World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2023 May 14; 29(18): 2704-2887





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

JG

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 29 Number 18 May 14, 2023

REVIEW

2704	04 Key elements determining the intestinal region-specific environment of enteric neurons in type 1 diabetes	
	Bagyánszki M, Bódi N	
2717	Paediatric gastrointestinal endoscopy in the Asian-Pacific region: Recent advances in diagnostic and therapeutic techniques	
	Huang JG, Tanpowpong P	
2733	Study of tumor necrosis factor receptor in the inflammatory bowel disease	
	Souza RF, Caetano MAF, Magalhães HIR, Castelucci P	
2747	Current trends in acute pancreatitis: Diagnostic and therapeutic challenges	

Zerem E, Kurtcehajic A, Kunosić S, Zerem Malkočević D, Zerem O

2764 Wingless/It/ β -catenin signaling in liver metastasis from colorectal cancer: A focus on biological mechanisms and therapeutic opportunities

Selvaggi F, Catalano T, Lattanzio R, Cotellese R, Aceto GM

MINIREVIEWS

2784 Infliximab vs adalimumab: Points to consider when selecting anti-tumor necrosis factor agents in pediatric patients with Crohn's disease

Kim ES, Kang B

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

2798 Calcitriol attenuates liver fibrosis through hepatitis C virus nonstructural protein 3-transactivated protein 1-mediated TGF β1/Smad3 and NF-κB signaling pathways

Shi L, Zhou L, Han M, Zhang Y, Zhang Y, Yuan XX, Lu HP, Wang Y, Yang XL, Liu C, Wang J, Liang P, Liu SA, Liu XJ, Cheng J, Lin SM

2818 BanXiaXieXin decoction treating gastritis mice with drug-resistant Helicobacter pylori and its mechanism Li XH, Xu JY, Wang X, Liao LJ, Huang L, Huang YQ, Zhang ZF

Retrospective Cohort Study

2836 Endoscopic and pathological characteristics of de novo colorectal cancer: Retrospective cohort study Li SY, Yang MQ, Liu YM, Sun MJ, Zhang HJ

Retrospective Study

2850 Prolonged hyperthermic intraperitoneal chemotherapy duration with 90 minutes cisplatin might increase overall survival in gastric cancer patients with peritoneal metastases

Steinhoff H, Acs M, Blaj S, Dank M, Herold M, Herold Z, Herzberg J, Sanchez-Velazquez P, Strate T, Szasz AM, Piso P



Contents

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Weekly Volume 29 Number 18 May 14, 2023

Observational Study

Endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine-needle aspiration pancreatic adenocarcinoma samples yield adequate 2864 DNA for next-generation sequencing: A cohort analysis

Bunduc S, Varzaru B, Iacob RA, Sorop A, Manea I, Spiridon A, Chelaru R, Croitoru AE, Becheanu G, Dumbrava M, Dima S, Popescu I, Gheorghe C

Contributory roles of sarcopenia and myosteatosis in development of overt hepatic encephalopathy and 2875 mortality after transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt

Yin L, Chu SL, Lv WF, Zhou CZ, Liu KC, Zhu YJ, Zhang WY, Wang CX, Zhang YH, Lu D, Cheng DL



Contents

Weekly Volume 29 Number 18 May 14, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Octavio Caba, PhD, Professor, Senior Scientist, Department of Human Anatomy and Embriology, University of Granada, Granada 18016, Spain. ocaba@ugr.es

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Current Contents/Clinical Medicine, Journal Citation Reports, Index Medicus, MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2022 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2021 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 5.374; IF without journal self cites: 5.187; 5-year IF: 5.715; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.84; Ranking: 31 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2021: Gastroenterology is 18/149.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xu Guo; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wignet.com/bpg/gcrinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
May 14, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WJG

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2023 May 14; 29(18): 2747-2763

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i18.2747

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

REVIEW

Current trends in acute pancreatitis: Diagnostic and therapeutic challenges

Enver Zerem, Admir Kurtcehajic, Suad Kunosić, Dina Zerem Malkočević, Omar Zerem

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Matsuo Y, Japan; Tellez-Avila F, United States

Received: January 9, 2023 Peer-review started: January 9, 2023

First decision: February 1, 2023 Revised: February 7, 2023 Accepted: April 18, 2023 Article in press: April 18, 2023 Published online: May 14, 2023



Enver Zerem, Department of Medical Sciences, The Academy of Sciences and Arts of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Sarajevo 71000, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Admir Kurtcehajic, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Plava Medical Group, Tuzla 75000, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Suad Kunosić, Department of Physics, Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, University of Tuzla, Tuzla 75000, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Dina Zerem Malkočević, Omar Zerem, Department of Internal Medicine, Cantonal Hospital "Safet Mujić" Mostar, Mostar 88000, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Corresponding author: Enver Zerem, MD, PhD, Professor, Department of Medical Sciences, The Academy of Sciences and Arts of Bosnia and Herzegovina, Bistrik 7, Sarajevo 71000, Bosnia and Herzegovina. zerem@live.com

Abstract

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is an inflammatory disease of the pancreas, which can progress to severe AP, with a high risk of death. It is one of the most complicated and clinically challenging of all disorders affecting the abdomen. The main causes of AP are gallstone migration and alcohol abuse. Other causes are uncommon, controversial and insufficiently explained. The disease is primarily characterized by inappropriate activation of trypsinogen, infiltration of inflammatory cells, and destruction of secretory cells. According to the revised Atlanta classification, severity of the disease is categorized into three levels: Mild, moderately severe and severe, depending upon organ failure and local as well as systemic complications. Various methods have been used for predicting the severity of AP and its outcome, such as clinical evaluation, imaging evaluation and testing of various biochemical markers. However, AP is a very complex disease and despite the fact that there are of several clinical, biochemical and imaging criteria for assessment of severity of AP, it is not an easy task to predict its subsequent course. Therefore, there are existing controversies regarding diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, their effectiveness and complications in the treatment of AP. The main reason being the fact, that the pathophysiologic mechanisms of AP have not been fully elucidated and need to be studied further. In this editorial article, we discuss the efficacy of the existing diagnostic and therapeutic modalities, complications and treatment failure in the management of AP.

WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Key Words: Pancreatitis; Etiology and pathogenesis; Diagnostic criteria; Nutrition; Antibiotics; Management of complications

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Acute pancreatitis (AP) is an inflammatory disease of the pancreas, with abnormal trypsinogen activation as the primary pathogenesis and varies from clinically mild to fulminant form. Severe forms of AP are a relatively common cause of death. Progress in the establishment of biochemical, imaging and clinical criteria for the severity and prognosis of the disease has markedly influenced the therapeutic approach and the outcome of the disease. This article presents the diagnostic and therapeutic modalities with regard to their effectiveness, complications and treatment failure, as well as discussing some of the controversial issues in the treatment of AP.

Citation: Zerem E, Kurtcehajic A, Kunosić S, Zerem Malkočević D, Zerem O. Current trends in acute pancreatitis: Diagnostic and therapeutic challenges. World J Gastroenterol 2023; 29(18): 2747-2763 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v29/i18/2747.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v29.i18.2747

INTRODUCTION

Acute pancreatitis (AP) is an inflammatory pancreatic disease affecting all ages, with an annual incidence of 10-50 cases per 100000 persons^[1]. The main causes of AP are gallstone migration and alcohol abuse. Among these, predominance of one cause over the other depends on socioeconomic, ethnic, and cultural differences[2-5].

Most patients with AP present with a mild and self-limited disease. Conversely, 15%-20% patients with AP develop local and/or systemic complications, frequently leading in multiple (respiratory, cardiovascular, renal, and hepatic) organ failure (MOF) and death. According to the revised Atlantic classification, the severity of AP is divided into three levels: Mild, moderately severe, and severe, based on organ failure as well as local and systemic complications^[3]. However, the pathophysiologic mechanism of AP has not been fully elucidated and there are several controversies regarding the diagnostic and therapeutic modalities due to their effectiveness and complications in the treatment of the disease. These controversies primarily relate to the therapeutic treatment at the early stage of the disease, which includes fluid resuscitation, including the most appropriate type of fluid to use, as well as the time, volume, and rate of administration. Other controversies include the timing of restart and the importance of nutritional support, the role of prophylactic antibiotics, the timing of application of more aggressive methods including surgery, as well as the treatment of complications which can negatively impact the patient's prognosis and quality of life[4-6].

This editorial article presents the most important diagnostic and therapeutic modalities with respect to their efficacy, complications and treatment failure in the treatment of AP.

ETIOLOGY OF AP

There are multiple causes and pathological conditions potentially associated with AP (Table 1). It is generally accepted that gallstones and alcohol abuse are responsible for about 90% of all cases of AP. The role of gallstones is very important in the etiopathogenesis of AP and any finding indicating the presence of gallstones in the gallbladder or biliary tract in patients with AP can be classified as the cause of the disease. Therefore, all patients with AP should be screened by ultrasound for the presence of cholecystolithiasis, common bile duct stones, or diagnose signs of biliary obstruction [2,7,8]. Alcoholinduced pancreatitis is more common in young and middle-aged people in whom an idiosyncratic sensitivity to alcohol may exist at levels of alcohol exceeding 80 g/dL. Other predisposing factors may be the level of alcohol dehydrogenase activity in the gastric mucosa and the liver[2,7,8].

