

Dear Editors and Reviewers:

The revision of our manuscript (NO.: 81358), entitled " Microvesicles with mitochondrial content are increased in patients with sepsis and associated with inflammatory responses ", has been submitted to World Journal of Clinical Cases.

These comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our researches. We have carefully considered these comments. The present manuscript has been revised in accordance with these comments.

In order to clearly and briefly respond to each of the reviewers' comments, our responses are listed below point by point in this Responds to the reviewer's comments.

#### **Reviewer #1**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade A (Excellent)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (High priority)

**Response:** Thanks very much for these positive comments on our research. We have sent our revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company again and got a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

**Specific Comments to Authors:** article is nicely written except for few spelling errors.

**Response:** Thanks for this meticulous evaluation. We have corrected the spelling errors and thoroughly proofread the manuscript.

#### **Reviewer #2**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade B (Minor language polishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Response:** Thanks very much for these positive comments on our research. We have sent our revised manuscript to a professional English language editing company again and got a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

**Specific Comments to Authors:** Sepsis is of great clinical importance both in terms of frequency and severity. Studying it and trying to understand its complexity is essential. The manuscript can help us understand it a little more. Authors should review the references, some are incomplete, pages number is missing (example 23 and 35).

**Response:**

We appreciate the reviewer's careful comment and thank for underlining this deficiency. The page numbers for reference 23 and 35 have been added and other incomplete references have been corrected.

Reviewer #3:

**Scientific Quality:** Grade C (Good)

**Language Quality:** Grade A (Priority publishing)

**Conclusion:** Accept (General priority)

**Specific Comments to Authors:** nice

**Response:**

Thanks very much for these positive comments on our research.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval.

Best wishes,

Guo-Qiang Zhong.