World Journal of *Clinical Cases*

World J Clin Cases 2022 December 16; 10(35): 12804-13147





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 35 December 16, 2022

EVIDENCE REVIEW

12804 Principle and progress of radical treatment for locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma Zhang XF, Liu PY, Zhang SJ, Zhao KL, Zhao WX

REVIEW

12812 Minimally invasive techniques in benign and malignant adrenal tumors Dogrul AB, Cennet O, Dincer AH

12822 Planning issues on linac-based stereotactic radiotherapy Huang YY, Yang J, Liu YB

MINIREVIEWS

- 12837 Hepatitis of unknown etiology in children: Current evidence and association Zhong R, Yi F, Xiang F, Qiu YF, Zhu L, Zou YH, Wang W, Zhang Q
- 12844 Anatomical basis for pancreas transplantation via isolated splenic artery perfusion: A literature review Dmitriev I, Oganesyan M, Popova A, Orlov E, Sinelnikov M, Zharikov Y
- 12854 Antenatal imaging: A pictorial review Ece B, Aydın S, Kantarci M
- 12875 Real role of growth factor receptor-binding protein 10: Linking lipid metabolism to diabetes cardiovascular complications

Yang Y, Yao HJ, Lin WJ, Huang SC, Li XD, He FZ

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study

12880 Radiological and clinical outcomes of midline lumbar fusion on sagittal lumbar-pelvic parameters for degenerative lumbar diseases

Wang YT, Li BX, Wang SJ, Li CD, Sun HL

12890 Clinical features of elderly patients with COVID-19 in Wuhan, China Wei S, Chen G, Ouyang XC, Hong YC, Pan YH

Observational Study

12899 Do inflammatory bowel disease patient preferences from treatment outcomes differ by ethnicity and gender? A cross-sectional observational study

Naftali T, Richter V, Mari A, Khoury T, Shirin H, Broide E



C t	<i>World Journal of Clinical Cases</i> Contents Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 35 December 16, 2022	
Conten		
12909	Lipoprotein (a) variability is associated with mean follow-up C-reactive protein in patients with coronary artery disease following percutaneous coronary intervention	
	Zhang SS, Hu WY, Li YJ, Yu J, Sang S, Alsalman ZM, Xie DQ	
12920	Efficacy evaluation of neuroendoscopy <i>vs</i> burr hole drainage in the treatment of chronic subdural hematoma: An observational study	
	Wang XJ, Yin YH, Wang ZF, Zhang Y, Sun C, Cui ZM	
12928	Optimal approach for total endoscopic discectomy and its effect on lumbar and leg function in patients with disc herniation	
	Zhang ZH, Du Q, Wu FJ, Liao WB	
12936	Value of inflammatory mediator profiles and procalcitonin in predicting postoperative infection in patients with hypertensive cerebral hemorrhage	
	Yin RH, Zhang B, Zhou XH, Cao LP, Li M	
	SYSTEMATIC REVIEWS	
12946	De novo non-alcoholic fatty liver disease after pancreatectomy: A systematic review	
	Shah P, Patel V, Ashkar M	
	META-ANALYSIS	
12959	Comparative effectiveness of first-line therapies for eradication of antibiotic-resistant <i>Helicobacter pylori</i> strains: A network meta-analysis	
	Zou SP, Cheng Q, Feng CY, Xu C, Sun MH	
	CASE REPORT	
12971	Malignant atrophic papulosis: Two case reports	
	Li ZG, Zhou JM, Li L, Wang XD	
12980	Endoscopic treatment of urothelial encrusted pyelo-ureteritis disease: A case series	
	Liu YB, Xiao B, Hu WG, Zhang G, Fu M, Li JX	
12990	Nearly-complete labial adhesions diagnosed with repetitive cystitis in postmenopausal women: A case report	
	Kwon H	
12996	Congenital dysfibrinogenemia misdiagnosed and inappropriately treated as acute fatty liver in pregnancy: A case report and review of literature	
	Jia Y, Zhang XW, Wu YS, Wang QY, Yang SL	
13006	Lung squamous cell carcinoma presenting as rare clustered cystic lesions: A case report and review of literature	
	Shen YY, Jiang J, Zhao J, Song J	
13015	Management of ductal spasm in a neonate with pulmonary atresia and an intact ventricular septum during cardiac catheterization: A case report	
	Zhang X, Zhang N, Song HC, Ren YY	