Hypertriglyceridemia (triglycerides > 600 mg) is well-known cause of AP. One of the predominant causes of serum triglycerides elevation is alcohol intake. Therefore, it is sometimes challenging to assess whether the cause of AP is alcohol consumption or hypertriglyceridemia[9-11].

A large variety of drugs have been related to AP. Although, some drugs such as diuretics, azathioprine, sulfonamides, drugs used in the treatment of acquired immunodeficiency syndrome such as didanosine and zalcitabine, and steroids can cause AP through a direct toxic effect, most cases of drug-related pancreatitis are probably triggered by individual sensitivity. In large epidemiological



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 1 Etiology of acute pancreatitis

Causes of acute pancreatitis

Toxic and metabolic

Alcohol

Hyperlipidemia (triglycerides > 600 mg)

Hypercalcemia (hyperparathyroidism)

Diabetes mellitus

Hypothyroidism

Uremia

Drugs (medicaments)

Scorpion venom

Mechanical

Gallstones, biliary sludge

Ampullary obstruction (Crohn's disease, villous tumors of the ampulla)

Pancreatic obstruction (pancreatic tumor, chronic pancreatitis)

Sphincter of Oddi dysfunction

Pancreas divisium

Post ERCP-pancreatitis

Congenital malformation

Trauma

Others			
	Ischemia		
	Organ transplantation (bone marrow transplantation)		
	Iatrogenic injury		
	Infection		
	Hereditary		
	Autoimmune		
	Cystic fibrosis		
	Tropical (Ascaris lumbricoides)		
	Idiopathic pancreatitis		

ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

studies, it has been proven that potentially pancreatotoxic drugs are not independent risk factors for the development of AP. The interval from the beginning of drug intake to the development of AP is highly variable and ranges from a few weeks, in a drug-induced immunologic reaction, to several months, when accumulation of toxic metabolites (e.g. valporic acid, pentamidine, didanosine) is required. The mechanism of AP for many of these medications is obscure[12-16].

PATHOGENESIS OF AP

AP is predominantly acute inflammatory process which involves the parenchyma of the pancreas, with involvement of other regional tissues or distant organ systems in severe forms of the disease. The pathogenic mechanism of AP is presented by inappropriate activation of trypsinogen and destruction of secretory cells followed by systemic release of cytokines and inflammatory mediators, causing the activation of inflammatory cells, fever and MOF. Calcium overload, mitochondrial dysfunction, impaired autophagy, endoplasmic reticulum stress, and exosomes are other factors in pathogenesis of the disease[16]. Edema of pancreatic and peripancreatic tissues and fat necrosis are common in all forms



of AP (mild, moderately severe and severe) however, in the severe AP (SAP), there is a possibility of hemorrhage within the pancreas[4,7,17,18].

Cellular necrosis elements (acinar cells, duct cell, and islet cells) are considerable in SAP but necrosis is usually absent in mild and moderate forms of the disease. During SAP, pancreatic necrosis (PN) develops due to impairment in pancreatic microcirculation and its complete development takes usually several (approximately 4-7) day after the beginning of the disease but, the development of PN is not strictly fixed in time and may progress during the first 2 wk[4,16-18]. During that period, PN is usual sterile and its infection is extremely rare. After the first 1-2 wk, the development of secondary infection in PN, due to translocation of intestinal flora, is associated with increased morbidity and mortality[4].

Early in the course of AP, acute pancreatic fluid collections (APFC) can occur as amylase-rich and protein-rich pancreatic juice collections and they usually resolve spontaneously. Pancreatic fluid collections, which present for more than 4 wk, are usually caused by disruption of pancreatic duct (PD) with extravasation of pancreatic juice and they are termed as pancreatic pseudocysts (PPC) or pancreatic walled-off necrosis (WON). Extra-pancreatic manifestations of SAP include systemic inflammatory response syndrome (SIRS) following by systemic MOF or exacerbation of serious pre-existing illness related to AP[4,16-18].

DIAGNOSIS OF AP

Diagnosis of AP is based on clinical presentation, laboratory tests, and imaging findings and requires two out of the following three criteria to be present: Clinical (acute pain attack in the upper abdomen spreading to the back), laboratory (serum lipase and/or amylase levels are three or more times higher than normal values) and typical imaging [computed tomography (CT), magnetic resonance imaging, ultrasonography] findings that are characteristic for AP[5].

The most common presenting symptoms of the disease are abdominal pain (80%–95%) followed by nausea and vomiting (40%–80%), rebound tenderness, breathlessness, impaired consciousness with pyrexia, distension and reduced bowel sounds[4,16].

The diagnosis of AP can be supported by serum and urinary laboratory tests to clarify its origin. They usually reflect organ dysfunction and metabolic disturbances. Amylase is traditionally the laboratory test of choice but, given its higher sensitivity and specificity, lipase (serum level greater than three times normal appears) is more valuable test for diagnosing of AP. The elevation of these enzymes in the serum occurs due to the leakage of pancreatic acinar cells into the interstitial space followed by their subsequent absorption into the circulation[19-21]. Some authors consider that the lipase/amylase ratio can be a crucial parameter in establishing alcohol as the etiology of AP. Transaminases are mostly used in differentiation biliary from other causes of AP[22]. The negative predictive value of urinary trypsinogen-2 level is 99%. Therefore, urinary trypsinogen-2 levels accurately diagnose AP and may be considered as useful markers to determine extra-pancreatic inflammation in AP[19,23,24]. Serum immunoreactive trypsin, chymotrypsin, elastase, phospholipase A2, alfa2-macroglobulin, methemalbumin, and carboxipeptides levels have been suggested in diagnosing AP. However, these tests are not in routine use and are not commercially available[25,26].

When the clinical presentation is typical but the laboratory parameters are ambiguous or inconclusive, imaging techniques are necessary. Contrast-enhanced CT (CECT) is the most performed imaging test and the modality of choice for the diagnosis of PN, the determination of its extent, and the diagnosis of local complications[4,27-29]. However, the complete development of PN takes usually several (approximately 4-7) days from the beginning of the disease and CECT cannot be applied to reliably assess the presence and extent of necrosis before that time[1,4].

Magnetic resonance imaging is a good alternative to CECT due to its superiority soft tissue contrast resolution and better evaluation of the biliary tree and PD. Also, this method can be used as a substitute for endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography (ERCP) in the diagnostic evaluation of the PD[4, 30-32].

Ultrasound, endoscopic ultrasound and ERCP are adjuncts to CECT and they are used for the diagnosis of cholelithiasis, disconnected PD, evaluation of the collection contents, and follow-up imaging. Imaging examinations are usually not necessary in an emergency when the clinical presentation and laboratory tests are consistent with features of AP[1,4,28,33,34].

PREDICTION OF SEVERITY AND OUTCOME OF AP

AP varies from clinically mild to fulminating disease and has been recorded as a cause of sudden death. The outcome of acute attack depends in part on the etiology and in part on the severity of the attack. It is generally accepted that death from AP has a bimodal temporal distribution: Early mortality is the consequence of SIRS followed by MOF, while late mortality is caused by superinfection of PNs and peripancreatic fluid collections resulting in sepsis[4,7,34,35].

Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Advances made in establishing diagnostic criteria for the severity and prognosis of an attack have markedly influenced therapeutic approach. Several multifactorial scoring systems (Ranson, APACHE II, Glasgow-Imrie, SOFA, Balthazar, BISOP, etc.) have been extensively applied with the goal of predicting which patients might have a severe clinical course and which of them will recover without major complications[7,20,21,36-41].

A commonly accepted definition of SAP was not established until 1993, when the first version of the Atlanta classification for AP was published. The classification emphasizes the difference between milder interstitial form of AP from SAP related to 'local complications', but do not contain a clear definition of pancreatic and peripancreatic collections that was standardized worldwide[42]. The classification was revised in 2012[1,3], defining early and late AP which can be either edematous interstitial or necrotizing one. According to the severity of the disease, AP is divided into mild, moderately severe, and severe form, based on organ failure as well as local and systemic complications. AP collections are differentiated into APFC, PPC, acute necrotic collection, and pancreatic WON, according to the type of AP[1,3,7] (Figure 1).

However, the value of early prognostic evaluation of AP remains uncertain due to the fact that patients who have the same initial predicting scores often have very different subsequent clinical courses of the disease. Also, the relevance of the prognostic evaluation is markedly affected by the lack of widely accepted definition of severity and outcome of the disease[1,4,7].

INITIAL TREATMENT OF AP

AP is a dynamic disease process in which most attacks are mild (mild and moderately severe forms), AP is a dynamic disease process in which most attacks are mild (mild and moderately severe forms), with ongoing recovery after a few days of conservative supportive therapy. However, some patients with SAP can develop local and/or systemic complications and MOF. There are two treatment periods in AP: Early treatment of the acute attack should be applied in both mild and severe forms of AP, while late management includes treatment of SAP complications. The most important measures of intensive monitoring and supportive therapeutic procedures in AP are presented in Table 2.

