

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78528

Title: Giant struma ovarii with pseudo-Meigs'syndrome and raised cancer antigen-125

levels: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05394499 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-01 09:33

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-03 11:04

Review time: 2 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No



Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Telephone: +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, you presented a nice-written manuscript with an important message to report. Please pay attention to the following questions and queries: 1. Background: please rephrase: "which completely relieved spontaneously" as such: "which completely resolved spontaneously". 2. Case Presentation: please rephrase: "Intraoperative examination of all abdominal and pelvic organs did not see any additional lesions" us such: "Intraoperative examination of all abdominal and pelvic organs did not show any additional lesions". 3. Discussion: please rephrase: "and it is often usually asymptomatic" us such: "and it is usually asymptomatic". 4. Discussion: please rephrase: "To date, no uniform standard has been made" us such: "To date, no uniform standard exists". 5. Discussion: please rephrase: "For patients with fertility requirements, the main strategy was tumor removal" us such: "For patients with fertility requirements, the main strategy is tumor removal". 6. Please explain why you operatively preserved the right ovary. Was that because of the young age of your patient in order to avoid postoperative hormonal substitution? 7. Please become more specific: Did you remove the left ovarian mass with left adnexectomy or did you preserve the left adnex? With Best Regards



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78528

Title: Giant struma ovarii with pseudo-Meigs'syndrome and raised cancer antigen-125

levels: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05234476 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MBBS, MD

Professional title: Doctor, Postdoctoral Fellow, Research Scientist

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-01

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-10 17:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-10 18:34

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements Conflicts-o

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I have reviewed the manuscript and the comments are as below: 1. The manuscript requires a great deal of language polishing. 2. Please provide a detailed breakdown of the investigations ordered and a detailed description of the lab results. Also provide a detailed breakdown of cytological examination results. 3. If possible a table with relevant lab values would do good. 4. If possible add a diagram depicting changes in relevant labs which reduced post surgery such as CEA 125 and other indicators? 5. You mention that after surgery all tumor markers and indicators returned back to normal. Can you please mention the timeframe it took post surgery for these values to return back to normal? or was it after a year at follow up. 6. You mention that 14 similar cases have been reported in literature. Maybe provide a few lines describing similarities in your case to the ones previously reported. This may help set a baseline consistency in terms of a clinical presentation and clinical approach.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 78528

Title: Giant struma ovarii with pseudo-Meigs'syndrome and raised cancer antigen-125

levels: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05394499 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Germany

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-01

Reviewer chosen by: Li-Li Wang

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-15 08:58

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-15 09:50

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[Y] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Dear Authors, thank you for providing comprehensive and convincing answers to my questions and queries and accordingly revised your manuscript. Best Regards