of reconstruction or the severity of the recipient's disease? *Liver Transpl* 2018; **24**: 790-802 [PMID: [29493895](#) DOI: [10.1002/lt.25044](#)]
- 3 **Harrison J**, Harrison M, Doria C. Hepatic Artery Pseudoaneurysm Following Orthotopic Liver Transplantation: Increasing Clinical Suspicion for a Rare but Lethal Pathology. *Ann Transplant* 2017; **22**: 417-424 [PMID: [28684726](#) DOI: [10.12659/aot.903367](#)]
- 4 **Feltracco P**, Barbieri S, Cillo U, Zanusi G, Senzolo M, Ori C. Perioperative thrombotic complications in liver transplantation. *World J Gastroenterol* 2015; **21**: 8004-8013 [PMID: [26185371](#) DOI: [10.3748/wjg.v21.i26.8004](#)]
- 5 **Giorgakis E**, Tedeschi M, Bonaccorsi-Riani E, Khorsandi SE, Menon K, Vilca-Melendez H, Jassem W, Srinivasan P, Prachalias A, Heaton N. The Effect of Recipient Body Mass Index and Its Extremes on Survival and Graft Vascular and Biliary Complications After Liver Transplantation: A Single Center Retrospective Study. *Ann Transplant* 2017; **22**: 611-621 [PMID: [29026064](#) DOI: [10.12659/aot.903475](#)]
- 6 **Puliti Reigada CH**, de Ataíde EC, de Almeida Prado Mattosinho T, Boin IFSF. Hepatic Artery Thrombosis After Liver Transplantation: Five-Year Experience at the State University of Campinas. *Transplant Proc* 2017; **49**: 867-870 [PMID: [28457413](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.transproceed.2017.01.056](#)]
- 7 **Gilbo N**, Van Praet L, Jochmans I, Sainz-Barriga M, Verslype C, Maleux G, Laleman W, van der Merwe S, Cassiman D, Nevens F, Monbaliu D, Pirenne J. Pre-operative trans-catheter arterial chemo-embolization increases hepatic artery thrombosis after liver transplantation - a retrospective study. *Transpl Int* 2018; **31**: 71-81 [PMID: [28869805](#) DOI: [10.1111/tri.13046](#)]
- 8 **Lainas P**, Fuks D, Gaujoux S, Machroub Z, Fregeville A, Perniceni T, Mal F, Dousset B, Gayet B. Preoperative imaging and prediction of oesophageal conduit necrosis after oesophagectomy for cancer. *Br J Surg* 2017; **104**: 1346-1354 [PMID: [28493483](#) DOI: [10.1002/bjs.10558](#)]
- 9 **Lipshutz B**. A composite study of the coeliac axis artery. *Ann Surg* 1917; **65**: 159-169 [PMID: [17863663](#) DOI: [10.1097/00000658-191702000-00006](#)]
- 10 **Harjola PT**. A rare obstruction of the coeliac artery. Report of a case. *Ann Chir Gynaecol Fenn* 1963; **52**: 547-550 [PMID: [14083857](#)]
- 11 **Dunbar JD**, Molnar W, Beman FF, Marable SA. Compression of the celiac trunk and abdominal angina. *Am J Roentgenol Radium Ther Nucl Med* 1965; **95**: 731-744 [PMID: [5844938](#) DOI: [10.2214/ajr.95.3.731](#)]
- 12 **Jiang ZJ**, Liang TB, Feng XN, Wang WL, Shen Y, Zhang M, Wu J, Xu X, Zheng SS. Arcuate ligament syndrome inducing hepatic artery thrombosis after liver transplantation. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2008; **7**: 433-436 [PMID: [18693183](#)]
- 13 **Vilatobá M**, Zamora-Valdés D, Guerrero-Hernández M, Romero-Talamás H, Leal-Villalpando RP, Mercado MA. Arcuate ligament compression as a cause of early-onset thrombosis of the hepatic artery after liver transplantation. *Ann Hepatol* 2011; **10**: 88-92 [PMID: [21301017](#)]