In early stage of AP, all patients require appropriate conservative treatment and sufficient nutritional support. Most patients with mild or moderately SAP will recover with conservative treatment that includes correction of hypovolemia and hypoxemia, as well as pain relief. For a long time, correction of hypovolemia, even with mild AP, was carried out by early aggressive hydration with monitoring vital constants and urine output[3,5,6,42-44]. However, there is conflicting evidence regarding the fluid management strategy both in terms of fluid type, optimal volume and rate of administration, as well as severity of AP. Several recent randomized trials showed that early aggressive fluid resuscitation, in patients with AP, resulted in a higher incidence of fluid overload (with potentially increasing risk for acute kidney injury and pulmonary edema) without improvement in clinical outcomes[45-48]. Other, also randomized controlled trials, reported that early aggressive intravenous hydration hastens clinical improvement in patients with AP and that aggressive fluid strategy is beneficial especially for certain subsets of patients and some types of AP[48-51]. Most authors agree that these discrepancies are not fully elucidated and that future studies are needed to investigate which fluid management strategy is optimal for majority of the patients and which subsets of patients with AP may benefit from different management of fluid replacement[6,47].

Pain control is a very important therapeutic measure in the early stage of AP and can be provided by appropriate intravenous administration of a non-opiate analgesic. Opiates (e.g., meperidine) may also be given as required [52-54]. Some recent systematic reviews and meta-analysis suggest that epidural anesthesia is safe and effective in reducing pain severity, improving pancreatic perfusion, and decreasing mortality, within the first 24 h of AP onset. However, there is paucity of evidence to guide pain management in AP with small datasets per study [55,56] Hypoxemia is a rare event in mild and moderately SAP but, in severe forms of the disease, respiratory insufficiency with hypoxemia is often present as single organ failure. Hypoxemia could be avoided by ensuring airway patency and supplemental application of humidified oxygen, which would allow maintenance of arterial oxygen saturation above 95%. In case of development of respiratory insufficiency, mechanical ventilation with positive expiratory pressure is mandatory [4-6,43,44].

Conversely, patients with SAP are at high risk of developing PN, MOF, and septic complications. The most important therapeutic goals in the initial treatment of SAP are the provision of supportive therapy and the treatment of specific complications that may occur at the beginning of the disease^[4].

Nutritional support

The nutritional management strategy for patients with AP has generated intense debate over the past few decades. Oral nutrition should be restarted immediately in patients with preserved gastrointestinal peristalsis, without abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, or evidence for intestinal obstruction or ileus[5, 18]. Most patients with SAP have increased basal energy needs, pronounced protein catabolism and endogenous gluconeogenesis.



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Management of acute pancreatitis				
Cornerstone treatment measures				
Intensive monitoring and support of cardiac, pulmonary, renal, and hepatobiliary functions				
	Fluid resuscitation with monitoring of vital constants and urine output			
	Electrolyte solutions and Plasma expanders			
	Humidified oxygen administration			
	Catecholamine (dopamine, dobutamine) to prevent renal failure			
Appropriate nutritional support				
Early treatment of systemic complications				
	Mechanical ventilation with positive end-expiratory pressure			
	Catecholamine (epinephrine) if shock develops			
	Hemofiltration, dialysis			
	Insulin and calcium substitution to treat metabolic complications			
Prevention of infectious complicat	tions			
Biliary tract management				
Management of necrotizing pancr	eatitis			
	Conservative, Imaging, Endoscopic, Surgical management			
Management of late complications				
	Pancreatic pseudocysts			
	Walled-off pancreatic necrosis			
	Disconnected pancreatic duct syndrome/pancreatic fistula			
	Acute non-infectious complications in acute pancreatitis			
	Intra-abdominal hypertension			
	Pseudoaneurysm			
	Venous thrombosis			
	Bowel fistula			
Management of special types of acute pancreatitis				
	Pediatric			
	Hyperparathyroidism			
	Hypertriglyceridemia			
	Post-ERCP pancreatitis			
	Trauma			
	Pregnancy			
Long term complications and long-term care				

ERCP: Endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography.

The purpose of nutritional support is the reduction of wasting, to support the structure and function of organs, and to have a positive influence on the clinical course of the disease. Also, if patients with SAP develop paralytic ileus as a complication of the disease, keeping the pancreas at rest is necessary. Since they require nutritional support to achieve a positive nitrogen balance, parenteral nutrition should be started as soon as possible with the aim to achieve a positive nitrogen balance within the first 72 h after the onset of the disease[4].

However, SAP represents a typical model of septic syndrome due to the gastrointestinal barrier failure, which reduces gastrointestinal motility and damages mucosal integrity with subsequent increases in its permeability. This leads to an increased risk of bacterial overgrowth and their translocation from the intestinal tract to peripancreatic necrosis. Therefore, one of the main therapeutic goals in

 Raishidena®
 WJG
 https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 The revised Atlanta Classification: Key terms diagram. APFC: Acute pancreatic fluid collection; PPC: Pancreatic pseudo cyst; ANC: Acute necrotic collection; WON: Walled-off necrosis.

> SAP is to maintain intestinal integrity to prevent bacterial and endotoxin translocation and improve the immune system of the gastrointestinal tract. Administration of enteral feeding, with or without immunonutrition can maintain mucosal integrity and prevent or decrease bacterial translocation. Therefore, early nutritional support should be prioritized as soon as possible (optimally, within the first 24-72 h)[4,18,57-59].

Role of antibiotics

The presence of infected PN is the most important negative indicator and is the main cause responsible for morbidity and mortality in SAP. The infection organisms that are responsible for PN infection are mostly Gram-negative bacteria of intestinal origin, and they can reach PN through a previously damaged intestinal mucosal barrier[4,18].

Broad-spectrum antibiotics with ability to pass into PN (e.g., carbapenems, quinolones, and metronidazole), should be prescribed only when infected necrosis is confirmed or strongly suspected [18]. However, the administration of antibiotic prophylaxis in order to prevent infection of sterile necrosis is controversial. Some authors advocate the use of prophylactic antibiotics in SAP considering that they can prevent the development of superinfection in necrotic tissues, which is the only measure of initial treatment of PNs, since their development are not preventable[4,18,60-65]. Some authors consider that there is a reduction in pancreatic infection in the subgroup of patients who received broadspectrum antibiotics, concluding that more evidence is needed[60,61].

However, multiple prospective, randomized, placebo-controlled trials and most important guidelines have demonstrated that the routine use of prophylactic broad-spectrum antibiotics, in patients with SAP, has no influence on the development of infected necrosis, systemic complications, need for surgery, or mortality[18,62-65]. Besides, prolonged antibiotic therapy increases the prevalence of fungal infections[4,18,61]. Evidence supporting the prophylactic use of antifungal agents in patients with PN is lacking. Also, the use of probiotic prophylaxis is not suggested for the prevention of infectious complications in AP[18,62,63].

MANAGEMENT OF NECROTIZING PANCREATITIS

The development of extensive PN is a main cause of complications and mortality in patients with SAP. Therefore, it is very important to apply the best methods to identify patients with PN who require more aggressive interventions than those who could be treated with less aggressive measures. Different clinical entities, such as persistent pancreatic fluid collections, pancreatic fistula, persistent SIRS, obstructive jaundice, and ongoing symptoms can be detected during SAP and they can predict the severity of clinical course of the disease. Their management vary depending on the severity and the type of complication, since different complications require different treatment modalities. The revised Atlanta classification offers useful recommendations to determine the strategy for management of the SAP complications[1,3-5,18,66].

Step-up approach

The step-up approach is used, in the treatment of infected PN, as a less invasive alternative approach compared to early surgical necrosectomy. This approach is based on the statement that surgical



debridement should be delayed until demarcation of necrotic from normal tissue is established, which would reduce the risk of bleeding into the necrotic tissue during or after the surgical intervention. Several studies that have conducted long-term follow-up of clinical outcomes in patients with AP have shown that the step-up approach leads to a reduction in morbidity and mortality and should be preferred over the classic surgical approach if both methods are technically feasible[4,8,18,67-71].

However, there are still certain disagreements regarding the comparison of the endoscopic and surgical step-up approach. In a randomized trial, Bang *et al*[72], compared outcomes of the surgical *vs* endoscopic step-up approach and they reported that the endoscopic was superior to the surgical step-up approach in reducing major complications, lowered costs, and increased quality of life in patients with infected PN[72]. Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group, in the TENSION trial, reported that the endoscopic approach may be more suitable than the surgical step-up approach, in the treatment of infected PN, based on favorable short-term outcomes. However, they presented that the endoscopic was not superior to the surgical step-up approach in reducing death or major complications in patients with infected PN, while the rate of pancreatic fistulas and length of hospital stay were lower in the endoscopy group[73-75]. Most experts in the discussion of this issue point out that the endoscopic approach is the best management for patients with infected PN, but that it is necessary to develop common protocols for endoscopic approach, based on all the observations and suggestions[76-79]. Finally, it can be concluded that, some segments of the step-up approach can be changed and improved, but its basic concept (delay, drain, and debride) remains as the reference standard intervention for PN[71].