0	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 35 December 16, 2022
13022	Symptomatic accessory soleus muscle: A cause for exertional compartment syndrome in a young soldier: A case report
	Woo I, Park CH, Yan H, Park JJ
13028	Multiple myeloma presenting with amyloid arthropathy as the first manifestation: Two case reports <i>He C, Ge XP, Zhang XH, Chen P, Li BZ</i>
13038	Kawasaki disease without changes in inflammatory biomarkers: A case report
	Yamashita K, Kanazawa T, Abe Y, Naruto T, Mori M
13044	Atypical Whipple's disease with special endoscopic manifestations: A case report
	Chen S, Zhou YC, Si S, Liu HY, Zhang QR, Yin TF, Xie CX, Yao SK, Du SY
13052	Acute limb ischemia after minimally invasive cardiac surgery using the ProGlide: A case series
	Lee J, Huh U, Song S, Lee CW
13058	Genetic changes in refractory relapsed acute myeloid leukemia with NPM1 mutation: A case report
	Wang SL
13064	Successful surgical treatment of polybacterial gas gangrene confirmed by metagenomic next-generation sequencing detection: A case report
	Lu HY, Gao YB, Qiu XW, Wang Q, Liu CM, Huang XW, Chen HY, Zeng K, Li CX
13074	Pulmonary sarcoidosis: A novel sequelae of drug reaction with eosinophilia and systemic symptoms: A case report
	Hu YQ, Lv CY, Cui A
13081	Hammered silver appearance of the corneal endothelium in Fuchs uveitis syndrome: A case report
	Cheng YY, Wang CY, Zheng YF, Ren MY
13088	Tracheostomy and venovenous extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for difficult airway patient with carinal melanoma: A case report and literature review
	Liu IL, Chou AH, Chiu CH, Cheng YT, Lin HT
13099	Surgery combined with antibiotics for thoracic vertebral <i>Escherichia coli</i> infection after acupuncture: A case report
	Mo YF, Mu ZS, Zhou K, Pan D, Zhan HT, Tang YH
13108	Multidisciplinary treatment of a patient with severe immune checkpoint inhibitor-induced colitis: A case report
	Lu L, Sha L, Feng Y, Yan L
13115	Systemic combined with intravitreal methotrexate for relentless placoid chorioretinitis: A case report
	Luo L, Chen WB, Zhao MW, Miao H
13122	Response to roxadustat in a patient undergoing long-term dialysis and allergic to erythropoiesis- stimulating agents: A case report
	Xu C, Luo DG, Liu ZY, Yang D, Wang DD, Xu YZ, Yang J, Fu B, Qi AR



Contor	World Journal of Clinical Cases
Conten	Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 35 December 16, 2022
13129	Liver collision tumor of primary hepatocellular carcinoma and neuroendocrine carcinoma: A rare case report
	Jeng KS, Huang CC, Chung CS, Chang CF
13138	Unexpected delayed reversal of rocuronium-induced neuromuscular blockade by sugammadex: A case report and review of literature
	Wang HC, Lu CW, Lin TY, Chang YY
	LETTER TO THE EDITOR
13146	Immunoglobulin G4 associated autoimmune cholangitis and pancreatitis and nivolumab
	Joob B, Wiwanitkit V



Contents

Thrice Monthly Volume 10 Number 35 December 16, 2022

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Clinical Cases, Lovenish Bains, FACS, FICS, FRCS, MBBS, MS, Associate Professor, Surgeon, Teacher, Department of Surgery, Maulana Azad Medical College, New Delhi 110002, India. lovenishbains@gmail.com

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Clinical Cases (WJCC, World J Clin Cases) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of clinical medicine with a platform to publish high-quality clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJCC mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of clinical medicine and covering a wide range of topics, including case control studies, retrospective cohort studies, retrospective studies, clinical trials studies, observational studies, prospective studies, randomized controlled trials, randomized clinical trials, systematic reviews, meta-analysis, and case reports.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJCC is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Current Contents®/Clinical Medicine, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 Edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJCC as 1.534; IF without journal self cites: 1.491; 5-year IF: 1.599; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.28; Ranking: 135 among 172 journals in medicine, general and internal; and Quartile category: Q4. The WJCC's CiteScore for 2021 is 1.2 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: General Medicine is 443/826.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jin-Lei Wang.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Clinical Cases	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 2307-8960 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
April 16, 2013	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Thrice Monthly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF Bao-Gan Peng, Jerzy Tadeusz Chudek, George Kontogeorgos, Maurizio Serati, Ja Hyeon Ku	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE December 16, 2022	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2022 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



W J C C World Journal of Clinical Cases

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Clin Cases 2022 December 16; 10(35): 12804-12811

DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i35.12804

ISSN 2307-8960 (online)

EVIDENCE REVIEW

Principle and progress of radical treatment for locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma

Xiao-Fei Zhang, Pei-Yi Liu, Shu-Juan Zhang, Kuai-Le Zhao, Wei-Xin Zhao

Specialty type: Medicine, research and experimental

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): 0 Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): D, D Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Chien CR, Taiwan; Xu J, China

Received: August 1, 2022 Peer-review started: August 1, 2022 First decision: September 5, 2022 Revised: September 19, 2022 Accepted: November 10, 2022 Article in press: November 10, 2022 Published online: December 16, 2022



Xiao-Fei Zhang, Kuai-Le Zhao, Wei-Xin Zhao, Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, Shanghai 200032, China

Xiao-Fei Zhang, Kuai-Le Zhao, Wei-Xin Zhao, Department of Oncology, Shanghai Medical College, Fudan University, Shanghai 200032, China

Xiao-Fei Zhang, Kuai-Le Zhao, Wei-Xin Zhao, Shanghai Clinical Research Center for Radiation Oncology Shanghai Key Laboratory of Radiation Oncology, Shanghai 200032, China

Pei-Yi Liu, Department of Orthopedics, Tongren Hospital, School of Medicine Shanghai Jiao Tong University, Shanghai 200336, China

Shu-Juan Zhang, Department of Oncology, The Second People's Hospital of Kashgar, Kashgar 844000, Xinjiang Uygur Autonomous Region, China

Corresponding author: Wei-Xin Zhao, MD, Department of Radiation Oncology, Fudan University Shanghai Cancer Center, No. 270 Dongan Road, Xuhui, Shanghai 200032, China. zwx zhxf@163.com

Abstract

Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma is one of the most common malignant tumors in the digestive system in China and the world. Most patients are diagnosed as locally advanced or advanced stage. Concurrent chemoradiotherapy is the standard treatment for locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. This study intends to summarize the evidence-based medical evidence of the treatment principle of locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, the selection of radiotherapy dose, the outline of radiotherapy target and the selection of chemotherapy scheme. As a result, the effect of radiotherapy and chemotherapy is equivalent to that of surgery for the radical treatment of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. In the era of immunization, it is recommended to use involved field irradiation. Fluorouracil plus cisplatin regimen is the standard chemotherapy regimen. FOLFOX regimen and paclitaxel plus fluorouracil regimen are optional concurrent chemotherapy regimens. The toxic and side effects of different chemotherapy regimens are different, which can be selected according to the actual situation of patients.