- 14 **Sugae T**, Fujii T, Kadera Y, Kanzaki A, Yamamura K, Yamada S, Sugimoto H, Nomoto S, Takeda S, Nakao A. Classification of the celiac axis stenosis owing to median arcuate ligament compression, based on severity of the stenosis with subsequent proposals for management during pancreatoduodenectomy. *Surgery* 2012; **151**: 543-549 [PMID: [22001637](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.surg.2011.08.012](#)]
- 15 **Horton KM**, Talamini MA, Fishman EK. Median arcuate ligament syndrome: evaluation with CT angiography. *Radiographics* 2005; **25**: 1177-1182 [PMID: [16160104](#) DOI: [10.1148/rg.255055001](#)]
- 16 **Choi EK**, Lu DS, Park SH, Hong JC, Raman SS, Ragavendra N. Doppler US for suspicion of hepatic arterial ischemia in orthotopically transplanted livers: role of central versus intrahepatic waveform analysis. *Radiology* 2013; **267**: 276-284 [PMID: [23297323](#) DOI: [10.1148/radiol.12120557](#)]
- 17 **Pinto S**, Reddy SN, Horrow MM, Ortiz J. Splenic Artery Syndrome after orthotopic liver transplantation: a review. *Int J Surg* 2014; **12**: 1228-1234 [PMID: [25311773](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ijssu.2014.09.012](#)]
- 18 **Agnes S**, Avolio AW, Magalini SC, Frongillo F, Castagneto M. Celiac axis compression syndrome in liver transplantation. *Transplant Proc* 2001; **33**: 1438-1439 [PMID: [11267363](#) DOI: [10.1016/s0041-1345\(00\)02544-6](#)]
- 19 **Sun X**, Fan Z, Qiu W, Chen Y, Jiang C, Lv G. Median arcuate ligament syndrome and arterial anastomotic bleeding inducing hepatic artery thrombosis after liver transplantation: A case report. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2018; **97**: e10947 [PMID: [29923979](#) DOI: [10.1097/MD.00000000000010947](#)]
- 20 **Kazmi SSH**, Safi N, Berge ST, Kazmi M, Sundhagen JO, Hisdal J. Laparoscopic Surgery for Median Arcuate Ligament Syndrome (MALS): A Prospective Cohort of 52 Patients. *Vasc Health Risk Manag* 2022; **18**: 139-151 [PMID: [35356549](#) DOI: [10.2147/VHRM.S350841](#)]
- 21 **Czigany Z**, Boecker J, Morales Santana DA, Bednarsch J, Meister FA, Amygdalos I, Isfort P, Liebl M, Neumann UP, Lurje G. Median Arcuate Ligament Compression in Orthotopic Liver Transplantation: Results from a Single-Center Analysis and a European Survey Study. *J Clin Med* 2019; **8** [PMID: [31018577](#) DOI: [10.3390/jcm8040550](#)]
- 22 **Fujiki M**, Hashimoto K, Palaos E, Quintini C, Aucejo FN, Uso TD, Egtesad B, Miller CM. Probability, management, and long-term outcomes of biliary complications after hepatic artery thrombosis in liver transplant recipients. *Surgery* 2017; **162**: 1101-1111 [PMID: [28859949](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.surg.2017.07.012](#)]
- 23 **Silva MA**, Jambulingam PS, Gunson BK, Mayer D, Buckels JA, Mirza DF, Bramhall SR. Hepatic artery thrombosis following orthotopic liver transplantation: a 10-year experience from a single centre in the United Kingdom. *Liver Transpl* 2006; **12**: 146-151 [PMID: [16382467](#) DOI: [10.1002/Lt.20566](#)]
- 24 **Cassar N**, Gregory S, Menon K. New variation of median arcuate ligament compression causing hepatic arterial hypoperfusion during liver transplantation. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2020; **19**: 394-395 [PMID: [31812365](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.hbpd.2019.11.001](#)]
- 25 **Warner P**, Fusai G, Glantzounis GK, Sabin CA, Rolando N, Patch D, Sharma D, Davidson BR, Rolles K, Burroughs AK.

