

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: The Case report is good. However, refinements need to be done. English needs to be improved majorly. Clinical presentation needs to be improved much.

Reply:

I really appreciate that you can accept our work. I apologize for my poor English. I have revised one by one according to the valuable suggestions. And I have performed further language polishing to ensure that all grammatical, syntactical, formatting and other related errors be resolved. Certificate is listed in the attachment. Thank you again!

1. “The symptoms of the girl was relieved by herself.” “The symptoms such as abdominal pain and vomiting were relieved by herself after admission.” Wrong English. How symptoms were relieved not clear.

Revised: “The symptoms of the girl disappeared spontaneously without treatment.” “Her symptoms such as abdominal pain and vomiting had disappeared spontaneously without treatment after admission.”

2. “A 4-year-old girl was admitted to our department for a giant retroperitoneal lesion” Admission is after complaints are recorded. Chief complaints focus on complaints, and not examination findings, in all (direct cases or referred cases).

Revised: “A 4-year-old girl visited her local hospital due to abdominal pain and vomiting for one day. Then, she was admitted to our department for a giant retroperitoneal lesion”

3. “The girl did not have any special medical history.” What is special medical history is not understood? Wrong clinical presentation.

Revised: “Previously, she did not have a specific past medical history.”

4. “On physical examination, a mass can be palpated in her abdomen, which is about 10×7cm in size, hard in quality, unclear in boundary, poor in mobility, and without tenderness.” Which quadrants? Wrong English (maroon font)

Revised: “On physical examination, a mass was found on palpation of abdomen, mainly in the left upper and left lower quadrants, extending to the right lower quadrant, of about 10 cm×7 cm in size. It was firm mass without tenderness, and had an unclear boundary and limited mobility.”

5. “Surgical resection is the only option for benign tumors.” Can you provide a reference for this authoritative statement.

Revised: “Surgical resection is the best option for this type of benign tumor.”

[Reference: 10 Decarolis B, Simon T, Krug B, et al. Treatment and outcome of Ganglioneuroma and Ganglioneuroblastoma intermixed. BMC Cancer. 2016 Jul 27;16:542. doi: 10.1186/s12885-016-2513-9. PMID: 27465021; PMCID: PMC4964292.]

6. “And it generally does not cause occlusion or stenosis of blood vessels.” Wrong English

Revised: “Also, it generally does not cause occlusion or stenosis of blood vessels.”

7. “Due to the large volume of the tumor and its impact on important blood vessels, the operation is difficult and high risky, which leads to numerous surgical complications and affects the short-term and long-term quality of life of children.” Wrong English (red fonts) Wrong professionalism (maroon fonts)

Revised: “Due to the large volume of the tumor and its impact on important blood vessels, the operation is difficult and highly risky, can lead to to numerous surgical complications and affect the short-term and long-term quality of life of children.”

Reviewer #2:

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: Overall, the manuscript is well organized, easy to understand, and the use of language and grammar is appropriate. The title of this manuscript is simple and appropriate, the abstract is clear, the key words reflect the key points, the introduction fully describes the background, significance of the research, the method introduces the research methods in detail, the result clearly lists the actual application, and the discussion and summary are well stated. This study is an interesting case report that pointed out the significance of radical surgical resection for the diagnosis and cure of GN/GNB_i. However, there are minor points that need some polishing. For example, the use of space, dots, citations in sentences needs to be revised. Eg.) “because of non-functional” in introduction section (p. 2) “Postoperative PET-CT did not show any abnormal increase” (p.7) (a gap need to be deleted) “tumor (neuroblastoma?). (Fig 2)” “aortic lymph nodes. (Fig. 6)” (dot should be located after

parenthesis) (p. 3 and 6, respectively) “The tumor as a whole tends to differentiate, While some” (a lower case needed) (p.4) Space between words and citation (reference parenthesis) needs to be persistent.

Reply:

Thank you very much for your recognition of our work. I have carefully revised the details of the article according to your suggestions, and made language polishing. The certificate is listed in the attachment. Thank you again!

Science editor:

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it's ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade C (A great deal of language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Company editor-in-chief:

I recommend the manuscript to be published in the World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

Reply:

I really appreciate that editors can accept our work. And thank you very much for recommending the appropriate journal and providing the opportunity for publication. According to the key points of the article, I have used the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA) to supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results. It's really useful. And if necessary, please tell me the details that need to be supplemented. Thank you again for your hard work!