

Science Editor,  
World Journal of Diabetes  
13/3/2023

**Subject: Response to Reviewer's Comments**

Dear Editor

All comments/suggestions have been addressed and responses are listed below point.

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript entitled **“Review of the usage of topical insulin for the treatment of diabetic keratopathy, including corneal epithelial defects”** (Manuscript NO.: 82700). All reviewers' comments have been listed below along with the responses. These changes have been made in the manuscript. Following docs have been submitted except number 5, 7, and 10.:

- (1) 82700-Answering Reviewers
- (2) 82700-Audio Core Tip
- (3) 82700-Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form
- (4) 82700-Copyright License Agreement
- (5) 82700-Approved Grant Application Form(s) or Funding Agency Copy of any Approval Document(s)
- (6) 82700-Non-Native Speakers of English Editing Certificate
- (7) 82700-Video
- (8) 82700-Image File
- (9) 82700-Table File
- (10) 82700-Supplementary Material

**RESPONSE TO REVIEWER**

ORIGINAL COMMENTS OF THE EXAMINER	REPLY BY THE CANDIDATE
<b>Reviewer #1</b>	
1) Change the term "diabetic patients" to "patients with diabetes" in all parts of the manuscript	Terms changed to patients with diabetes.
2) Provide more information on the methods used to include seven articles.  It would be helpful to mention the inclusion and exclusion criteria and the databases used for your literature search.	Methodology (search strategy, inclusion and exclusion criteria and data extraction ) was added.
3) Separating animal studies from human studies	Table for animals and human studies were separated.
4) Add a table title, and comment on the country, aim, and the number of participants in each study.	Title, country, aim and number participants were added.
5) Add the limitation of this study	Limitation of study was added.
6) Each paragraph has at least 6-8 lines	Each paragraph was edited accordingly.
<b>Reviewer #2</b>	
Address the possible applications of machine learning analysis in Discussion/Conclusion	Added machine learning analysis application in discussion
<b>Reviewer #3</b>	
<b>Abstract</b>	Abstract was divided into few sections

<p>The aim of this study?</p> <p>Review method?</p>	<p>(background, aim, methods, result and conclusion).</p>
<p>To clearly describe the methodology of this study. For example you searching 1400 articles and with PRISMA method, only 7 reasearch matching.</p>	<p>Methodology added including study flow diaphragm, 8 research matching found.</p>
<p><b>Company editor-in-chief</b></p>	
<p>Authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden.The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned.</p> <p>Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.</p>	<p>Tables were edited according to guidelines.</p>
<p>Author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the</p>	<p>Latest research result and machine learning analysis was added.</p>

content of the manuscript	
Authors are advised to apply a new tool, the RCA	RCA was used to find the latest highlight articles.

Thanks and Regards

Dr Mae-Lynn Catherine Bastion

Corresponding author