**Name of Journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78517

**Manuscript Type:** CASE REPORT

**Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: A case report**

Wang J *et al*. Primary hepatic angiosarcoma

Jian Wang, Li-Tao Sun

**Jian Wang, Li-Tao Sun,** Cancer Center, Department of Ultrasound Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital, Affiliated People’s Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College, Hangzhou 310014, Zhejiang Province, China

**Author contributions:** Wang J drafted the manuscript; Sun LT revised the manuscript.

**Corresponding author: Li-Tao Sun, PhD, Doctor,** Cancer Center, Department of Ultrasound Medicine, Zhejiang Provincial People’s Hospital, Affiliated People’s Hospital, Hangzhou Medical College, No. 158 Shangtang Road, Gongshu District, Hangzhou 310014, Zhejiang Province, China. litaosun1971@sina.com

**Received:** June 30, 2022

**Revised:** August 17, 2022

**Accepted:** September 23, 2022

**Published online:** November 6, 2022

**Abstract**

BACKGROUND

Primary hepatic angiosarcoma (PHA) is a rare malignant tumor of the vascular endothelium. Clinical manifestations and laboratory and imaging examinations often lack specificity for PHA. We report a case of PHA, and describe the ultrasound characteristics and characteristic changes in laboratory values associated with PHA.

CASE SUMMARY

A 75-year-old woman presented with right upper quadrant abdominal pain for half a month. Magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) at a local hospital revealed multiple liver space-occupying lesions, and she was admitted to our hospital for further diagnosis. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS) revealed multiple slightly hyperechoic nodules in the liver, which were suspected to be of malignant vascular origin. Contrast-enhanced computed tomography revealed multiple low-density nodules in the liver, considered to be metastatic hematopoietic malignancies. Contrast-enhanced MRI showed that the multiple liver nodules shared features with infectious lesions. Laboratory examination revealed normal alpha-fetoprotein levels, slightly increased other liver enzymes, decreased platelets, and significantly increased D-dimer levels. Liver biopsy and histopathology confirmed the presence of PHA.

CONCLUSION

CEUS can provide valuable clues for the diagnosis of PHA and greatly improve the success rate of puncture biopsy.

**Key Words:** Primary hepatic angiosarcoma; Ultrasonic diagnosis; Imaging; Pathology; Case report

**©The** **Author(s) 2022.** Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

**Citation**: Wang J, Sun LT. Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: A case report. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(31): 11590-11596

**URL**: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i31/11590.htm

**DOI**: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i31.11590

**Core Tip:** In this study, we showed a rare reported disease named primary hepatic angiosarcoma (PHA). In this case report, we focused on diagnosing PHA by contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS). Meanwhile, we introduced a new ultrasound technology, and CEUS has many specific signs in the diagnosis and differential diagnosis of PHA. It has great advantages in displaying microperfusion, microvessels and necrosis of PHA and has great clinical value in diagnosing PHA. Our findings regarding CEUS contribute to the more accurate and earlier diagnosis of PHA and provide a longer survival time in the future.

**INTRODUCTION**

Primary hepatic angiosarcoma (PHA) is an invasive malignant stromal tumor that is extremely rare, accounting for approximately 2% of primary liver tumors. Studies have proposed that PHA is related to chemical pollution[1]. The clinical manifestations and laboratory tests for PHA lack specificity; therefore, imaging examinations are important for diagnosing PHA. Current studies have focused on the description of computed tomography (CT) and magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) of PHA; however, reports on ultrasound, especially contrast-enhanced ultrasound (CEUS), are still limited[2,3]. Herein, we report the case of a patient with PHA, rectal cancer, and syphilis.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

A 75-year-old woman presented with right upper quadrant abdominal pain.

***History of present illness***

The patient experienced sudden right upper quadrant abdominal pain for half a month without obvious induction. MRI at the local hospital revealed multiple space-occupying hepatic lesions. The patient was admitted to our hospital for further evaluation.

***History of past illness***

The patient had a history of hypertension, syphilis (active period and receiving treatment), lower extremity deep venous thrombosis, and pulmonary embolism.

***Personal and family history***

The patient revealed no pertinent personal or family history.

