

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 82415

Title: Causes of gastrointestinal bleeding in children based on endoscopic evaluation at a tertiary care center in Bahrain

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03251421

Position: Editor-in-Chief

Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Bahrain

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2022-12-21 13:48

Reviewer performed review: 2022-12-26 15:37

Review time: 5 Days and 1 Hour

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
<https://www.wjgnet.com>

Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] Anonymous [<input type="checkbox"/>] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [<input type="checkbox"/>] Yes [<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This airticle retrospectively reviewed the children with gastrointestinal bleeding in Bahrain. The authors analysed the incidence, sex, age, cause, associated diseases and so on of GIB in children. This added the data and filled the gap in this field. However, there may be some mistakes to correct. As for trend in Figure 1, "increasing" may be not appropriate and R2/residual error maybe necessary for liner regression.

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Endoscopy*

Manuscript NO: 82415

Title: Causes of gastrointestinal bleeding in children based on endoscopic evaluation at a tertiary care center in Bahrain

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 06460048

Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, MSc

Professional title: Doctor, Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: Bahrain

Manuscript submission date: 2022-12-17

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-01-03 05:13

Reviewer performed review: 2023-01-04 15:37

Review time: 1 Day and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
Re-review	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Comments to the Author Dear Authors, You have in detail reviewed the causes of gastrointestinal bleeding in children based on endoscopic evaluation at a tertiary care center in Bahrain This study is the first that has explored the most common causes of gastrointestinal bleeding in children in Bahrain. The study has been well supported by Tables making it a pleasure to read. However, in my opinion, the manuscript needs an English revision. I have found some mistakes in the writing and construction of sentences. You should seek support from a native English speaker or alternatively enlist the assistance of language polishing services before submitting your article. For example lines 18 - 20: It can be an alarming sign of an underlying disease.It can discover the bleeding cause in most cases. This statement would sound clearly this way: GIB can be an alarming sign of a variety of threatening diagnoses of quite different origins.....In most cases, the source of bleeding can be detected by gastrointestinal endoscopy (GIE). The revision of these sentences will make the paper easier to follow and read. I have recommended some major comments to improve the quality of the present paper:

Abstract: • I have added some corrections and suggestions in the main pdf file that I

have uploaded. Please make the corrections in the places I have marked. Introduction:

• I have added some corrections and suggestions in the main pdf file that I have uploaded. Please make the corrections in the places I have marked. Methods • I have added some corrections and suggestions in the main pdf file that I have uploaded. Please make the corrections in the places I have marked. • Explain the inclusion and exclusion criteria more clearly. Results:

• I have added some corrections and suggestions in the main pdf file that I have uploaded. Please make the corrections in the places I have marked. • Avoid declaring the statistic tests in the Results section. You have already explained them in the Methods section. • You should use an identical explanation of numerical values. If you decide to write the numbers with letters you should do that everywhere. In my opinion numbers and numbers and percentages in parenthesis make the paper more easily to read and understand. For example line 177: instead of eight patients were from India 8 (...%) were from India.....

Change them everywhere in the Results section. Discussion: • Some portions sound to be just descriptive. You should avoid repeating the Results in the discussion section.

You should just compare your results with the other study and also explain what would be the cause of the differences between yours and another study. Repeating the Results section decreases the attention of the readers. • In my opinion it would be a novel approach to explain the differences between the causes of gastrointestinal bleeding in children based on endoscopic evaluation and based on imaging finding in children reported in the literature. For example, you can compare the most common bleeding endoscopic and imaging causes by the three age groups. It would be more clear to explain these here and if you see appropriate you can also cite this studies : Çolak E. Meckel' Diverticulitis Causing Small Bowel Intussusception: A Case Report. Pediatric Practice and Research. 2021;9(2):97-99.

Tables and Figures: • Tables are representative and according to the findings. I have recommended small corrections.



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-399-1568
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

Conclusion: • Conclusion should not repeat the results section. It should contain only the main messages of your study. For example mortality was not registered is a result not a conclusion.