- Risk factors associated with early hepatic artery thrombosis after orthotopic liver transplantation - univariable and multivariable analysis. *Transpl Int* 2011; **24**: 401-408 [PMID: [21210866](#) DOI: [10.1111/j.1432-2277.2010.01211.x](#)]
- 26 **Jurim O**, Shaked A, Kiai K, Millis JM, Colquhoun SD, Busuttil RW. Celiac compression syndrome and liver transplantation. *Ann Surg* 1993; **218**: 10-12 [PMID: [8328823](#) DOI: [10.1097/0000658-199307000-00003](#)]
  - 27 **Lubrano J**, Scatton O, Randone B, Molinier N, Massault PP, Legmann P, Soubrane O, Dousset B. Median arcuate ligament in orthotopic liver transplantation: relevance to arterial reconstruction. *Transplant Proc* 2008; **40**: 3532-3535 [PMID: [19100431](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.transproceed.2008.07.133](#)]
  - 28 **Vandermeulen M**, Moïse M, Meurisse N, Honoré P, Meurisse M, Meunier P, Detry O. Image in transplantation surgery: median arcuate ligament in liver transplantation. *Acta Chir Belg* 2020; **120**: 217-219 [PMID: [31696795](#) DOI: [10.1080/00015458.2019.1689649](#)]
  - 29 **Gruber H**, Loizides A, Peer S, Gruber I. Ultrasound of the median arcuate ligament syndrome: a new approach to diagnosis. *Med Ultrason* 2012; **14**: 5-9 [PMID: [22396932](#)]
  - 30 **Golse N**, Santoni S, Karam V, Ciacio O, Pittau G, Allard MA, Cherqui D, Sa Cunha A, Adam R, Castaing D, Vibert E. Is Routine Intraoperative Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasonography Useful During Whole Liver Transplantation? *World J Surg* 2018; **42**: 1523-1535 [PMID: [29038827](#) DOI: [10.1007/s00268-017-4295-x](#)]
  - 31 **Yilmaz S**, Ceken K, Gürkan A, Erdoğan O, Demirbaş A, Kabaalioglu A, Sindel T, Lüleci E. Endovascular treatment of a recipient celiac trunk stenosis after orthotopic liver transplantation. *J Endovasc Ther* 2003; **10**: 376-380 [PMID: [12877627](#) DOI: [10.1177/152660280301000234](#)]
  - 32 **Sharafuddin MJ**, Olson CH, Sun S, Kresowik TF, Corson JD. Endovascular treatment of celiac and mesenteric arteries stenoses: applications and results. *J Vasc Surg* 2003; **38**: 692-698 [PMID: [14560214](#) DOI: [10.1016/s0741-5214\(03\)01030-9](#)]
  - 33 **Björck M**, Koelemay M, Acosta S, Bastos Goncalves F, Kölbel T, Kolkman JJ, Lees T, Lefevre JH, Menyhei G, Oderich G; Esvs Guidelines Committee, Kolh P, de Borst GJ, Chakfe N, Debus S, Hinchliffe R, Kakkos S, Koncar I, Sanddal Lindholt J, Vega de Ceniga M, Vermassen F, Verzini F, Document Reviewers, Geelkerken B, Gloviczki P, Huber T, Naylor R. Editor's Choice - Management of the Diseases of Mesenteric Arteries and Veins: Clinical Practice Guidelines of the European Society of Vascular Surgery (ESVS). *Eur J Vasc Endovasc Surg* 2017; **53**: 460-510 [PMID: [28359440](#) DOI: [10.1016/j.ejvs.2017.01.010](#)]
  - 34 **Ali M**, Patel J. Dunbar syndrome following liver transplantation. *BMJ Case Rep* 2016; **2016** [PMID: [26857586](#) DOI: [10.1136/bcr-2015-214168](#)]



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**  
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

**E-mail:** [bpgoffice@wjgnet.com](mailto:bpgoffice@wjgnet.com)

**Help Desk:** <https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk>

<https://www.wjgnet.com>