***Physical examination***

Physical examination revealed marked tenderness in the right upper quadrant of the abdomen and with no obvious abnormalities during the remainder of the examination.

***Laboratory examinations***

Tumor biomarkers including neuron-specific enolase of 50.4 ng/mL (normal range: 0.0-20.0 ng/mL), human epididymal protein of 174.3 pmol/L (normal range: 0.0-121.0 pmol/L), carbohydrate antigen 125 of 139.4 U/mL (normal range: 0.0-35.0 U/mL), gastrin-releasing peptide precursor of 109.2 pg/mL (normal range: 25.0-78.0 pg/mL). The D-dimer level was 31200 μg/L (normal range: 0.0-550.0 μg/L), and the platelet count was 39 × 109/L (normal range: 125.0-350.0 × 109/L).

***Imaging examinations***

The patient underwent contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) and contrast-enhanced MRI (CEMRI) at our hospital, which revealed multiple low-density nodules with mild enhancement in the liver, considered to have metastasized from hematopoietic malignancies; moreover, CEMRI suggested infectious lesions (Figure 1). Conventional ultrasound revealed multiple slightly hyperechoic nodules with unclear boundaries and loose inner structures in the liver; some exhibited vascular-like structures and anechoic areas; therefore, we suspected angiogenic tumors (Figure 2). CEUS and liver needle biopsy were performed for further diagnosis. CEUS revealed nodular peripheral enhancement in the arterial and portal phases and low enhancement in the late phase. Non-enhanced areas appeared in the nodules, suggesting angiogenic malignant tumors (Figure 3). PHA was pathologically diagnosed based on the needle biopsy results.

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

Liver biopsy results confirmed that the nodules were malignant. Immunohistochemistry supported the presence of angiogenesis, indicating angiosarcoma. Immunohistochemical staining revealed the following: CD34 (+), CD31 (+), CK (Pan) (-), CK7 (-), desmin (-), WT (-), and Ki67 (hot spot 60%) (Figure 4).

**TREATMENT**

Due to the multiple metastases and poor body condition, the patient had missed the opportunity to receive the optimal treatment. Next, tislelizumab injection was used as antitumor therapy. Moreover, some measures, including blood transfusions, were used for symptomatic treatment.

**OUTCOME AND FOLLOW-UP**

After discharge from our hospital, the patient received follow-up evaluations and symptomatic treatment at a local hospital. Ultimately, the patient died of septic shock due to poor physical condition.

**DISCUSSION**

PHA has a low incidence rate, occult onset, and an unknown etiology. Studies have indicated that 25% of PHA are related to exposure to vinyl chloride, thorium oxide, arsenic, and radium; however, most are idiopathic. PHA is associated with high invasiveness, easy recurrence and metastasis, poor prognosis, and a short survival time, generally between 6 and 16 mo[4]. According to morphology, it may present as large masses, diffuse nodules, and multiple nodules[5].

As the disease onset is insidious, symptoms such as pain, weakness, fatigue, and weight loss are usually caused by the secondary effects of the tumor. To date, PHA lacks specific tumor markers. Studies have reported that PHA is closely related to D-dimer and platelet levels, manifesting as increased D-dimer levels and thrombocytopenia. Physiological conditions caused by malignant endodermic cells can promote platelet adhesion and activation, leading to the excessive consumption of platelets and coagulation factors at the tumor site[6,7].