Key Words: Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma; Radical radiotherapy and chemotherapy; Involving field irradiation; Chemoradiotherapy; Radical treatment



WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

©The Author(s) 2022. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: For the radical treatment of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma, the effect of radiotherapy and chemotherapy is equivalent to that of surgery. In the era of immunization, it is recommended to use involved field irradiation. Fluorouracil plus cisplatin regimen is the standard chemotherapy regimen, FOLFOX regimen and paclitaxel plus fluorouracil regimen are optional concurrent chemotherapy regimens. The toxic and side effects of different chemotherapy regimens are very different, which can be selected according to the actual situation of patients.

Citation: Zhang XF, Liu PY, Zhang SJ, Zhao KL, Zhao WX. Principle and progress of radical treatment for locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. *World J Clin Cases* 2022; 10(35): 12804-12811 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/2307-8960/full/v10/i35/12804.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.12998/wjcc.v10.i35.12804

INTRODUCTION

Esophageal squamous carcinoma is the most common tumor in China[1,2]. The diagnosis of esophageal squamous carcinoma needs to be made by gastroscopic biopsy, and there is no effective and rapid screening method, therefore, most patients are diagnosed at advanced stage. Concurrent chemoradio-therapy is the standard treatment for locally advanced esophageal squamous carcinoma[3,4]. In this study, we intend to summarize the evidence-based clinical principles for radical treatment of locally advanced esophageal squamous carcinoma, including the choice of treatment modality for radical treatment, the dose selection of radical radiotherapy, the target delineation and the choice of chemotherapy regimen combined with radical radiotherapy for esophageal squamous carcinoma.

RADICAL SURGERY VS RADICAL RADIOTHERAPY FOR LOCALLY ADVANCED ESOP-HAGEAL SQUAMOUS CARCINOMA

Radical treatment for locally advanced esophageal squamous carcinoma includes radical surgery and radical radiotherapy. Patients with staging of T1b stage (AJCC 8th) or above must undergo radical treatment. The following conditions should be met to enable radical surgery: T0-4aN0-1M0 stage and the distance from the lesion to the esophageal inlet is greater than 5 cm[5-7]. Other than this, locally advanced squamous esophageal cancer requires radiotherapy treatment[8,9].

The FFCD9102 study showed that even if surgery could be performed, the efficacy of concurent chemoradiotherapy *vs* surgery was comparable[10]. The study enrolled 444 patients with squamous esophageal cancer in the thoracic segment of T3N0-1M0, with a 8:1 ratio of squamous to adenocarcinoma, with the data suggest that, in patients with locally advanced thoracic esophageal cancers, especially epidermoid, who respond to chemoradiation, there is no benefit for the addition of surgery after chemoradiation compared with the continuation of additional chemoradiation.

In other studies[11,12], Adding surgery to chemoradiotherapy improves local tumor control but does not increase survival of patients with locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. A randomized controlled study of surgery and radiotherapy for operable esophageal cancer showed that the curative effect of late course accelerated hyperfractionation conformal radiotherapy for operable esophageal cancer was equivalent to that of surgery[13].

Another CURE study is a prospective randomized controlled study of concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy *vs* surgery for potentially resectable esophageal cancer. Eighty patients with potentially resectable squamous cell carcinoma of the middle and lower thoracic esophagus were randomly assigned to radiotherapy and chemotherapy group and surgical treatment group. The study concluded that progression-free survival (PFS) and overall survival (OS) are similar between standard resection and radiotherapy and chemotherapy for potentially resectable esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. The recurrence rate in the mediastinum was slightly higher in the operation group, and the recurrence rate in the neck or abdomen was higher in the radiotherapy and chemotherapy group[14].

Therefore, even for patients with locally advanced squamous esophageal cancer who can undergo radical surgery, the efficacy of treatment with radical radiotherapy is comparable to that of surgery, so radiotherapy is important for the treatment of locally advanced squamous esophageal cancer.

Zaisbideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

DOSE SELECTION OF RADICAL RADIOTHERAPY FOR LOCALLY ADVANCED ESOPHA-GEAL SQUAMOUS CARCINOMA

According to evidence-based medical evidence, 50-50.4 Gy is the standard dose for radical radiotherapy and chemotherapy of locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Sixty Gy to seventy Gy is mainly used in China, and 61.2 Gy is mostly used in our center. The main basis is as follows.

The study of RTOG8501 compared radiotherapy alone at 64 Gy/32 Fx/44 d and radiotherapy at 50 Gy/25 Fx/38 d with a chemotherapy regimen of cisplatin 75 mg/m²/d, d1, and fluorouracil 1000 mg/m²/d, 96h, for four courses. The study included 106 (88%) squamous carcinomas and 15 (12%) adenocarcinomas. The included stages were T1-2 = 98 (81%), T3 = 23 (19%), N0 = 91 (75%), and N1 = 30 (25%), respectively. The results showed that the 3-, 5-year OS, local failure and distant metastasis rates were superior in the 50 Gy than in the 60 Gy radiotherapy alone group, but the side effect rate was higher in the 50 Gy radiotherapy group[3]. Based on the above results of RTOG8501 study, the efficacy of radiotherapy was not satisfying, therefore, RTOG9405 study was proposed with increased dose. The radiation doses of the two groups were 50.4 Gy and 64.8 Gy respectively, and with same chemotherapy regimen of fluorouracil plus cisplatin. The results showed that the 2-year OS and 3-year OS of the low-dose group compared with the high-dose group was 40% *vs* 31% and 33% *vs* 25%. The results suggest that the 50.4 Gy dose group has better efficacy[4].

