

February 7th, 2014

Dear Editor,

Please find enclosed the edited manuscript in Word format (file name: 8294 revised_sepede.doc).

TITLE: Sustained attention in psychosis: neuroimaging findings

RUNNING TITLE: Sustained attention in psychosis

AUTHORS: Gianna Sepede, Chiara Spano, Marco Lorusso, Domenico De Berardis, Rosa Maria Salerno, Massimo Di Giannantonio and Francesco Gambi

Name of Journal: *World Journal of Radiology*

ESPS Manuscript NO: 8294

ESPS-Columns scope note: systematic review

The manuscript has been improved according to the suggestions of editor and reviewers.

The changes in the text are yellow highlighted.

Editor's suggestions :

1. Please provide language certificate letter by professional English language editing companies (Classification of manuscript language quality evaluation is B). If you believe that the language of your manuscript has reached or exceeded Grade A and would like to sign a guarantee, you can choose not to have your manuscript edited by the above English language editing companies. However, if we later find that the language of your manuscript has not reached Grade A, your paper will be rejected.

Authors' answer: two coauthors of the manuscript are English native speakers (CS and ML). They carefully edited the paper to improve the language quality evaluation. We think that the revised form of our manuscript has reached Grade A.

2. The title must be informative, specific, and brief (Title should be no more than 10~12 words/60 bytes). Please revise it.

Authors' answer: done

3. The title should not include Acronyms. Please modify it.

Authors' answer: done

4. An informative, structured abstracts of no less than 200 words should accompany each manuscript

Authors' answer: we rewrote the abstract according to the editor's request

5. Please reformat all the reference numbers (superscript with square brackets).

Authors' answer: done

6. Please provide the "Highlighted contents".

Authors' answer: done

Reviewers suggestions:

Reviewer #02446677

1. Inclusion/exclusion criteria should be specified in methodology section.

Authors' answer: as requested, we specified the inclusion/exclusion criteria in the methods section.

2. The authors should comment on the limitations of their study. For instance, would have including more key words helped at all? I wonder about specific tests such as CPT or other related terms.

Authors' answer: following the reviewer's suggestion we added a "limits of the study" section at the end of the article.

3. Conclusion paragraph should be improved. The Core Tip includes information that could also be added here.

Authors' answer: we extended the conclusion paragraph following the reviewer's suggestions.

4. Besides, the statement 'neural correlates of sustained attention seem to be of great interest in the study of affective and non-affective psychosis' does not seem to be supported by their results on Bipolar Disorder.

Authors' answer: we specified in the limits of the study section that our result on BD should be interpreted with caution due to the small amount of published data.

5. Finally, a minor formal suggestion would be not repeating 'For what regard...' so frequently.

Authors' answer: we carefully edited the manuscript to improve the language and avoid repetitions

Thank you again for publishing our manuscript in the *World Journal of Radiology*

Sincerely yours,

Gianna Sepede

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'Gianna Sepede', written over a horizontal line.