The role of abdominal paracentesis drainage in necrotizing pancreatitis

If necrotizing pancreatitis is associated with liquefied necrotic debris in the pancreatic and peripancreatic regions, with the presence of abdominal and/or pelvic fluid several authors[80-85] have advocated the concept of removing the peritoneal fluid. The rationale for removing the peritoneal fluid is to reduce inflammation and disease severity since the intra-abdominal fluid, accumulated during the disease, may contain factors that trigger and increase the severity of AP, including proinflammatory mediators and infection.

In general, abdominal paracentesis drainage (APD) has the role of a preparatory procedure before the application of PCD with the intent to achieve better result than through conventional step-up approach. Therefore, the integration of APD into a step-up approach is beneficial for patients, due to the fact that this procedure removes great number of inflammatory factors from the seroperitoneum[82].

Management of sterile necrosis and acute fluid collections

Early in the course of AP, pancreatic inflammation, manifesting as partial or total PN, causes more liquefied areas and leads to extravasations of enzyme-rich pancreatic juice into the peripancreatic regions, with the consequent development of sterile necrosis and ANC. They usually resolve spontaneously and the vast majority of patients with sterile PN can be treated conservatively and without interventional procedures (*i.e.* catheter drainage or necrosectomy). However, if unresolved spontaneously, they can lead to a poor clinical course and allow active pancreatic enzymes to initiate physiologic pathways leading to MOF and sepsis[4,17].

Therefore, PCD or endoscopic drainage may be required in symptomatic patients with sterile PN and persistent malaise characterized by abdominal pain, nausea, vomiting, and nutritional failure or with associated complications, including gastrointestinal and/or biliary obstruction, persistent SIRS, or fistulas[5]. Continuous PCD may justify this approach based on the concept that elimination of cytokines and inflammatory mediators from initially sterile pancreatic juice collections may avoid or prevent systemic complications in severe forms of AP[4,17,86-90]. Besides, in cases whereby a high output of amylase-rich fluid continues to drain through the catheter, continued prolonged drainage is necessary despite the sterility of the collection[91].

Treatment of infected PN

The development of secondary infection in PN is associated with increased morbidity and mortality (15%–20%) and there is consensus that infected necrotic tissue should be removed in order to prevent the sepsis[4,8,33,92,93]. A small proportion of patients with documented infected PN who remain clinically stable can be managed with only conservative treatment and close monitoring, without intervention[5]. The traditional method of treating patients with infected PN by laparotomy retains its role in the treatment of the disease. However, surgical intervention is performed under general anesthesia and causes significantly greater trauma compared to minimally invasive methods, with possible consequent worsening of organ dysfunction, profuse bleeding and sepsis as well as post-operative mortality[8,94,95]. Therefore, laparotomy should be delayed for as long as possible or avoided in order to decrease mortality and morbidity rates[96]. Although there is no globally accepted treatment modality and it should be tailored to each individual patient, the step-up approach starting with monitoring and conservative measures, followed by PCD or endoscopic drainage and minimally invasive VARD has been shown to produce superior outcomes to the traditional open necrosectomy in the treatment of infected PN[4,8,18,66-72,97-99].

Zaishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Disconnected PD syndrome

Disconnected PD syndrome (DPDS) is anatomic condition which is usually seen in PN. Early in the course of necrotizing pancreatitis, pancreatic inflammation causes extravasation of pancreatic secretion into the pancreatic and perpancreatic tissues with the consequent development of sterile PN which may lead to the PD disruption and interruption of continuity between the duct in the left sided pancreas and the luminal gastrointestinal tract. In such clinical setting, when the PD has no continuity with the viable tissue of the pancreas, this part no longer drains its contents into the duodenum, but produces a persistent pancreatic fistula, there is a high probability of consequent peripancreatic collection formation [1,18,100-102].

There are three types of DPDS: Concurrent (there is necrosis of the neck and body, but there is perfusion of the tail of the pancreas), delayed (PPC or WON occupy the middle part of the gland but with the perfused left-sided remnant of the pancreas) and DPDS associated with chronic pancreatitis (PD is blocked by a stricture or calculus in the proximal part, leading to atrophy of the distal segment of the duct and resulting in the formation of PPC)[1,102].

Standard treatment for DPDS is operative resection of the disconnected pancreas[103,104]. PN causing DPDS could be initially treated with percutaneous[105], endoscopic, or minimally invasive surgical techniques as temporary measures. However, elective distal pancreatectomy is mandatory in most patients as definitive treatment for DPDS[106-108].

Pancreatic fistula

Pancreatic fistulas are the consequence of pancreatic autodigestion or necrosis that results in a persistent PD disruption. Disruption of the PD secondary to PN causes the lack of continuity between PD and the viable pancreatic tissue, so that this segment of the pancreas no longer drains into the duodenum, but into the surrounding regions (usually in the pancreatic tail area) leading to its accumulation and PPC formation[1,4,18,100]. However, pancreatic secretions can also reach distant sites, causing pancreatic ascites, pleural effusion, distant PPC, or pancreatocutaneous fistula. According to that, pancreatic fistulas can be divided into two groups: (1) Internal, in which the PD communicates with the peritoneal or pleural cavity or some other hollow viscus; and (2) external, where the PD communicates with the skin[1,4,100,105,109].

Treatment of pancreatic fistulas depends on both the site of duct disruption and presence or absence of downstream ductal obstruction or DPDS. In the beginning of the disease, the management is usually conservative including total parenteral nutrition and the administration of pancreatic secretory inhibitor octreotide. However, if this management fails interventional procedures (Figure 2) and surgery are the following options. Surgical intervention, for fistula treatment, is technically challenging and could be followed with major complications[100-102,106-112].

Pancreatic WON

Pancreatic WON is a located WON of pus resulting from liquefaction of necrotic areas or secondary infection of acute PPC, with or without communication with main PD. WON occurs at a relatively late stage, most commonly three to five weeks after of onset of AP. APFCs tend to be poorly walled-off and can leak into retroperitoneum, the peritoneal cavity, the mediastinum, the pleura or the soft tissues. Pancreatic WON is a heterogeneous, low-density collection in a defined cavity containing gas bubbles (Figure 3). CECT scanning is the diagnostic test of choice. Diagnosis may be confirmed by percutaneous or endoscopic aspiration[113]. Asymptomatic WON does not mandate intervention and may resolve spontaneously over a period of time^[29]. Symptomatic WON generally requires intervention. The most common treatment modality is PCD (Figure 2) or endoscopic drainage. Surgical drainage is done rarely, only when percutaneous drainage is not successful[114-116].

PPC

A PPC is a fluid collection usually found near the pancreas that is formed by the secretion of pancreatic juice from the inflamed parenchyma or from a disrupted duct. The PPC wall consists of fibrous nonepithelialized tissue[42]. PPC can sometimes appear at a great distance from the pancreas (e.g. thorax, groin) when the fluid dissects through tissue planes. At early stage of AP, APFC are common, but majority of them regress spontaneously and need no treatment. About 5% of patients with APFC develop PPCs, which are defined by their ellipsoidal shape and well-formed wall. Treatment for PPCs varies depending on their size and the presence of symptoms. Asymptomatic PPCs, less than 50 mm in diameter, should only be monitored by ultrasound. However, some of them may persist and progress to produce complications such as pain, infection, gastric outlet, intestinal or biliary obstruction. These PPCs are symptomatic and require treatment. The most common treatment modalities for symptomatic PPCs are minimally invasive approaches, such as PCD or endoscopic drainage. Surgical drainage is done rarely, only when percutaneous drainage is not successful[4,97,105,110,115-119].

Hemorrhage

Upper gastrointestinal bleeding is common in AP and usually results from stress ulcers, peptic ulcer disease, or hemorrhagic gastroduodenitis. Massive hemorrhage occurs rare in AP, most commonly into





DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i18.2747 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 2 Treatment of pancreatic walled-off necrosis and two fistulas by percutaneous drainage. A: Appearance of iodine via cutaneous fistula after its instillation into the walled-off necrosis (WON); B: Retropancreatic WON with two fistulas. Fistula 1: Retropancreatic-percutaneous fistula; Fistula 2: Retropancreatic-sigmoidal fistula. WON: Walled-off necrosis.



DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i18.2747 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

Figure 3 Ultrasound appearance of pancreatic walled-off necrosis after acute necrotizing pancreatitis. WON: Walled-off necrosis.

the gastrointestinal tract, the abdominal cavity or into the PD. Erosion of the great pancreatic or peripancreatic vessel leads to rupture or formation of a pseudoaneurysm. The splenic artery is most often affected vessel, followed by the pancreaticoduodenal and gastroduodenal arteries[42,63]. Pseudoaneurysm formation should be suspected if there are repeated episodes of gastrointestinal bleeding, an increasing pulsatile abdominal mass and in patients with bloating and increasing abdominal pain. Unfortunately, aneurysm rupture in these arteries mostly results in severe and lifethreatening bleeding. The diagnosis can be made by angiography or angio-CT. Sometimes, arteriography with embolization of bleeding vessel in order to stop the bleeding can be performed as a temporary measure. Otherwise, some patients will require urgent surgery to stop the bleeding[33,63, 120Ī.

