

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80712

Title: Efficacy and safety of propofol target-controlled infusion combined with butorphanol for sedated colonoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06387107

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Academic Research

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-13 11:50

Reviewer performed review: 2022-10-13 17:25

Review time: 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Mention the evolution of intravenous anesthesia, models available today of target-controlled infusion (TCI) and their benefits compared to other techniques that did not use pharmacokinetic models to help titrate the desired target. It was not discussed which pharmacokinetic model was used (pharmacokinetic variables and their discussion and correlation in clinical applicability). The time and volume that the butorphanol bolus will be administered were not mentioned explicitly and clearly. Presentation and classification of butorphanol is not described. Limitations of using other opioids (mainly mu total agonists) when butorphanol is used are not described and developed in the text. Comparison of opioid types on page 6 could be further elaborated with the PK/PD correlation between the opioids cited (what is the intention of the comparison? one with sedative intent and the other with analgesic intent? Develop and make clear the reason for the comparison and have a clear conclusion about the correlation page 9 - awakening concentration of propofol - change to target plasma concentration of propofol (the plasma concentration of propofol was not measured) Be clear which test was used to check the distribution of the data (parametric or non-parametric). Example: Was the



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

shapiro willk test done? Figure 1 and 2 show with some kind of marker the groups that statistical difference occurred Develop in discussion the results presented in figures and tables. Develop into discussion the results presented in figures and tables. Correlate with other similar articles and discuss particularities, differences, etc. The title includes "Efficacy and safety of propofol", however, in the discussion it is not developed, and characterized and/or correlated with the words efficacy and safety. Poor discussion of the pharmacokinetic concepts of propofol (three-compartment, cosntants used in the pharmacokinetic model used in the technique), develop synergism and pharmacokinetic interactions that occur in the use of opioids.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 80712

Title: Efficacy and safety of propofol target-controlled infusion combined with butorphanol for sedated colonoscopy

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06163713

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Nigeria

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2022-10-12

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-10-26 05:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-08 11:31

Review time: 13 Days and 5 Hours

Scientific quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. This is pretty good research, it introduce an important development in GIT practice by using butorphanol as adjunct to Propofol in sedating patients during colonoscopy. However, what informed the choice of butorphanol and leave the readily available opioids ? 2. The use of high dose butorphanol was proven to reduce the total dose of propofol used in colonoscopic sedation and hence reduce the possible adverse events. However, was there a follow up to ascertain presence of possible side effects regarding the high dose butorphanol? 3. Though butorphanol was found to reduce dosage of propofol used during colonoscopy, it was not able to assess the possible compounders as pre-procedural psychological state as well as depth of sedation achieved during the procedure.