However, the 2-year OS rates for esophageal cancer range from 36% to 56% and the 3-year OS rates range from 20% to 33% at the above dose conditions[4]. Since three-dimensional radiotherapy techniques such as intensity-modulated conformal radiotherapy and three-dimensional conformal radiotherapy techniques have advantages over two-dimensional radiotherapy techniques in terms of tumor dose uniformity and protection of normal tissues, it is uncertain whether the results of evidence-based medicine under two-dimensional radiotherapy technology are suitable for the era of three-dimensional intensity modulated radiotherapy. In 2019, Fudan University Cancer Hospital published a study on esophageal squamous cancer comparing the efficacy of different chemotherapy regimens in radical simultaneous radiotherapy which showed that a radiotherapy dose of 61.2 Gy/34 F plus chemotherapy had a 3-year OS rate of more than 50%[15]. At present, many centers around the world are conducting prospective multicenter randomized controlled studies to compare the efficacy of high-dose and low-dose radiotherapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Xu *et al*[16] Compared the efficacy of 60 Gy radiotherapy dose and 50 Gy radiotherapy dose on esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Preliminary results showed no statistically significant differences between the two groups in terms of survival endpoints.

ARTDECO study, radiation dose escalation up to 61.6 Gy to the primary tumor did not result in a significant increase in local control over 50.4 Gy[17].

Therefore, based on the above, for the dose of radical radiotherapy for esophageal squamous carcinoma, 50-50.4 Gy is recommend for concurrent chemoradiotherapy and 60-61.2 Gy (1.8-2.0 Gy per fraction a day) is mostly used for radiotherapy alone in China[15].

THE TARGET DELINEATION OF RADICAL RADIOTHERAPY FOR LOCALLY ADVANCED ESOPHAGEAL SQUAMOUS CARCINOMA

The target area of radical radiotherapy for locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma was treated with involved field irradiation in our center. The gross tumor volume (GTV) includes the visible lesions of the tumor including the primary esophageal foci plus metastatic lymph nodes. The clinical target volume (CTV) is to put the GTV up and down for 3 cm without putting it outside and the planning target volume (PTV) is 1cm outside the CTV (1.5 cm outside if the target area is located in the cardia), as in Figure 1.

For GTV outline, CT, esophagogram, gastroscopy, intraluminal ultrasound, and positron emission computed tomography (PET-CT) need to be consulted. The distance between the esophageal lesion and the surrounding anatomical landmarks is first assessed by gastroscopy and esophageal film to understand the general location of the lesion, and CT has advantages in the display of intramural invasive lesions. In addition, PET-CT can improve the accuracy of GTV outline[18,19]. It should be noted that if the above imaging can not see the primary focus, you can first place the silver clip mark under the esophagoscope, determine the position under the simulator, and then outline the target area. The criteria for confirming lymph node metastasis of esophageal squamous cell carcinoma on CT images are: the short diameter of cervical and supraclavicular lymph nodes \geq 5 mm, and the short diameter of mediastinal and hilar lymph nodes \geq 10 mm[20]. For tracheoesophageal groove lymph node metastasis of thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma shows that each lymph nodes in tracheoesophageal groove are considered to be positive[21]. In this study, each tracheoesophageal groove lymph node has postoperative pathology as the gold standard for diagnosis.

Zaishideng® WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.12998/wjcc.v10.i35.12804 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2022.

Figure 1 Involved field irradiation. A: Red represents gross tumor volume; B: Green represents clinical target volume; C: Orange represents planning target volume

> The determination of CTV is based on the following studies. A study published in 2006 analyzed the pathologic features of squamous esophageal cancer, defined as normal esophageal mucosal coating epithelium, but the presence of cancer cells or cancer nests can be seen under the mucosa or muscle. In this study, the incidence of intramural infiltration was found to be 78.8%, and for 95% of intramural infiltrates, a 5 cm proximal and distal resection was required, and for 90% of intramural infiltrates, a 4.7 cm and 3.9 cm proximal and distal resection were required [22]. Another study also showed that for squamous esophageal carcinoma, a 3-cm proximal and distal margin could cover 94% and 97% of the proximal and distal microinfiltrates^[23]. Based on the above, the GTV is placed up and down for 3 cm and not placed around to form a CTV. Attention should be paid to the following matters. GTV is placed 3 cm up and down and drawn layer by layer manually along the esophageal wall, which is not a direct external placement. If GTV is in the cardia, it should be manually outlined layer by layer along the gastric wall. In addition, relevant studies show that different filling degrees of the stomach have little effect on the target dose. Therefore, we do not limit the filling degree of the stomach in patients with esophageal squamous cell carcinoma during radiotherapy[24].