How to prevent relapses of AP?

The prevention of relapses of AP starts with the current episode treatment. Potential etiologic causes should be determined and adequately treated in order to prevent future relapses of the disease. This implies an adequate and prompt diagnostic and therapeutic approach to patients with biliary stones and sludge, as well as to patients with hyperlipidemia and hypercalcemia [1,5,7,16].

AP caused by hyperlipidemia tend to develop more severe forms of the disease and up to 50% of them develop SAP. Therefore, appropriate diet and drug management of the lipoprotein metabolic disorders as well as alcohol abstinence are crucial in preventing relapses of pancreatitis[9-11]. Morphologic abnormalities and tumors also have to be excluded.

After discharge from the hospital, patients with AP should follow a diet without alcohol and high fat food consumption, eating frequent small meals four to six times per day. A few months after the acute phase of AP, patients can try to introduce a diet with a slightly increased fat content and grilled meat. Patients should be warned that the next attack of AP could be more dangerous than the previous one, so lifestyle changes are necessary to reduce the risk of disease relapse[16,121,122].



WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

CONCLUSION

AP is an inflammatory pancreatic disease that is characterized by inappropriate activation of trypsinogen and destruction of secretory cells which leads to activation of inflammatory cells, fever, and MOF. Diagnosis of AP is based on clinical, laboratory and imaging parameters, which are included into prognostic scoring system, developed with the aim of predicting the severity of the disease. Advances made in establishing diagnostic criteria for the severity and prognosis of AP have markedly influenced therapeutic approach and reduced the mortality rate of the disease.

There are two treatment periods in AP: Initial management remains supportive, consisting of conservative treatment which should be applied in both mild and severe forms of AP, while late management incorporates the treatment of the SAP complications. Currently, the treatment of SAP complications has shifted from early surgical approach to minimally invasive step-up strategy as the reference standard intervention. However, AP is a complex disease and despite the existence of numerous criteria, it is difficult to predict its clinical course. Additional researches, preferably randomized trials or prospective collaborative studies, are required to increase understanding of the pathophysiology of the disease and enable adequate responses to the diagnostic and therapeutic challenges, in order to improve the management of particularly severe forms of AP.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zerem E contributed to conception and design of the paper, writing of the paper and final revision; Kurtcehajic A, Kunosić S, Zerem Malkočević D and Zerem O contributed to the literature search, writing of the paper and final revision of the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is noncommercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: Bosnia and Herzegovina

ORCID number: Enver Zerem 0000-0001-6906-3630; Admir Kurtcehajic 0000-0002-6445-4090; Suad Kunosić 0000-0002-5211-4099; Dina Zerem Malkočević 0000-0003-0347-9881; Omar Zerem 0000-0003-3128-0933.

S-Editor: Fan JR L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhao S

REFERENCES

- Sureka B, Bansal K, Patidar Y, Arora A. Imaging lexicon for acute pancreatitis: 2012 Atlanta Classification revisited. Gastroenterol Rep (Oxf) 2016; 4: 16-23 [PMID: 26224684 DOI: 10.1093/gastro/gov036]
- Párniczky A, Kui B, Szentesi A, Balázs A, Szűcs Á, Mosztbacher D, Czimmer J, Sarlós P, Bajor J, Gódi S, Vincze Á, 2 Illés A, Szabó I, Pár G, Takács T, Czakó L, Szepes Z, Rakonczay Z, Izbéki F, Gervain J, Halász A, Novák J, Crai S, Hritz I, Góg C, Sümegi J, Golovics P, Varga M, Bod B, Hamvas J, Varga-Müller M, Papp Z, Sahin-Tóth M, Hegyi P; Hungarian Pancreatic Study Group. Prospective, Multicentre, Nationwide Clinical Data from 600 Cases of Acute Pancreatitis. PLoS One 2016; 11: e0165309 [PMID: 27798670 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0165309]
- Banks PA, Bollen TL, Dervenis C, Gooszen HG, Johnson CD, Sarr MG, Tsiotos GG, Vege SS; Acute Pancreatitis 3 Classification Working Group. Classification of acute pancreatitis--2012: revision of the Atlanta classification and definitions by international consensus. Gut 2013; 62: 102-111 [PMID: 23100216 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2012-302779]
- Zerem E. Treatment of severe acute pancreatitis and its complications. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 13879-13892 4 [PMID: 25320523 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i38.13879]
- Working Group IAP/APA Acute Pancreatitis Guidelines. IAP/APA evidence-based guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2013; 13: e1-15 [PMID: 24054878 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2013.07.063]
- Vege SS, DiMagno MJ, Forsmark CE, Martel M, Barkun AN. Initial Medical Treatment of Acute Pancreatitis: American Gastroenterological Association Institute Technical Review. Gastroenterology 2018; 154: 1103-1139 [PMID: 29421596 DOI: 10.1053/i.gastro.2018.01.0311
- Walkowska J, Zielinska N, Karauda P, Tubbs RS, Kurtys K, Olewnik Ł. The Pancreas and Known Factors of Acute 7 Pancreatitis. J Clin Med 2022; 11 [PMID: 36233433 DOI: 10.3390/jcm11195565]
- Zerem E, Imamović G, Sušić A, Haračić B. Step-up approach to infected necrotising pancreatitis: a 20-year experience of percutaneous drainage in a single centre. Dig Liver Dis 2011; 43: 478-483 [PMID: 21478061 DOI:



10.1016/j.dld.2011.02.020

- 9 Cruciat G, Nemeti G, Goidescu I, Anitan S, Florian A. Hypertriglyceridemia triggered acute pancreatitis in pregnancy diagnostic approach, management and follow-up care. Lipids Health Dis 2020; 19: 2 [PMID: 31901241 DOI: 10.1186/s12944-019-1180-7
- Wang L, Xu T, Wang R, Wang X, Wu D. Hypertriglyceridemia Acute Pancreatitis: Animal Experiment Research. Dig Dis 10 Sci 2022; 67: 761-772 [PMID: 33939144 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-021-06928-0]
- 11 Yang AL, McNabb-Baltar J. Hypertriglyceridemia and acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2020; 20: 795-800 [PMID: 32571534 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2020.06.005]
- 12 Chadalavada P, Simons-Linares CR, Chahal P. Drug-induced acute pancreatitis: Prevalence, Causative agents, and Outcomes. Pancreatology 2020; 20: 1281-1286 [PMID: 32878711 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2020.07.401]
- 13 Abu-El-Haija M, Hornung L, Lin TK, Nathan JD, Thompson T, Vitale DS, Nasr A, Husain SZ, Denson L. Drug induced pancreatitis is the leading known cause of first attack acute pancreatitis in children. Pancreatology 2020; 20: 1103-1108 [PMID: 32800650 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2020.07.008]
- Gagnon AL, Lavoie A, Frigon MP, Michaud-Herbst A, Tremblay K. A Drug-Induced Acute Pancreatitis Retrospective 14 Study. Can J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2020; 2020: 1516493 [PMID: 33204673 DOI: 10.1155/2020/1516493]
- Chocair PR, Neves PDMM, Mohrbacher S, Neto MP, Sato VAH, Oliveira ÉS, Barbosa LV, Bales AM, da Silva FP, 15 Cuvello-Neto AL, Duley JA. Case Report: Azathioprine: An Old and Wronged Immunosuppressant. Front Immunol 2022; 13: 903012 [PMID: 35757730 DOI: 10.3389/fimmu.2022.903012]
- Szatmary P, Grammatikopoulos T, Cai W, Huang W, Mukherjee R, Halloran C, Beyer G, Sutton R. Acute Pancreatitis: 16 Diagnosis and Treatment. Drugs 2022; 82: 1251-1276 [PMID: 36074322 DOI: 10.1007/s40265-022-01766-4]
- 17 Zerem E. Imamovic G. Omerović S. Imširović B. Randomized controlled trial on sterile fluid collections management in acute pancreatitis: should they be removed? Surg Endosc 2009; 23: 2770-2777 [PMID: 19444515 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-009-0487-2
- Baron TH, DiMaio CJ, Wang AY, Morgan KA. American Gastroenterological Association Clinical Practice Update: 18 Management of Pancreatic Necrosis. Gastroenterology 2020; 158: 67-75.e1 [PMID: 31479658 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.07.064]
- Rompianesi G, Hann A, Komolafe O, Pereira SP, Davidson BR, Gurusamy KS. Serum amylase and lipase and urinary 19 trypsinogen and amylase for diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2017; 4: CD012010 [PMID: 28431198 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD012010.pub2]
- Zerem D, Zerem O, Zerem E. Role of Clinical, Biochemical, and Imaging Parameters in predicting the Severity of Acute 20 Pancreatitis. Euroasian J Hepatogastroenterol 2017; 7: 1-5 [PMID: 29201763 DOI: 10.5005/jp-journals-10018-1202]
- 21 Zerem E, Imamović G, Latić F, Mavija Z. Prognostic value of acute fluid collections diagnosed by ultrasound in the early assessment of severity of acute pancreatitis. J Clin Ultrasound 2013; 41: 203-209 [PMID: 22987623 DOI: 10.1002/jcu.21995
- Bougard M, Barbier L, Godart B, Le Bayon-Bréard AG, Marques F, Salamé E. Management of biliary acute pancreatitis. 22 J Visc Surg 2019; 156: 113-125 [PMID: 30385271 DOI: 10.1016/j.jviscsurg.2018.08.002]
- Yasuda H, Kataoka K, Takeyama Y, Takeda K, Ito T, Mayumi T, Isaji S, Mine T, Kitagawa M, Kiriyama S, Sakagami J, 23 Masamune A, Inui K, Hirano K, Akashi R, Yokoe M, Sogame Y, Okazaki K, Morioka C, Kihara Y, Kawa S, Tanaka M, Andoh A, Kimura W, Nishimori I, Furuse J, Yokota I, Shimosegawa T. Usefulness of urinary trypsinogen-2 and trypsinogen activation peptide in acute pancreatitis: A multicenter study in Japan. World J Gastroenterol 2019; 25: 107-117 [PMID: 30643362 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v25.i1.107]
- Mayumi T, Inui K, Maetani I, Yokoe M, Sakamoto T, Yoshida M, Ko S, Hirata K, Takada T; Urinary Trypsinogen-2 24 Dipstick for Acute Pancreatitis Study Group of Japanese Society of Abdominal Emergency Medicine (UtrAP Study Group). Validity of the urinary trypsinogen-2 test in the diagnosis of acute pancreatitis. Pancreas 2012; 41: 869-875 [PMID: 22481290 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e3182480ab7]
- 25 Staubli SM, Oertli D, Nebiker CA. Laboratory markers predicting severity of acute pancreatitis. Crit Rev Clin Lab Sci 2015; 52: 273-283 [PMID: 26173077 DOI: 10.3109/10408363.2015.1051659]
- Staubli SM, Schäfer J, Rosenthal R, Zeindler J, Oertli D, Nebiker CA. The role of CRP and Pentraxin 3 in the prediction 26 of systemic inflammatory response syndrome and death in acute pancreatitis. Sci Rep 2019; 9: 18340 [PMID: 31798002 DOI: 10.1038/s41598-019-54910-8]
- Bastati N, Kristic A, Poetter-Lang S, Messner A, Herold A, Hodge JC, Schindl M, Ba-Ssalamah A. Imaging of 27 inflammatory disease of the pancreas. Br J Radiol 2021; 94: 20201214 [PMID: 34111970 DOI: 10.1259/bjr.20201214]
- Brizi MG, Perillo F, Cannone F, Tuzza L, Manfredi R. The role of imaging in acute pancreatitis. Radiol Med 2021; 126: 28 1017-1029 [PMID: 33982269 DOI: 10.1007/s11547-021-01359-3]
- Freeman ML, Werner J, van Santvoort HC, Baron TH, Besselink MG, Windsor JA, Horvath KD, vanSonnenberg E, 29 Bollen TL, Vege SS; International Multidisciplinary Panel of Speakers and Moderators. Interventions for necrotizing pancreatitis: summary of a multidisciplinary consensus conference. Pancreas 2012; 41: 1176-1194 [PMID: 23086243 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0b013e318269c660]
- Ball CG, Correa-Gallego C, Howard TJ, Zyromski NJ, House MG, Pitt HA, Nakeeb A, Schmidt CM, Akisik F, Lillemoe 30 KD. Radiation dose from computed tomography in patients with necrotizing pancreatitis: how much is too much? J Gastrointest Surg 2010; 14: 1529-1535 [PMID: 20824381 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-010-1314-8]
- 31 Arvanitakis M, Delhaye M, De Maertelaere V, Bali M, Winant C, Coppens E, Jeanmart J, Zalcman M, Van Gansbeke D, Devière J, Matos C. Computed tomography and magnetic resonance imaging in the assessment of acute pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2004; 126: 715-723 [PMID: 14988825 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2003.12.006]
- Pelaez-Luna M, Vege SS, Petersen BT, Chari ST, Clain JE, Levy MJ, Pearson RK, Topazian MD, Farnell MB, Kendrick 32 ML, Baron TH. Disconnected pancreatic duct syndrome in severe acute pancreatitis: clinical and imaging characteristics and outcomes in a cohort of 31 cases. Gastrointest Endosc 2008; 68: 91-97 [PMID: 18378234 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2007.11.041]
- 33 Maher MM, Lucey BC, Gervais DA, Mueller PR. Acute pancreatitis: the role of imaging and interventional radiology.



Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2004; 27: 208-225 [PMID: 15024494 DOI: 10.1007/s00270-003-1907-7]

