

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The authors present a very good review regarding the antiviral agents in the treatment of HCV. I believe th manuscript is professionally written.

Thank you very much for the positive evaluation of our work.

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors: In this article, the authors have reviewed the different treatments of hepatitis C and discussed the effect of Direct Acting Antivirals on the changing profile of hepatitis C patients. Several suggestions:

Thank you very much for your suggestions and thank you for allowing us to revise our manuscript. We have been able to incorporate changes and highlight them accordingly in the manuscript.

1. In [abstract], please check [Despite the excellent effectiveness of therapy HCV].

The sentence has been changed into "Despite the high effectiveness of therapy HCV (...)"

2. In [introduction], lines 8-9, [hepatitis C virus] but not [hepatitis C] is suggested.

It has been changed as suggested.

3. In [introduction], line 8, [direct acting antivirals] but not [direct drugs antivirals].

Thank you for pointing that out. The wrong was repaired.

4. In [Regimens without direct acting antivirals], the last paragraph, please check [Among the most common fatigue, headache, fever, malaise, myalgia, neutropenia and thrombocytopenia were reported].

The sentence was rephrased as follows.

"Fatigue, headache, fever, malaise, myalgia, neutropenia, and thrombocytopenia were reported as the most common adverse events."

5. In [Genotype-specific options], the last paragraph, please check [nonvirologic nonresponders].

The sentence has been edited, now is “However, it should be emphasized that these are data calculated for intent-to-treat analysis.”

6. In [Pangenotypic options], the last paragraph, please add a reference after [was also registered for patients with compensated liver disease].

A reference item was added.

7. In [SPECIFIC SUBPOPULATIONS OF HCV-INFECTED PATIENTS], please add a reference after [associated treatment discontinuation, and lower efficacy].

It has been added as suggested.

8. In [Patients with compensated liver cirrhosis], please add a reference after [decompensated cirrhosis due to the side effects of this cytokine] and after [because of the risk of worsening liver function].

Both reference items were added.

9. In [conclusion], please mention at least one factor after [some factors are still identified that lower the chances of a cure].

The sentence has been changed as suggested:

„Despite the very high effectiveness of current DAA options, some factors including male gender, GT3 infection, liver cirrhosis, and prior failure of DAA therapy are still identified that lower the chances of a cure.”

Additionally, the manuscript was re-edited by an editor who specializes in English, grammatical, stylistic and punctuation changes were made and prepositions were corrected, and minor changes were made to the title of the paper.