

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 81789

Title: Extended criteria brain-dead organ donors: prevalence and impact on the utilisation of livers for transplantation in Brazil

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06422542

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-11-30 18:25

Reviewer performed review: 2022-11-30 19:23

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] Anonymous [<input type="radio"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="radio"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="radio"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Braga and Botron et al. describe a large retrospective analysis of 1,619 donations after brain death donor offers to a transplant center in Brazil was performed applying the Eurotransplant manual criteria. This manuscript was well written and overall this manuscript meets to the journal demand. I have a couple comments as below

1. Since this manuscript is focused on the data in Brazil, the title should be changed as follows: Extended criteria brain-dead organ donors: prevalence and impact on the utilization of livers for transplantation in Brazil
2. Why did they evaluate the data from June 2017? They should evaluate the data from January 2017 to compare year to year data. In addition, now is 11/2022. If possible, 2021 data should be included in this manuscript.
3. In terms of variables which authors analyzed, macroscopic steatosis in the donor organ is better than Absence of macroscopic steatosis in the donor organ.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Hepatology*

Manuscript NO: 81789

Title: Extended criteria brain-dead organ donors: prevalence and impact on the utilisation of livers for transplantation in Brazil

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 04015916

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Brazil

Manuscript submission date: 2022-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-03 08:54

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-05 01:56

Review time: 1 Day and 17 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Expanding the source of donors is a boon to the expanding group of recipients. However, the use of marginal donors significantly increases the incidence of postoperative complications of recipients. It not only increases the pain of the recipient, but also increases the cost of postoperative patient management. On the other hand, it is equivalent to increasing the number of recipients in urgent need of emergency transplantation in disguise. Although this article analyzes the related factors that affect the prognosis, it is a pity that the related factors cannot be associated with postoperative complications. It is suggested that this set of data should be supplemented, which is more meaningful to clinic.