- 34 Vege SS, Fletcher JG, Talukdar R, Sarr MG. Peripancreatic collections in acute pancreatitis: correlation between computerized tomography and operative findings. World J Gastroenterol 2010; 16: 4291-4296 [PMID: 20818812 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v16.i34.4291]
- Balthazar EJ. CT diagnosis and staging of acute pancreatitis. Radiol Clin North Am 1989; 27: 19-37 [PMID: 2642273] 35
- Ranson JH. The timing of biliary surgery in acute pancreatitis. Ann Surg 1979; 189: 654-663 [PMID: 443917 DOI: 36 10.1097/00000658-197905000-00016
- McKay CJ, Imrie CW. Staging of acute pancreatitis. Is it important? Surg Clin North Am 1999; 79: 733-743 [PMID: 37 10470323 DOI: 10.1016/s0039-6109(05)70039-6]
- Arif A, Jaleel F, Rashid K. Accuracy of BISAP score in prediction of severe acute pancreatitis. Pak J Med Sci 2019; 35: 38 1008-1012 [PMID: 31372133 DOI: 10.12669/pjms.35.4.1286]
- 39 Ma X, Li L, Jin T, Xia Q. [Harmless acute pancreatitis score on admission can accurately predict mild acute pancreatitis]. Nan Fang Yi Ke Da Xue Xue Bao 2020; 40: 190-195 [PMID: 32376542 DOI: 10.12122/j.issn.1673-4254.2020.02.09]
- 40 Al-Qahtani HH, Alam MKh, Waheed M. Comparison of Harmless Acute Pancreatitis Score with Ranson's Score in Predicting the Severity of Acute Pancreatitis. J Coll Physicians Surg Pak 2017; 27: 75-79 [PMID: 28292382]
- Kui B, Pintér J, Molontay R, Nagy M, Farkas N, Gede N, Vincze Á, Bajor J, Gódi S, Czimmer J, Szabó I, Illés A, Sarlós 41 P, Hágendorn R, Pár G, Papp M, Vitális Z, Kovács G, Fehér E, Földi I, Izbéki F, Gajdán L, Fejes R, Németh BC, Török I, Farkas H, Mickevicius A, Sallinen V, Galeev S, Ramírez-Maldonado E, Párniczky A, Erőss B, Hegyi PJ, Márta K, Váncsa S, Sutton R, Szatmary P, Latawiec D, Halloran C, de-Madaria E, Pando E, Alberti P, Gómez-Jurado MJ, Tantau A, Szentesi A, Hegyi P; Hungarian Pancreatic Study Group. EASY-APP: An artificial intelligence model and application for early and easy prediction of severity in acute pancreatitis. Clin Transl Med 2022; 12: e842 [PMID: 35653504 DOI: 10.1002/ctm2.842
- Bradley EL 3rd. A clinically based classification system for acute pancreatitis. Summary of the International Symposium 42 on Acute Pancreatitis, Atlanta, Ga, September 11 through 13, 1992. Arch Surg 1993; 128: 586-590 [PMID: 8489394 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.1993.01420170122019]
- Crockett SD, Wani S, Gardner TB, Falck-Ytter Y, Barkun AN; American Gastroenterological Association Institute 43 Clinical Guidelines Committee. American Gastroenterological Association Institute Guideline on Initial Management of Acute Pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2018; 154: 1096-1101 [PMID: 29409760 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.01.032]
- Hirota M, Takada T, Kitamura N, Ito T, Hirata K, Yoshida M, Mayumi T, Kataoka K, Takeda K, Sekimoto M, Hirota M, 44 Kimura Y, Wada K, Amano H, Gabata T, Arata S, Yokoe M, Kiriyama S. Fundamental and intensive care of acute pancreatitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2010; 17: 45-52 [PMID: 20012652 DOI: 10.1007/s00534-009-0210-7]
- de-Madaria E, Buxbaum JL, Maisonneuve P, García García de Paredes A, Zapater P, Guilabert L, Vaillo-Rocamora A, 45 Rodríguez-Gandía MÁ, Donate-Ortega J, Lozada-Hernández EE, Collazo Moreno AJR, Lira-Aguilar A, Llovet LP, Mehta R, Tandel R, Navarro P, Sánchez-Pardo AM, Sánchez-Marin C, Cobreros M, Fernández-Cabrera I, Casals-Seoane F, Casas Deza D, Lauret-Braña E, Martí-Marqués E, Camacho-Montaño LM, Ubieto V, Ganuza M, Bolado F; ERICA Consortium. Aggressive or Moderate Fluid Resuscitation in Acute Pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 2022; 387: 989-1000 [PMID: 36103415 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa22028841
- Cuéllar-Monterrubio JE, Monreal-Robles R, González-Moreno EI, Borjas-Almaguer OD, Herrera-Elizondo JL, García-Compean D, Maldonado-Garza HJ, González-González JA. Nonaggressive Versus Aggressive Intravenous Fluid Therapy in Acute Pancreatitis With More Than 24 Hours From Disease Onset: A Randomized Controlled Trial. Pancreas 2020; 49: 579-583 [PMID: 32282773 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.000000000001528]
- Gad MM, Simons-Linares CR. Is aggressive intravenous fluid resuscitation beneficial in acute pancreatitis? World J 47 Gastroenterol 2020; 26: 1098-1106 [PMID: 32206000 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v26.i10.1098]
- Angsubhakorn A, Tipchaichatta K, Chirapongsathorn S. Comparison of aggressive versus standard intravenous hydration 48 for clinical improvement among patients with mild acute pancreatitis: A randomized controlled trial. Pancreatology 2021; 21: 1224-1230 [PMID: 34215499 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2021.06.004]
- 49 Buxbaum JL, Quezada M, Da B, Jani N, Lane C, Mwengela D, Kelly T, Jhun P, Dhanireddy K, Laine L. Early Aggressive Hydration Hastens Clinical Improvement in Mild Acute Pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2017; 112: 797-803 [PMID: 28266591 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2017.40]
- Park CH, Paik WH, Park ET, Shim CS, Lee TY, Kang C, Noh MH, Yi SY, Lee JK, Hyun JJ. Aggressive intravenous 50 hydration with lactated Ringer's solution for prevention of post-ERCP pancreatitis: a prospective randomized multicenter clinical trial. Endoscopy 2018; 50: 378-385 [PMID: 29237204 DOI: 10.1055/s-0043-122386]
- 51 Wu M, Jiang S, Lu X, Zhong Y, Song Y, Fan Z, Kang X. Aggressive hydration with lactated ringer solution in prevention of post-endoscopic retrograde cholangiopancreatography pancreatitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Medicine (Baltimore) 2021; 100: e25598 [PMID: 33879722 DOI: 10.1097/MD.00000000025598]
- 52 Thompson DR. Narcotic analgesic effects on the sphincter of Oddi: a review of the data and therapeutic implications in treating pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2001; 96: 1266-1272 [PMID: 11316181 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2001.03536.x
- Peiró AM, Martínez J, Martínez E, de Madaria E, Llorens P, Horga JF, Pérez-Mateo M. Efficacy and tolerance of 53 metamizole versus morphine for acute pancreatitis pain. Pancreatology 2008; 8: 25-29 [PMID: 18235213 DOI: 10.1159/000114852
- Basurto Ona X, Rigau Comas D, Urrútia G. Opioids for acute pancreatitis pain. Cochrane Database Syst Rev 2013; 54 CD009179 [PMID: 23888429 DOI: 10.1002/14651858.CD009179.pub2]
- Al-Leswas D, Baxter N, Lim WB, Robertson F, Ratnayake B, Samanta J, Capurso G, de-Madaria E, Drewes AM, Windsor 55 J, Pandanaboyana S. The safety and efficacy of epidural anaesthesia in acute pancreatitis: a systematic review and metaanalysis. HPB (Oxford) 2023; 25: 162-171 [PMID: 36593161 DOI: 10.1016/j.hpb.2022.12.004]
- 56 Thavanesan N, White S, Lee S, Ratnayake B, Oppong KW, Nayar MK, Sharp L, Drewes AM, Capurso G, De-Madaria E, Siriwardena AK, Windsor JA, Pandanaboyana S. Analgesia in the Initial Management of Acute Pancreatitis: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of Randomised Controlled Trials. World J Surg 2022; 46: 878-890 [PMID: 34994837 DOI:



10.1007/s00268-021-06420-w]

- 57 Oláh A, Romics L Jr. Enteral nutrition in acute pancreatitis: a review of the current evidence. World J Gastroenterol 2014; 20: 16123-16131 [PMID: 25473164 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v20.i43.16123]
- Takeda K, Takada T, Kawarada Y, Hirata K, Mayumi T, Yoshida M, Sekimoto M, Hirota M, Kimura Y, Isaji S, Koizumi 58 M, Otsuki M, Matsuno S; JPN. JPN Guidelines for the management of acute pancreatitis: medical management of acute pancreatitis. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Surg 2006; 13: 42-47 [PMID: 16463210 DOI: 10.1007/s00534-005-1050-8]
- Meier R, Ockenga J, Pertkiewicz M, Pap A, Milinic N, Macfie J; DGEM (German Society for Nutritional Medicine), 59 Löser C, Keim V; ESPEN (European Society for Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition). ESPEN Guidelines on Enteral Nutrition: Pancreas. Clin Nutr 2006; 25: 275-284 [PMID: 16678943 DOI: 10.1016/j.clnu.2006.01.019]
- Sharma VK, Howden CW. Prophylactic antibiotic administration reduces sepsis and mortality in acute necrotizing 60 pancreatitis: a meta-analysis. Pancreas 2001; 22: 28-31 [PMID: 11138967 DOI: 10.1097/00006676-200101000-00005]
- 61 Isenmann R, Rünzi M, Kron M, Kahl S, Kraus D, Jung N, Maier L, Malfertheiner P, Goebell H, Beger HG; German Antibiotics in Severe Acute Pancreatitis Study Group. Prophylactic antibiotic treatment in patients with predicted severe acute pancreatitis: a placebo-controlled, double-blind trial. Gastroenterology 2004; 126: 997-1004 [PMID: 15057739 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2003.12.050]
- Kochhar R, Ahammed SK, Chakrabarti A, Ray P, Sinha SK, Dutta U, Wig JD, Singh K. Prevalence and outcome of fungal infection in patients with severe acute pancreatitis. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2009; 24: 743-747 [PMID: 19220667 DOI: 10.1111/j.1440-1746.2008.05712.x]
- Cruz-Santamaría DM, Taxonera C, Giner M. Update on pathogenesis and clinical management of acute pancreatitis. 63 World J Gastrointest Pathophysiol 2012; 3: 60-70 [PMID: 22737590 DOI: 10.4291/wjgp.v3.i3.60]
- Dellinger EP, Tellado JM, Soto NE, Ashley SW, Barie PS, Dugernier T, Imrie CW, Johnson CD, Knaebel HP, Laterre PF, 64 Maravi-Poma E, Kissler JJ, Sanchez-Garcia M, Utzolino S. Early antibiotic treatment for severe acute necrotizing pancreatitis: a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Ann Surg 2007; 245: 674-683 [PMID: 17457158 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000250414.09255.84
- García-Barrasa A, Borobia FG, Pallares R, Jorba R, Poves I, Busquets J, Fabregat J. A double-blind, placebo-controlled 65 trial of ciprofloxacin prophylaxis in patients with acute necrotizing pancreatitis. J Gastrointest Surg 2009; 13: 768-774 [PMID: 19082671 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-008-0773-7]
- Isaji S, Takada T, Mayumi T, Yoshida M, Wada K, Yokoe M, Itoi T, Gabata T. Revised Japanese guidelines for the 66 management of acute pancreatitis 2015: revised concepts and updated points. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci 2015; 22: 433-445 [PMID: 25904407 DOI: 10.1002/jhbp.260]
- van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG, Bakker OJ, Hofker HS, Boermeester MA, Dejong CH, van Goor H, Schaapherder AF, 67 van Eijck CH, Bollen TL, van Ramshorst B, Nieuwenhuijs VB, Timmer R, Laméris JS, Kruyt PM, Manusama ER, van der Harst E, van der Schelling GP, Karsten T, Hesselink EJ, van Laarhoven CJ, Rosman C, Bosscha K, de Wit RJ, Houdijk AP, van Leeuwen MS, Buskens E, Gooszen HG; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. A step-up approach or open necrosectomy for necrotizing pancreatitis. N Engl J Med 2010; 362: 1491-1502 [PMID: 20410514 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa0908821]
- da Costa DW, Boerma D, van Santvoort HC, Horvath KD, Werner J, Carter CR, Bollen TL, Gooszen HG, Besselink MG, 68 Bakker OJ. Staged multidisciplinary step-up management for necrotizing pancreatitis. Br J Surg 2014; 101: e65-e79 [PMID: 24272964 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.9346]
- Trikudanathan G, Tawfik P, Amateau SK, Munigala S, Arain M, Attam R, Beilman G, Flanagan S, Freeman ML, 69 Mallery S. Early (<4 Weeks) Versus Standard (≥4 Weeks) Endoscopically Centered Step-Up Interventions for Necrotizing Pancreatitis. Am J Gastroenterol 2018; 113: 1550-1558 [PMID: 30279466 DOI: 10.1038/s41395-018-0232-3]
- Aparna D, Kumar S, Kamalkumar S. Mortality and morbidity in necrotizing pancreatitis managed on principles of step-up 70 approach: 7 years experience from a single surgical unit. World J Gastrointest Surg 2017; 9: 200-208 [PMID: 29109852 DOI: 10.4240/wjgs.v9.i10.200]
- 71 Besselink MG. The 'step-up approach' to infected necrotizing pancreatitis: delay, drain, debride. Dig Liver Dis 2011; 43: 421-422 [PMID: 21531639 DOI: 10.1016/j.dld.2011.04.001]
- Bang JY, Arnoletti JP, Holt BA, Sutton B, Hasan MK, Navaneethan U, Feranec N, Wilcox CM, Tharian B, Hawes RH, 72 Varadarajulu S. An Endoscopic Transluminal Approach, Compared With Minimally Invasive Surgery, Reduces Complications and Costs for Patients With Necrotizing Pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 1027-1040.e3 [PMID: 30452918 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.11.031]
- van Brunschot S, van Grinsven J, Voermans RP, Bakker OJ, Besselink MG, Boermeester MA, Bollen TL, Bosscha K, 73 Bouwense SA, Bruno MJ, Cappendijk VC, Consten EC, Dejong CH, Dijkgraaf MG, van Eijck CH, Erkelens GW, van Goor H, Hadithi M, Haveman JW, Hofker SH, Jansen JJ, Laméris JS, van Lienden KP, Manusama ER, Meijssen MA, Mulder CJ, Nieuwenhuis VB, Poley JW, de Ridder RJ, Rosman C, Schaapherder AF, Scheepers JJ, Schoon EJ, Seerden T, Spanier BW, Straathof JW, Timmer R, Venneman NG, Vleggaar FP, Witteman BJ, Gooszen HG, van Santvoort HC, Fockens P; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Transluminal endoscopic step-up approach versus minimally invasive surgical step-up approach in patients with infected necrotising pancreatitis (TENSION trial): design and rationale of a randomised controlled multicenter trial [ISRCTN09186711]. BMC Gastroenterol 2013; 13: 161 [PMID: 24274589 DOI: 10.1186/1471-230X-13-161
- van Brunschot S, van Grinsven J, van Santvoort HC, Bakker OJ, Besselink MG, Boermeester MA, Bollen TL, Bosscha 74 K, Bouwense SA, Bruno MJ, Cappendijk VC, Consten EC, Dejong CH, van Eijck CH, Erkelens WG, van Goor H, van Grevenstein WMU, Haveman JW, Hofker SH, Jansen JM, Laméris JS, van Lienden KP, Meijssen MA, Mulder CJ, Nieuwenhuijs VB, Poley JW, Quispel R, de Ridder RJ, Römkens TE, Scheepers JJ, Schepers NJ, Schwartz MP, Seerden T, Spanier BWM, Straathof JWA, Strijker M, Timmer R, Venneman NG, Vleggaar FP, Voermans RP, Witteman BJ, Gooszen HG, Dijkgraaf MG, Fockens P; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Endoscopic or surgical step-up approach for infected necrotising pancreatitis: a multicentre randomised trial. Lancet 2018; 391: 51-58 [PMID: 29108721 DOI: 10.1016/S0140-6736(17)32404-2]
- Onnekink AM, Boxhoorn L, Timmerhuis HC, Bac ST, Besselink MG, Boermeester MA, Bollen TL, Bosscha K, 75



