

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78693

**Title:** Efficacy and safety profile of two-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in cancer patients:  
An observational study in China

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05123114

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Pakistan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-07-21 11:45

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-07-29 09:21

**Review time:** 7 Days and 21 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewer Comments to Author(s): The current observational study was conducted to assess the efficacy and safety of the 2-dose SARS-COV2 vaccine in cancer patients. According to the presented findings, the 2-dose SARS-CoV2 vaccine was efficacious and safe in cancer patients. Although it should focus on the efficacy of elderly cancer patients undergoing active immunosuppressive treatment. Overall, the systematic review is clear and well-written. The introduction is relevant with enough information. The method is clear and appropriate. I would suggest this Observational Study be published in Journal: World Journal of Gastroenterology.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

**Manuscript NO:** 78693

**Title:** Efficacy and safety profile of two-dose SARS-CoV-2 vaccines in cancer patients:  
An observational study in China

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05903385

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MSc

**Professional title:** Assistant Lecturer

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** India

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2022-07-21

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2022-07-21 19:09

**Reviewer performed review:** 2022-08-01 18:22

**Review time:** 10 Days and 23 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng  
Publishing  
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite  
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA  
**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568  
**E-mail:** bpgoffice@wjgnet.com  
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] Anonymous [ <input type="radio"/> ] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [ <input type="radio"/> ] Yes [ <input checked="" type="radio"/> ] No
-------------------------------------	-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------

#### **SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

This paper stands minor revision. The reviewer's points are given below. Please furnish these.

1. More statistical results must be presented with proper details. Please furnish accordingly. Add Chi-square test in addition.
2. Literature survey section is not present. Make a separate section with 20 related papers minimum.
3. Where is the research gap analysis?
4. No comparative study was given with respect to earlier papers.