

# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 79364

Title: Knowledge About Celiac Disease Among Healthcare Professionals and Patients

and Their caregivers in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503587 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: New Zealand

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-17 18:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-17 19:16

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No



https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This MS reports on the assessment of disease-specific knowledge about coeliac disease across physicians, patients and parents SPECIFIC COMMENTS 1. The questionnaire utilised in this work does not appear to have been validated or assessed prior to use herein. This would be expected to include assessment of the individual questions, reading age, and other issues such as consistency and validity. Further the questionnaire is not available to the reader 2. Regretfully, there are many errors in grammar, word usage and sentence construction throughout the MS; this includes the first word of the title, which is not required. Extensive correction and attention required 3. Please ensure that person first concepts are adhered to throughout. coeliac patients must be corrected to read patients with coeliac disease 4. The results of the ABSTRACT suggests that the paediatric gastroenterologists all scored 100% in their responses. Is this correct? 5. any mention of the current work should be in the past tense (was rather than is) - see aims in the INTRO 6. The METHODS would be enhanced and easier to read/follow if subheadings were included 7. The METHODS is incomplete - how were the patients and HCP selected? how were they contacted? were they reminded? how ling did the get to complete the questions? inclusion/exclusion? management of data after people completed the questions? The METHODS should be exhaustive in describing the details of how the work was completed 8. towards the end of the METHODS it is stated that none questions were the same: this is confusing as it suggests that the questionnaire included none repeated/identical questions 9. The RESULTS should also have subheadings 10. The DISCUSSION should be revised to discuss the results more in the context of established literature and relevance. 11. when using an author name and et



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

al, please ensure that the reference number is located directly after et al and not elsewhere. for example "Smith et al (19) demonstrated...." 12. Page numbers should be added 13. Table headings should be enhanced with the goal of obtaining more independence from the text of the MS



# PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 79364

Title: Knowledge About Celiac Disease Among Healthcare Professionals and Patients

and Their caregivers in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06277476 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, FASN, FRCPA, MD, MSc, PharmD

Professional title: Chief Technician, N/A, Research Assistant, Superintendent,

Technician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-08-19 13:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-08-23 01:57

**Review time:** 3 Days and 12 Hours

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ Y] Major revision [ ] Rejection



Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [ Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The study is suggestive, although most physicians know that celiac disease is prone to missed or delayed diagnoses. But I don't think it's satisfying beyond stating the level of knowledge HCP, patients, and their caregivers have about CD. An additional focus of the manuscript should be to highlight ways to improve the understanding of CD among HCPs, patients, and their caregivers (especially HCPs and caregivers). In other words, in addition to assessing what healthcare professionals and patients and their caregivers know about celiac disease, effective recommendations should be made to change the situation. In addition, 1. HCP and celiac disease patients and their caregivers mentioned in the Materials and methods section of the manuscript answered and completed web-based questions about CD. I think these questions should be presented (which can be included in supplementary material) as it may not be available via the web site in other countries. 2. In the statistical analysis, it should first describe whether the data obey the normal distribution.



# RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 79364

Title: Knowledge About Celiac Disease Among Healthcare Professionals and Patients

and Their caregivers in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503587 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: New Zealand

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-17

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-23 19:14

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-23 19:33

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [ Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for your revisions to date: these have certainly improved the MS  $\,$  1. Please review the MS carefully to correct typographical errors. questionaire should be questionnaire, is just one example of numerous errors 2. Sentences should not start with numerals, only words. 46... should be Forty-six (for example) 3. Please review and correct this sentence in the RESULTS: "There was no significant difference between four groups of HCPs in terms of the subsections of diagnostic procedure (P = 0.023). " The text says no difference, yet the p value indicates a difference 4. There do remain a number of awkward sentences that could also be enhanced (some sentences have missing words for example)



# RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology

Manuscript NO: 79364

Title: Knowledge About Celiac Disease Among Healthcare Professionals and Patients

and Their caregivers in Turkey

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06277476 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: Doctor, FASN, FRCPA, MD, MSc, PharmD

Professional title: Chief Technician, N/A, Research Assistant, Superintendent,

Technician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Reviewer\_Country

**Author's Country/Territory:** Turkey

Manuscript submission date: 2022-08-17

Reviewer chosen by: Ji-Hong Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-09-25 02:49

Reviewer performed review: 2022-09-25 03:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ ] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ Y] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection



# Baishideng Publishing

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Peer-reviewer

Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

# SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript has been revised to highlight the clinical significance and to propose solutions. I think the manuscript can be accepted for publication in "World Journal of Gastrointestinal Pathophysiology".