



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 81728

Title: Orientation in upper gastrointestinal endoscopy – the only way is up

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 06198465

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: South Korea

Author’s Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-28 22:22

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-01 09:42

Review time: 2 Days and 11 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
------------	---------------------------------------

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is an article on a very interesting topic. However, for readers in countries where the concept of a 'nurse endoscopist' is not familiar, it seems that additional explanation is needed on this concept. (I understood it as a term referring to nurses who only do endoscopies, is that correct?) Were there any correct answer rate differences between nurse endoscopists and other endoscopists?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 81728

Title: Orientation in upper gastrointestinal endoscopy – the only way is up

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05382551

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Spain

Author’s Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-05 10:03

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-05 10:54

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
------------	--

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The article is within the scope of the journal, and deals with an interesting topic. It is well written and the reading is fluent. However, it cannot be accepted in its current state. Some aspects need to be improved: a) The introduction to the state of the art should be extended. b) The description of the data used and the methods should be improved. c) A deeper discussion should be carried out comparing the results obtained with other similar works, showing the differences, advantages and limitations. d) The conclusions must indicate the scientific contribution of the work and establish a set of lines of future work.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 81728

Title: Orientation in upper gastrointestinal endoscopy – the only way is up

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer’s code: 05282786

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Associate Professor, Consultant Physician-Scientist

Reviewer’s Country/Territory: Romania

Author’s Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-21

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-05 17:15

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-11 19:43

Review time: 6 Days and 2 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

It takes a lot of courage to acknowledge the reality. It is true that orientations in upper endoscopy is not standardized and the trainees really need that