Bouwense SAW, Bruno MJ, van Brunschot S, Cappendijk VC, Consten ECJ, Dejong CH, Dijkgraaf MGW, van Eijck CHJ, Erkelens WG, van Goor H, van Grinsven J, Haveman JW, van Hooft JE, Jansen JM, van Lienden KP, Meijssen MAC, Nieuwenhuijs VB, Poley JW, Quispel R, de Ridder RJ, Römkens TEH, van Santvoort HC, Scheepers JJ, Schwartz MP, Seerden T, Spanier MBW, Straathof JWA, Timmer R, Venneman NG, Verdonk RC, Vleggaar FP, van Wanrooij RL, Witteman BJM, Fockens P, Voermans RP; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Endoscopic Versus Surgical Step-Up Approach for Infected Necrotizing Pancreatitis (ExTENSION): Long-term Follow-up of a Randomized Trial. Gastroenterology 2022; 163: 712-722.e14 [PMID: 35580661 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2022.05.015]

- Rizzatti G, Rimbaş M, Larghi A. Endoscopic Ultrasound-Guided Drainage for Infected Necrotizing Pancreatitis: Better 76 Than Surgery But Still Lacking Treatment Protocol Standardization. Gastroenterology 2019; 157: 582-583 [PMID: 31102662 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.01.274]
- Garg PK, Zyromski NJ, Freeman ML. Infected Necrotizing Pancreatitis: Evolving Interventional Strategies From 77 Minimally Invasive Surgery to Endoscopic Therapy-Evidence Mounts, But One Size Does Not Fit All. Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 867-871 [PMID: 30776344 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.02.015]
- Boxhoorn L, Besselink MG, Voermans RP; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Surgery Versus Endoscopy for Infected 78 Necrotizing Pancreatitis: A Fair Comparison? Gastroenterology 2019; 157: 583-584 [PMID: 31103626 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.03.073]
- Bang JY, Varadarajulu S. Reply. Gastroenterology 2019; 157: 584-585 [PMID: 31260665 DOI: 79 10.1053/j.gastro.2019.06.007]
- Liu RH, Wen Y, Sun HY, Liu CY, Zhang YF, Yang Y, Huang QL, Tang JJ, Huang CC, Tang LJ. Abdominal paracentesis 80 drainage ameliorates severe acute pancreatitis in rats by regulating the polarization of peritoneal macrophages. World J Gastroenterol 2018; 24: 5131-5143 [PMID: 30568390 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v24.i45.5131]
- Liu WH, Wang T, Yan HT, Chen T, Xu C, Ye P, Zhang N, Liu ZC, Tang LJ. Predictors of percutaneous catheter drainage 81 (PCD) after abdominal paracentesis drainage (APD) in patients with moderately severe or severe acute pancreatitis along with fluid collections. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0115348 [PMID: 25659143 DOI: 10.1371/journal.pone.0115348]
- Zerem E, Kunosić S, Zerem D, Boloban A, Zerem O, Zlomužica E. Benefits of abdominal paracentesis drainage 82 performed ahead of percutaneous catheter drainage as a modification of the step-up approach in acute pancreatitis with fluid collections. Acta Gastroenterol Belg 2020; 83: 285-293 [PMID: 32603048]
- Liu WH, Ren LN, Chen T, Liu LY, Jiang JH, Wang T, Xu C, Yan HT, Zheng XB, Song FQ, Tang LJ. Abdominal 83 paracentesis drainage ahead of percutaneous catheter drainage benefits patients attacked by acute pancreatitis with fluid collections: a retrospective clinical cohort study. Crit Care Med 2015; 43: 109-119 [PMID: 25251762 DOI: 10.1097/CCM.00000000000606]
- Wang T, Liu LY, Luo H, Dai RW, Liang HY, Chen T, Yan HT, Cui JF, Li NL, Yang W, Liu WH, Tang LJ. Intra-84 Abdominal Pressure Reduction After Percutaneous Catheter Drainage Is a Protective Factor for Severe Pancreatitis Patients With Sterile Fluid Collections. Pancreas 2016; 45: 127-133 [PMID: 26390416 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.000000000000420]
- 85 Liu L, Yan H, Liu W, Cui J, Wang T, Dai R, Liang H, Luo H, Tang L. Abdominal Paracentesis Drainage Does Not Increase Infection in Severe Acute Pancreatitis: A Prospective Study. J Clin Gastroenterol 2015; 49: 757-763 [PMID: 26053169 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.00000000000358]
- Zhang Y, Zhang SY, Gao SL, Liang ZY, Yu WQ, Liang TB. Successful Resolution of Gastric Outlet Obstruction Caused 86 by Pancreatic Pseudocyst or Walled-Off Necrosis After Acute Pancreatitis: The Role of Percutaneous Catheter Drainage. Pancreas 2015; 44: 1290-1295 [PMID: 26465954 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.00000000000429]
- Sugimoto M, Sonntag DP, Flint GS, Boyce CJ, Kirkham JC, Harris TJ, Carr SM, Nelson BD, Bell DA, Barton JG, 87 Traverso LW. Biliary Stenosis and Gastric Outlet Obstruction: Late Complications After Acute Pancreatitis With Pancreatic Duct Disruption. Pancreas 2018; 47: 772-777 [PMID: 29771770 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.000000000001064]
- Bellam BL, Samanta J, Gupta P, Kumar M P, Sharma V, Dhaka N, Sarma P, