

Manuscript Number: 81866

Title: Nutrition in Acute Pancreatitis

Dear Editor,

Thank you for giving us the opportunity to submit a revised draft of the manuscript “Nutrition in Acute Pancreatitis” for publication in your esteemed journal. We appreciate the time and effort that you and the reviewers dedicated to providing feedback on our manuscript and are grateful for the positive constructive feedback. As per the reviewers’ suggestions, the changes are done. A detailed response to each of the reviewers’ comments was given below.

Reviewer #1: The manuscript's scientific quality and language is good. It deals with the actual clinical issues of nutritional support of patients with different forms of acute pancreatitis and its complications. The manuscript clearly describes benefits, indications and contraindications of different types of enteral, and parenteral routes of nutrition. It deserves to be published.

Reply: We thank the reviewer for the positive comments.

Reviewer #2: Acute pancreatitis (AP) has varying severity, and moderately severe and severe AP has prolonged hospitalization and requires multiple interventions. These patients are at risk of malnutrition. There is no proven pharmacotherapy for AP, however, apart from fluid resuscitation, analgesics, and organ support, nutrition plays an important role in the management of AP. Oral or enteral nutrition is the preferred route of nutrition in AP, however, in a subset of patients, parenteral nutrition is required. Enteral nutrition has various physiological benefits and decreases the risk of infection, interventions, and mortality. There is no definite proven role of probiotics, glutamine supplementation, anti-oxidants, and pancreatic enzyme replacement therapy in patients with acute pancreatitis. In General: it's a good paper and the subject of the manuscript is applicable and useful. Title: the title properly explains the purpose and objective of the article Abstract: abstract contains an appropriate summary for the article, the language used in the abstract is easy to read and understand, and there are no suggestions for improvement. Introduction: authors do provide adequate background on the topic and reason for this article and describe what the authors hoped to achieve. Results: the results are presented clearly, the authors provide accurate research results, and there is sufficient evidence for each result. Conclusion: in general: Good and the research provides sample data for the authors to make their conclusion. Grammar: There are a lot of grammatical errors. This must be taken care of and addressed. . (Check The Paper Comments). Please provide and edit the following information in the Paper.

Reply:

We thank the reviewer for the detailed review and constructive suggestions.

1. Conflict of Interest

Reply: Added in the paper's first page

2. Source of Funding

Reply: Added in the paper's first page

3. Some references without DOI (attached file)

Reply: Added in the paper's references

4. Writing references according to the terms of the journal (attached file)

Reply: Added in the paper's references

5. The result and discussion must be in one paragraph

Reply: There are no results and discussion, as this is a review article.

6. Many sentences need to be reformulated

Reply: Grammatical corrections of sentences were done.

7. Some tables require statistical analysis

Reply: There is a single table enlisting the contraindications of enteral nutrition and it does not require statistical analysis.

8. Some sentences need references

Reply: We thank you for the suggestion. We reviewed and ensured references were present at all required places.

9. Some tables need to be rearranged

Reply: There is a single table enlisting the contraindications of enteral nutrition and it was reviewed.