

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82142

Title: An Unusual Phenomenon - 'Polyp' Arising From a Diverticulum

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06498468

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MMed

Professional title: Chief Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-02 02:50

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-09 03:45

Review time: 7 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript entitled "A Case Report of an Unusual Phenomenon - 'Polyp' Arising From a Diverticulum" reported a case of healed diverticular perforations with 3 months after the perforation. If endoscopic examination reveals the presence of polyp-like granulation tissue at the lesion site, especially combined with tattoo staining, could not only be used to diagnose perforation of the diverticulum but locate the lesion site also. No doubt, that is very helpful for clinical diagnosis and treatment, furthermore, it will be more convenient for the location if combined with the imaging data of the perforation site, such as a CT examination. No matter, the paper is well structured, and English writing is good. Othermore, in the part of the discussion, the sentence "recurrence of diverticulitis is greater after an episode of uncomplicated diverticulitis at 24%, as opposed to after an episode of uncomplicated diverticulitis at 23.4%" may be false, and was it should be compared between complicated diverticulitis and uncomplicated ones?

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82142

Title: An Unusual Phenomenon - 'Polyp' Arising From a Diverticulum

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03805385

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: FASCRS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Attending Doctor, Doctor, Medical Assistant, Postdoctoral Fellow, Research Associate, Senior Research Fellow, Surgeon

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Brazil

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-31

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-09 14:59

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-09 15:13

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you so much for the opportunity to review this case report: An Unusual Phenomenon - 'Polyp' Arising From a Diverticulum. I have some concerns, comments and questions:

1. The polyp has never been seen in the Computer Tomography? It will be interesting to have the report and images from the initial CT.
2. The initial CT shows a Modified Hinchey Ib. Do the authors think the polyp represented the previous site of diverticular perforation? What perforation?
3. Conclusion: It is too long; you can use this information in the discussion section.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Clinical Cases*

Manuscript NO: 82142

Title: An Unusual Phenomenon - 'Polyp' Arising From a Diverticulum

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06112223

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Singapore

Manuscript submission date: 2023-01-31

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-02-06 14:30

Reviewer performed review: 2023-02-15 12:34

Review time: 8 Days and 22 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This paper reports a middle-aged Chinese female who presented for a routine follow-up colonoscopy 3 months after an episode of acute diverticulitis. This was an uncommon colonoscopy finding a healed diverticular perforation, mimicking a polyp, 3 months post-diverticulitis, something novel in the reported literature. The study's aim is clearly stated and logical. This is a clinical case well written and scientifically discussed by the authors with conclusions drawn that supported the data. The references are appropriate in number and up-to-date. but there are some problems to be solved. 1.The description of the clinical features of the patients was not sufficiently detailed. A physical examination of the patient only described tenderness on the left flank with no guarding. A more detailed physical examination is required to rule out other diseases causing the pain. In addition, the results of the child's treatment can be described more specifically. 2. The author's article states that the patient had a colonoscopy at the 6-week follow-up. Why don't patients get a colonoscopy before treatment to compare and make the results more accurate? 3. Please label Figure 1 more clearly 4.it is necessary for author to perform further language polishing that will ensure all grammatical, syntactical,

formatting and other related errors be resolved, so that the revised manuscript will meet the publication requirement.