

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 78623

Title: Approach to persistent ascites after liver transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05260725

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FRCS (Gen Surg)

Professional title: Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Croatia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-13 07:01

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-21 10:21

Review time: 8 Days and 3 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1 Title. Does the title reflect the main subject/hypothesis of the manuscript? yes. 2 Abstract. Does the abstract summarize and reflect the work described in the manuscript? yes 3 Key words. Do the key words reflect the focus of the manuscript?the key words is long. it should be shorten. tips and splenic artery embolization is kinds of treatment. 4 Background. Does the manuscript adequately describe the background, present status and significance of the study?it does not include background in abstract 5 Methods. Does the manuscript describe methods (e.g., experiments, data analysis, surveys, and clinical trials, etc.) in adequate detail? it iis not study. it does not include the methods 6 Results. Are the research objectives achieved by the experiments used in this study? What are the contributions that the study has made for research progress in this field? it is not study which includes experiments used in 7 Discussion. Does the manuscript interpret the findings adequately and appropriately, highlighting the key points concisely, clearly and logically? Are the findings and their applicability/relevance to the literature stated in a clear and definite manner? Is the discussion accurate and does it discuss the paper's scientific significance and/or relevance to clinical practice sufficiently? it does not include discussion 8 Illustrations and tables. Are the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient, good quality and appropriately illustrative of the paper contents? Do figures require labeling with arrows, asterisks etc., better legends? the figures, diagrams and tables sufficient. 11 References. Does the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections? Does the author self-cite, omit, incorrectly cite and/or over-cite references? the manuscript cite appropriately the latest, important and authoritative references in the introduction and discussion sections 12 . the manuscript is well, concisely and coherently organized and



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

presented? the style, language and grammar are accurate and appropriate. 13 the author prepare the manuscript according to the appropriate research methods and reporting 14. the manuscript meet the requirements of ethics specific Comments To Authors:FIRST.persistent ascites after LT HAS A HIGHER MORBIDITY AND MORTALITY.hepatic and extrahepatic diseases can cause it. the initial approach to the patient with PA should directed to diagnose a modifiable cause and treat. SECOND:The manuscript emphasize causes and management of ascites after liver transplantation third: the limitations of the study and its findings include some retrospective studies . it includes some case reports.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 78623

Title: Approach to persistent ascites after liver transplantation

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05382038

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Croatia

Manuscript submission date: 2022-07-06

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-07-13 00:34

Reviewer performed review: 2022-07-25 11:47

Review time: 12 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors performed a review of current opinions regarding the etiology, evaluation, and treatment of persistent ascites after LT. The subject is good and is of interest to the surgical community, the manuscript is well organized and well written. I recommend the authors make a table to summarize the etiology, diagnosis, treatment of different type of persistent ascites after LT (vascular, hepatic and extrahepatic), the table could help readers understand the core content of the review easier.