EVIDENCE-BASED MEDICAL EVIDENCE FOR INVOLVED FIELD IRRADIATION

Currently, both involved field and prophylactic field irradiation are used in l clinical practice. RTOG0436 study used the prophylactic irradiation[25], while RTOG0246 and SCOPE1[26,27] studies used involved field irradiation. Pooling RTOG8501, RTOG9405, and Prof. Zhao's studies[28,29], the 3year OS of prophylactic field irradiation study was 25%-27%, compared with 33%-44% in involved field study. This proves the comparable efficacy of the irradiation range of involved field irradiation. Four studies on involved field irradiation compared to prophylactic field irradiation suggest comparable efficacy of the involved field vs the preventive field for the cervical and upper thoracic esophageal cancer and for people older than 70 years[30-33]. In conclusion, we suggest involved field irradiation be used to protect normal tissues.

We analyzed the pattern of failure after the involved field irradiation, which included 53 patients, 26% survived tumor-free and 74% failed treatment. Of the total failure population, 41% had distant metastases, 8% had outfield lymph node recurrence, 5% had distant metastases and local recurrence, and 44% had in-field recurrence. Therefore, the proportion of field recurrence in this study is low, indicating that the radiation range of the involved field is sufficient[28].

CHOICE OF CHEMOTHERAPY REGIMEN OF CONCURRENT CHEMORADIOTHERAPY FOR LOCALLY ADVANCED SQUAMOUS ESOPHAGEAL CANCER

Based on the results of the RTOG8501 study, fluorouracil plus cisplatin is the standard chemotherapy regimen for concurrent chemoradiotherapy, but 42% of patients in this study experienced grade 3 acute toxicity, 25% experienced grade 3 distant toxicity, and the 5-year OS rate was 26%, so this regimen still needs to be optimized^[3]. A randomized study in France comparing the efficacy of the FOLFOX (oxaliplatin combined with fluorouracil and calcium folinate) with the standard fluorouracil combined with cisplatin regimen for concurrent chemoradiotherapy showed comparable efficacy of both regimens, but the FOLFOX regimen was administered in a more convenient manner than the fluorouracil combined with cisplatin regimen[8]. Several studies have demonstrated the use of docetaxel



WJCC | https://www.wjgnet.com

in locally advanced esophageal cancer. Font et al[34] evaluated the efficacy and tolerability of docetaxel concurrent with radiotherapy in inoperable esophageal cancer patients showing a better toxicity profile compared to standard cisplatin/5-FU-based chemoradiotherapy. KDOG 0501 trial reported the optimal dose of definitive chemoradiotherapy with docetaxel in patients with advanced esophageal carcinoma. The main toxicities were myelotoxicity and esophagitis, which was tolerable [35]. Spigel et al [36] reported that chemoradiotherapy with docetaxel was safe, with a high pathological curative effect. Previous studies also have shown that the paclitaxel plus fluorouracil is better tolerated in radiotherapy for esophageal squamous cancer^[15].

The Chinese ESO-Shanghai1 study was a phase III study evaluating patients receiving paclitaxel combined with fluorouracil vs the standard fluorouracil combined with cisplatin regimen in concurrent chemoradiotherapy for esophageal squamous carcinoma^[15]. Patients in both groups received the same radiotherapy regimen with a total dose of 61.2 Gy/34 F (1.8 Gy/1 F, five times a week). The primary endpoint was the 3-year OS rate, and secondary study endpoints included PFS and safety. This study showed that fluorouracil combined with cisplatin remains the standard chemotherapy regimen for radical radiotherapy of locally advanced esophageal squamous carcinoma, and the OS of the radiotherapy regimen of paclitaxel combined with fluorouracil was comparable to that of standard fluorouracil combined with cisplatin. With regard to safety, the paclitaxel combined with fluorouracil regimen had a higher incidence of severe leukopenia, radiation dermatitis, and radiation pneumonitis, and a lower incidence of anemia, thrombocytopenia, gastrointestinal toxicity, and malaise. It should be noted that the intensity of radiation dose using fluorouracil combined with cisplatin in this study was lower than in the RTOG8501 study and the PRODIGE study [3,8], but the radiation dose was higher than in these two studies. In conclusion, the standard chemotherapy regimen for radical concurrent radiotherapy for esophageal squamous carcinoma remains fluorouracil combined with cisplatin. All in ALL, FOLFOX regimen and paclitaxel combined with fluorouracil regimen are optional chemotherapy regimens, and the toxic effects of different chemotherapy regimens are different and can be chosen clinically according to the actual situation of patients.

CURRENT STATUS OF IMMUNOTHERAPY FOR LOCALLY ADVANCED ESOPHAGEAL CANCER

Immunotherapy has made rapid progress in the treatment of esophageal cancer. The field of esophageal cancer is no exception. At present, a number of prospective RCT studies of immunization combined with concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy are being carried out[37,38]. However, there is still no final conclusion on how to arrange troops for immunization and concurrent radiotherapy and chemotherapy. At present, both concurrent and sequential modes are optional.

CONCLUSION

For radical treatment of esophageal squamous carcinoma, concurrent chemoradiotherapy is comparable to surgery. 50-50.4 Gy is the standard dose, and 60 Gy is mainly used in China. the efficacy of involved field and prophylactic irradiation is comparable. With the advent of the immune era, we suggest that the involved field irradiation should be used to preserve the immune protective function of normal lymph nodes. Fluorouracil combined with cisplatin regimen is the standard chemotherapy regimen, and FOLFOX regimen and paclitaxel combined with fluorouracil regimen are optional chemotherapy regimens. The toxic effects of different chemotherapy regimens are very different and can be chosen clinically according to the patient's actual situation.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zhang XF, Liu PY and Zhang SJ contribute equally; Zhao KL and Zhao WX substantial contributions to the conception and design of the study; Zhang XF and Liu PY draft the article or revising it critically for important intellectual content, acquisition of the data and analysis and interpretation of the data; Zhang SJ approved the final version to be published.