Imaging is an effective method for preliminary diagnosis. Conventional ultrasound examination of this case suggested angiogenic tumors; however, it is difficult to distinguish between hemangioma, metastasis, and hepatocellular carcinomas. In particular, it seems more difficult to distinguish it from metastasis from an earlier rectal cancer. The patient underwent CEUS and CECT, as well as CEMRI for further diagnosis. CEUS revealed nodular peripheral enhancement in the arterial and portal phases and low enhancement in the late phase, accompanied by non-enhanced areas in the nodules. These non-enhanced areas were filled with hemorrhagic, necrotic, and fibrous components, resulting in the non-enhanced signs[8]. This case differed from the angiography mode of hemangioma, metastasis, and hepatocellular carcinomas. CEUS of the hemangioma revealed nodular hyperenhancement in the arterial phase, which continued into the delayed phase. Among metastatic tumors, ring enhancement of nodules can be observed in the arterial phase, which begins to subside in the portal phase. The characteristics of CEUS in hepatocellular carcinoma include high enhancement in the arterial phase and clearance in the late arterial phase or early portal phase (wash-in and wash-out)[9]. In the present case, CEUS imaging revealed completely different signs from the typical signs of hemangioma, metastasis, and liver cancer. According to the literature, CEUS has high diagnostic value for PHA[10]. In brief, when tumors exhibit nodular peripheral enhancement in the arterial and portal phases and low enhancement in the late phase, some may be accompanied by non-enhanced areas, and PHA should be considered[10,11]. However, CECT imaging revealed features typically associated with hematological malignancies, while CEMRI suggested infectious lesions. Therefore, liver biopsy is necessary for a definitive diagnosis. Koyama *et al*[12] reported a 78% success rate for biopsy, which was due to the high probability of necrosis and bleeding in the tumor. CEUS can identify necrotic and hemorrhagic areas, and reduce false-negative results from biopsy. Finally, a CEUS-mediated biopsy was performed to clarify the nature of the nodules.

Pathologically, PHA has highly disordered vascular characteristics and heterogeneity; therefore, 80% of PHA show heterogeneous branches and scaffold-like vascular structures, distinguishing them from hepatic hemangioma[13,14]. The present study showed that PHA expresses at least one among CD31-, CD34-, and factor 8-related antigens and that the Ki-67 value-added index is > 10%, which is a common diagnostic feature of angiosarcoma[15,16]. In this study, CD34 (+), CD31 (+), and Ki67 (hot spot 60%) expression was consistent with that reported in the literature.

Due to the low incidence rate of PHA, no clear treatment guidelines currently exist. The main therapeutic strategy for PHA is surgery, supplemented by radiotherapy and chemotherapy[17,18]. Surgery is considered the optimal treatment method, as it provides the best survival outcomes, especially for single tumors with a diameter of < 10 cm[19,20]. Recent studies have found that targeted therapy has good efficacy in PHA, mainly because the overexpression of VEGF is considered the most important angiogenic factor in different types of sarcomas, including angiosarcoma. However, these few clinical cases need to be confirmed *via* multicenter studies[21-23].

**CONCLUSION**

Owing to the low incidence rate of PHA, its etiology, pathogenesis, and prognosis are unclear, which makes it difficult to diagnose. The presence of thrombocytopenia, D-dimer elevation, and suspicion of PHA on imaging examinations can assist in the diagnosis. CEUS can provide valuable information for diagnosis; however, the diagnosis depends on pathology results. Currently, surgery is the optimal treatment, and targeted therapy may become a promising approach in the future.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Chaudhary P**, Bhadana U, Singh RA, Ahuja A. Primary hepatic angiosarcoma. *Eur J Surg Oncol* 2015; **41**: 1137-1143 [PMID: 26008857 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejso.2015.04.022]

2 **Pickhardt PJ**, Kitchin D, Lubner MG, Ganeshan DM, Bhalla S, Covey AM. Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: multi-institutional comprehensive cancer centre review of multiphasic CT and MR imaging in 35 patients. *Eur Radiol* 2015; **25**: 315-322 [PMID: 25278246 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-014-3442-0]

3 **Ling W**, Qiu T, Ma L, Lei C, Luo Y. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in diagnosis of primary hepatic angiosarcoma. *J Med Ultrason (2001)* 2017; **44**: 267-270 [PMID: 27909829 DOI: 10.1007/s10396-016-0761-6]

4 **Huang NC**, Kuo YC, Chiang JC, Hung SY, Wang HM, Hung YM, Chang YT, Wann SR, Chang HT, Wang JS, Ho SY, Guo HR. Hepatic angiosarcoma may have fair survival nowadays. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015; **94**: e816 [PMID: 25984668 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000000816]

5 **Semelka RC**, Nimojan N, Chandana S, Ramalho M, Palmer SL, DeMulder D, Parada Villavicencio C, Woosley J, Garon BL, Jha RC, Miller FH, Altun E. MRI features of primary rare malignancies of the liver: A report from four university centres. *Eur Radiol* 2018; **28**: 1529-1539 [PMID: 29079914 DOI: 10.1007/s00330-017-5102-7]

6 **Wadhwa S**, Kim TH, Lin L, Kanel G, Saito T. Hepatic angiosarcoma with clinical and histological features of Kasabach-Merritt syndrome. *World J Gastroenterol* 2017; **23**: 2443-2447 [PMID: 28428724 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v23.i13.2443]

7 **Strainienė S**, Jauniškis K, Savlan I, Pamedys J, Stundienė I, Liakina V, Valantinas J. Paraneoplastic Phenomena of Disseminated Intravascular Coagulopathy in Hepatic Angiosarcoma - Rare, Challenging and Fatal. Case Report and Literature Review. *Acta Med Litu* 2021; **28**: 330-343 [PMID: 35474934 DOI: 10.15388/Amed.2021.28.2.1]

8 **Zhou Y**, Hou P, Wang F, Li B, Gao J. Primary hepatic malignant vascular tumors: a follow-up study of imaging characteristics and clinicopathological features. *Cancer Imaging* 2020; **20**: 59 [PMID: 32795351 DOI: 10.1186/s40644-020-00336-9]

9 **Dietrich CF**, Nolsøe CP, Barr RG, Berzigotti A, Burns PN, Cantisani V, Chammas MC, Chaubal N, Choi BI, Clevert DA, Cui X, Dong Y, D'Onofrio M, Fowlkes JB, Gilja OH, Huang P, Ignee A, Jenssen C, Kono Y, Kudo M, Lassau N, Lee WJ, Lee JY, Liang P, Lim A, Lyshchik A, Meloni MF, Correas JM, Minami Y, Moriyasu F, Nicolau C, Piscaglia F, Saftoiu A, Sidhu PS, Sporea I, Torzilli G, Xie X, Zheng R. Guidelines and Good Clinical Practice Recommendations for Contrast-Enhanced Ultrasound (CEUS) in the Liver-Update 2020 WFUMB in Cooperation with EFSUMB, AFSUMB, AIUM, and FLAUS. *Ultrasound Med Biol* 2020; **46**: 2579-2604 [PMID: 32713788 DOI: 10.1016/j.ultrasmedbio.2020.04.030]

10 **Trojan J**, Hammerstingl R, Engels K, Schneider AR, Zeuzem S, Dietrich CF. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound in the diagnosis of malignant mesenchymal liver tumors. *J Clin Ultrasound* 2010; **38**: 227-231 [PMID: 20336772 DOI: 10.1002/jcu.20690]

11 **Wang L**, Lv K, Chang XY, Xia Y, Yang ZY, Jiang YX, Dai Q, Tan L, Li JC. Contrast-enhanced ultrasound study of primary hepatic angiosarcoma: a pitfall of non-enhancement. *Eur J Radiol* 2012; **81**: 2054-2059 [PMID: 21737220 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejrad.2011.06.026]

12 **Koyama T**, Fletcher JG, Johnson CD, Kuo MS, Notohara K, Burgart LJ. Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: findings at CT and MR imaging. *Radiology* 2002; **222**: 667-673 [PMID: 11867783 DOI: 10.1148/radiol.2223010877]

13 **Kim B**, Byun JH, Lee JH, Park BJ, Kwon HJ, Lee JH, Lee SJ, Won HJ, Shin YM, Kim PN. Imaging findings of primary hepatic angiosarcoma on gadoxetate disodium-enhanced liver MRI: comparison with hepatic haemangiomas of similar size. *Clin Radiol* 2018; **73**: 244-253 [PMID: 29111237 DOI: 10.1016/j.crad.2017.09.015]

14 **Jiang L**, Xie L, Li G, Xie H, Fang Z, Cai X, Chen Y. Clinical characteristics and surgical treatments of primary hepatic angiosarcoma. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2021; **21**: 156 [PMID: 33827427 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-021-01743-3]

15 **Geller RL**, Hookim K, Sullivan HC, Stuart LN, Edgar MA, Reid MD. Cytologic features of angiosarcoma: A review of 26 cases diagnosed on FNA. *Cancer Cytopathol* 2016; **124**: 659-668 [PMID: 27088896 DOI: 10.1002/cncy.21726]

16 **Wang ZB**, Wei LX. [Primary hepatic angiosarcoma: a clinical and pathological analysis]. *Zhonghua Bing Li Xue Za Zhi* 2013; **42**: 376-380 [PMID: 24060070 DOI: 10.3760/cma.j.issn.0529-5807.2013.06.005]

17 **Zheng YW**, Zhang XW, Zhang JL, Hui ZZ, Du WJ, Li RM, Ren XB. Primary hepatic angiosarcoma and potential treatment options. *J Gastroenterol Hepatol* 2014; **29**: 906-911 [PMID: 24372769 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.12506]

18 **Kim SJ**, Rhu J, Kim JM, Choi GS, Joh JW. Surgical treatment outcomes of primary hepatic sarcomas: A single-center experience. *World J Hepatol* 2021; **13**: 584-594 [PMID: 34131472 DOI: 10.4254/wjh.v13.i5.584]

19 **Huang IH**, Wu YY, Huang TC, Chang WK, Chen JH. Statistics and outlook of primary hepatic angiosarcoma based on clinical stage. *Oncol Lett* 2016; **11**: 3218-3222 [PMID: 27123094 DOI: 10.3892/ol.2016.4348]

20 **Li DB**, Si XY, Wan T, Zhou YM. A pooled analysis of treatment and prognosis of hepatic angiosarcoma in adults. *Hepatobiliary Pancreat Dis Int* 2018; **17**: 198-203 [PMID: 29724676 DOI: 10.1016/j.hbpd.2018.04.005]

21 **Cao J**, Wang J, He C, Fang M. Angiosarcoma: a review of diagnosis and current treatment. *Am J Cancer Res* 2019; **9**: 2303-2313 [PMID: 31815036]

22 **Kieran MW**, Kalluri R, Cho YJ. The VEGF pathway in cancer and disease: responses, resistance, and the path forward. *Cold Spring Harb Perspect Med* 2012; **2**: a006593 [PMID: 23209176 DOI: 10.1101/cshperspect.a006593]

23 **Linfeng Q**, Xingjie X, Henry D, Zhedong W, Hongfei X, Haige Z. Cardiac angiosarcoma: A case report and review of current treatment. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2019; **98**: e18193 [PMID: 31804339 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000018193]

**Footnotes**

**Informed consent statement:** All study participants, or their legal guardian, provided informed written consent prior to study enrollment. The patient provided informed written consent for the publication of this case report.

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**CARE Checklist (2016) statement:** The authors have read the CARE Checklist (2016), and the manuscript was prepared and revised according to the CARE Checklist (2016).

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Peer-review started:** June 30, 2022

**First decision:** August 1, 2022

**Article in press:** September 23, 2022

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country/Territory of origin:** China

**Peer-review report’s scientific quality classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): B, B, B


Grade C (Good): 0

Grade D (Fair): D


Grade E (Poor): 0

**P-Reviewer:** Aydin S, Turkey; Aydin S, Turkey; Liu X, China; Wang P, China **S-Editor:** Gao CC **L-Editor:** A **P-Editor:** Gao CC


**Figure Legends**




**Figure 1 Contrast-enhanced computed tomography and contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging reveal multiple low-density lesions in the liver with slightly low enhancement.** A: Contrast-enhanced computed tomography; B: Contrast-enhanced magnetic resonance imaging.



**Figure 2 Conventional ultrasound.** A and B: Multiple intrahepatic hyperechoic nodules with unclear boundaries, loose structures, vascular-like structures (A) and anechoic areas (B).



**Figure 3 Contrast-enhanced ultrasound reveals nodular peripheral enhancement in the arterial and portal phases and low-enhancement and non-enhanced areas in the late phase.** A: Nodular peripheral enhancement; B: Non-enhanced area; C: The arterial phase showed low enhancement at 13 s; D: The portal vein was cleared at 58 s.



**Figure 4 Histopathology reveals angiosarcoma of vascular origin.** A: Obvious pleomorphic and heterotypic tumor cells arranged in clusters (magnification 100 ×); B: Immunohistochemical staining (magnification 100 ×) reveals CD31 positivity.



Published by **Baishideng Publishing Group Inc**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

**Help Desk:** https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com



**© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.**