Supported by Key Clinical Specialty Project of Shanghai.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license



their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Kuai-Le Zhao 0000-0001-8663-2874; Wei-Xin Zhao 0000-0002-6448-8848.

S-Editor: Gao CC L-Editor: A P-Editor: Gao CC

REFERENCES

- Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Dikshit R, Eser S, Mathers C, Rebelo M, Parkin DM, Forman D, Bray F. Cancer incidence and 1 mortality worldwide: sources, methods and major patterns in GLOBOCAN 2012. Int J Cancer 2015; 136: E359-E386 [PMID: 25220842 DOI: 10.1002/ijc.29210]
- Chen W, Zheng R, Baade PD, Zhang S, Zeng H, Bray F, Jemal A, Yu XQ, He J. Cancer statistics in China, 2015. CA Cancer J Clin 2016; 66: 115-132 [PMID: 26808342 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21338]
- Cooper JS, Guo MD, Herskovic A, Macdonald JS, Martenson JA Jr, Al-Sarraf M, Byhardt R, Russell AH, Beitler JJ, Spencer S, Asbell SO, Graham MV, Leichman LL. Chemoradiotherapy of locally advanced esophageal cancer: long-term follow-up of a prospective randomized trial (RTOG 85-01). Radiation Therapy Oncology Group. JAMA 1999; 281: 1623-1627 [PMID: 10235156 DOI: 10.1001/jama.281.17.1623]
- 4 Minsky BD, Pajak TF, Ginsberg RJ, Pisansky TM, Martenson J, Komaki R, Okawara G, Rosenthal SA, Kelsen DP. INT 0123 (Radiation Therapy Oncology Group 94-05) phase III trial of combined-modality therapy for esophageal cancer: highdose vs standard-dose radiation therapy. J Clin Oncol 2002; 20: 1167-1174 [PMID: 11870157 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2002.20.5.1167
- Steyerberg EW, Neville BA, Koppert LB, Lemmens VE, Tilanus HW, Coebergh JW, Weeks JC, Earle CC. Surgical 5 mortality in patients with esophageal cancer: development and validation of a simple risk score. J Clin Oncol 2006; 24: 4277-4284 [PMID: 16963730 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2005.05.0658]
- 6 Fujita H, Sueyoshi S, Yamana H, Shinozaki K, Toh U, Tanaka Y, Mine T, Kubota M, Shirouzu K, Toyonaga A, Harada H, Ban S. Watanabe M. Toda Y. Tabuchi E. Hayabuchi N. Inutsuka H. Optimum treatment strategy for superficial esophageal cancer: endoscopic mucosal resection vs radical esophagectomy. World J Surg 2001; 25: 424-431 [PMID: 11344392 DOI: 10.1007/s002680020053
- 7 Hofstetter WL. Lymph Node Dissection in Esophageal Cancer. In: Yang SC, Cameron DE. Current Therapies in Thoracic and Cardiovascular Surgery. Philadelphia: Mosby, Inc., 2004: 360-363
- Conroy T, Galais MP, Raoul JL, Bouché O, Gourgou-Bourgade S, Douillard JY, Etienne PL, Boige V, Martel-Lafay I, Michel P, Llacer-Moscardo C, François E, Créhange G, Abdelghani MB, Juzyna B, Bedenne L, Adenis A; Fédération Francophone de Cancérologie Digestive and UNICANCER-GI Group. Definitive chemoradiotherapy with FOLFOX vs fluorouracil and cisplatin in patients with oesophageal cancer (PRODIGE5/ACCORD17): final results of a randomised, phase 2/3 trial. Lancet Oncol 2014; 15: 305-314 [PMID: 24556041 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(14)70028-2]
- Li QQ, Liu MZ, Hu YH, Liu H, He ZY, Lin HX. Definitive concomitant chemoradiotherapy with docetaxel and cisplatin in squamous esophageal carcinoma. Dis Esophagus 2010; 23: 253-259 [PMID: 19732130 DOI: 10.1111/j.1442-2050.2009.01003.x]
- 10 Bedenne L, Michel P, Bouché O, Milan C, Mariette C, Conroy T, Pezet D, Roullet B, Seitz JF, Herr JP, Paillot B, Arveux P, Bonnetain F, Binquet C. Chemoradiation followed by surgery compared with chemoradiation alone in squamous cancer of the esophagus: FFCD 9102. J Clin Oncol 2007; 25: 1160-1168 [PMID: 17401004 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2005.04.7118]
- 11 Qian D, Chen X, Shang X, Wang Y, Tang P, Han D, Jiang H, Chen C, Zhao G, Zhou D, Cao F, Er P, Zhang W, Li X, Zhang T, Zhang B, Guan Y, Wang J, Yuan Z, Yu Z, Wang P, Pang Q. Definitive chemoradiotherapy vs neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy followed by surgery in patients with locally advanced esophageal squamous cell carcinoma who achieved clinical complete response when induction chemoradiation finished: A phase II random. Radiother Oncol 2022; 174: 1-7 [PMID: 35764191 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2022.06.015]
- 12 Stahl M, Stuschke M, Lehmann N, Meyer HJ, Walz MK, Seeber S, Klump B, Budach W, Teichmann R, Schmitt M, Schmitt G, Franke C, Wilke H. Chemoradiation with and without surgery in patients with locally advanced squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. J Clin Oncol 2005; 23: 2310-2317 [PMID: 15800321 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2005.00.034]
- 13 Sun XD, Yu JM, Fan XL, Ren RM, Li MH, Zhang GL. [Randomized clinical study of surgery vs radiotherapy alone in the treatment of resectable esophageal cancer in the chest]. Zhonghua Zhong Liu Za Zhi 2006; 28: 784-787 [PMID: 17366797]
- 14 Chiu PW, Chan AC, Leung SF, Leong HT, Kwong KH, Li MK, Au-Yeung AC, Chung SC, Ng EK. Multicenter prospective randomized trial comparing standard esophagectomy with chemoradiotherapy for treatment of squamous esophageal cancer: early results from the Chinese University Research Group for Esophageal Cancer (CURE). J Gastrointest Surg 2005; 9: 794-802 [PMID: 16187480 DOI: 10.1016/j.gassur.2005.05.005]
- 15 Chen Y, Ye J, Zhu Z, Zhao W, Zhou J, Wu C, Tang H, Fan M, Li L, Lin Q, Xia Y, Li Y, Li J, Jia H, Lu S, Zhang Z, Zhao K. Comparing Paclitaxel Plus Fluorouracil Versus Cisplatin Plus Fluorouracil in Chemoradiotherapy for Locally Advanced Esophageal Squamous Cell Cancer: A Randomized, Multicenter, Phase III Clinical Trial. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37: 1695-1703 [PMID: 30920880 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.18.02122]
- Xu Y, Dong B, Zhu W, Li J, Huang R, Sun Z, Yang X, Liu L, He H, Liao Z, Guan N, Kong Y, Wang W, Chen J, Qiu G, Zeng M, Pu J, Hu W, Bao Y, Liu Z, Ma J, Jiang H, Du X, Hu J, Zhuang T, Cai J, Huang J, Tao H, Liu Y, Liang X, Zhou J,



Tao G, Zheng X, Chen M. A Phase III Multicenter Randomized Clinical Trial of 60 Gy vs 50 Gy Radiation Dose in Concurrent Chemoradiotherapy for Inoperable Esophageal Squamous Cell Carcinoma. Clin Cancer Res 2022; 28: 1792-1799 [PMID: 35190815 DOI: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-21-3843]

- 17 Hulshof MCCM, Geijsen ED, Rozema T, Oppedijk V, Buijsen J, Neelis KJ, Nuyttens JJME, van der Sangen MJC, Jeene PM, Reinders JG, van Berge Henegouwen MI, Thano A, van Hooft JE, van Laarhoven HWM, van der Gaast A. Randomized Study on Dose Escalation in Definitive Chemoradiation for Patients With Locally Advanced Esophageal Cancer (ARTDECO Study). J Clin Oncol 2021; 39: 2816-2824 [PMID: 34101496 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.20.03697]
- Munden RF, Macapinlac HA, Erasmus JJ. Esophageal cancer: the role of integrated CT-PET in initial staging and response 18 assessment after preoperative therapy. J Thorac Imaging 2006; 21: 137-145 [PMID: 16770230 DOI: 10.1097/00005382-200605000-00005]
- 19 Thomas L, Lapa C, Bundschuh RA, Polat B, Sonke JJ, Guckenberger M. Tumour delineation in oesophageal cancer - A prospective study of delineation in PET and CT with and without endoscopically placed clip markers. Radiother Oncol 2015; 116: 269-275 [PMID: 26364886 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.07.007]
- Mizowaki T, Nishimura Y, Shimada Y, Nakano Y, Imamura M, Konishi J, Hiraoka M. Optimal size criteria of malignant 20 lymph nodes in the treatment planning of radiotherapy for esophageal cancer: evaluation by computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 1996; 36: 1091-1098 [PMID: 8985031 DOI: 10.1016/s0360-3016(96)00425-7]
- Gu JY, Wang JH, Xiang JQ, Ma LF. A study on clinical value of CT features of tracheoesophageal groove lymph node 21 metastasis of thoracic esophageal carcinoma. Zhonghua Fangshe Xue Zazhi 2002; 36
- Shi HY, Zhu SC, Zhai FS, Su JW, Li R, Han C. Influence of pathological characteristics on radiotherapeutic target area of 22 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Zhonghua Fangshe Zhongliu Xue Zazhi 2006; 4
- 23 Gao XS, Qiao X, Wu F, Cao L, Meng X, Dong Z, Wang X, Gao G, Wu TT, Komaki R, Chang JY. Pathological analysis of clinical target volume margin for radiotherapy in patients with esophageal and gastroesophageal junction carcinoma. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2007; 67: 389-396 [PMID: 17236963 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2006.09.015]
- 24 Van De Voorde L, Larue R, Persoon L, Öllers M, Nijsten S, Bosmans G, Berbée M, Swinnen A, van Elmpt W, Vanneste B, Verhaegen F, Lambin P. The influence of gastric filling instructions on dose delivery in patients with oesophageal cancer: A prospective study. Radiother Oncol 2015; 117: 442-447 [PMID: 26364885 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2015.09.006]
- 25 Suntharalingam M, Winter K, Ilson D, Dicker AP, Kachnic L, Konski A, Chakravarthy AB, Anker CJ, Thakrar H, Horiba N, Dubey A, Greenberger JS, Raben A, Giguere J, Roof K, Videtic G, Pollock J, Safran H, Crane CH. Effect of the Addition of Cetuximab to Paclitaxel, Cisplatin, and Radiation Therapy for Patients With Esophageal Cancer: The NRG Oncology RTOG 0436 Phase 3 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA Oncol 2017; 3: 1520-1528 [PMID: 28687830 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.1598]
- Swisher SG, Winter KA, Komaki RU, Ajani JA, Wu TT, Hofstetter WL, Konski AA, Willett CG. A Phase II study of a 26 paclitaxel-based chemoradiation regimen with selective surgical salvage for resectable locoregionally advanced esophageal cancer: initial reporting of RTOG 0246. Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2012; 82: 1967-1972 [PMID: 21507583 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2011.01.043]
- Crosby T, Hurt CN, Falk S, Gollins S, Mukherjee S, Staffurth J, Ray R, Bashir N, Bridgewater JA, Geh JI, Cunningham D, 27 Blazeby J, Roy R, Maughan T, Griffiths G. Chemoradiotherapy with or without cetuximab in patients with oesophageal cancer (SCOPE1): a multicentre, phase 2/3 randomised trial. Lancet Oncol 2013; 14: 627-637 [PMID: 23623280 DOI: 10.1016/S1470-2045(13)70136-0
- 28 Zhao KL, Ma JB, Liu G, Wu KL, Shi XH, Jiang GL. Three-dimensional conformal radiation therapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: is elective nodal irradiation necessary? Int J Radiat Oncol Biol Phys 2010; 76: 446-451 [PMID: 20004527 DOI: 10.1016/j.ijrobp.2009.02.078]
- Li M, Zhang X, Zhao F, Luo Y, Kong L, Yu J. Involved-field radiotherapy for esophageal squamous cell carcinoma: theory 29 and practice. Radiat Oncol 2016; 11: 18 [PMID: 26846932 DOI: 10.1186/s13014-016-0589-7]
- 30 Yamashita H, Takenaka R, Omori M, Imae T, Okuma K, Ohtomo K, Nakagawa K. Involved-field radiotherapy (IFRT) vs elective nodal irradiation (ENI) in combination with concurrent chemotherapy for 239 esophageal cancers: a single institutional retrospective study. Radiat Oncol 2015; 10: 171 [PMID: 26269033 DOI: 10.1186/s13014-015-0482-9]
- 31 Liu M, Zhao K, Chen Y, Jiang GL. Evaluation of the value of ENI in radiotherapy for cervical and upper thoracic esophageal cancer: a retrospective analysis. Radiat Oncol 2014; 9: 232 [PMID: 25344056 DOI: 10.1186/s13014-014-0232-4
- Ma JB, Song YP, Yu JM, Zhou W, Cheng EC, Zhang XQ, Kong L. Feasibility of involved-field conformal radiotherapy 32 for cervical and upper-thoracic esophageal cancer. Onkologie 2011; 34: 599-604 [PMID: 22104156 DOI: 10.1159/000334194]
- 33 Lyu J, Yisikandaer A, Li T, Zhang X, Wang X, Tian Z, Chen L, Lu B, Chen H, Yang J, Wang Q, Zhang J, Ma Y, Liu R, Hage A, Lang J. Comparison between the effects of elective nodal irradiation and involved-field irradiation on long-term survival in thoracic esophageal squamous cell carcinoma patients: A prospective, multicenter, randomized, controlled study in China. Cancer Med 2020; 9: 7460-7468 [PMID: 32841543 DOI: 10.1002/cam4.3409]
- Font A, Arellano A, Fernández-Llamazares J, Casas D, Boix J, Cardenal J, Margelí M, Manzano JL, Abad A, Rosell R. Weekly docetaxel with concomitant radiotherapy in patients with inoperable oesophageal cancer. Clin Transl Oncol 2007; 9: 177-182 [PMID: 17403629 DOI: 10.1007/s12094-007-0032-5]
- Higuchi K, Koizumi W, Tanabe S, Sasaki T, Katada C, Ishiyama H, Hayakawa K. A phase I trial of definitive 35 chemoradiotherapy with docetaxel, cisplatin, and 5-fluorouracil (DCF-R) for advanced esophageal carcinoma: Kitasato digestive disease & oncology group trial (KDOG 0501). Radiother Oncol 2008; 87: 398-404 [PMID: 18405987 DOI: 10.1016/j.radonc.2008.03.006
- 36 Spigel DR, Greco FA, Meluch AA, Lane CM, Farley C, Gray JR, Clark BL, Burris HA 3rd, Hainsworth JD. Phase I/II trial of preoperative oxaliplatin, docetaxel, and capecitabine with concurrent radiation therapy in localized carcinoma of the esophagus or gastroesophageal junction. J Clin Oncol 2010; 28: 2213-2219 [PMID: 20351330 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.2009.24.8773



- 37 Shah MA, Bennouna J, Doi T, Shen L, Kato K, Adenis A, Mamon HJ, Moehler M, Fu X, Cho BC, Bordia S, Bhagia P, Shih CS, Desai A, Enzinger P. KEYNOTE-975 study design: a Phase III study of definitive chemoradiotherapy plus pembrolizumab in patients with esophageal carcinoma. Future Oncol 2021; 17: 1143-1153 [PMID: 33533655 DOI: 10.2217/fon-2020-0969]
- 38 Yu R, Wang W, Li T, Li J, Zhao K, Liang L, Wu H, Ai T, Huang W, Li L, Yu W, Wei C, Wang Y, Shen W, Xiao Z. RATIONALE 311: tislelizumab plus concurrent chemoradiotherapy for localized esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Future Oncol 2021; 17: 4081-4089 [PMID: 34269067 DOI: 10.2217/fon-2021-0632]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

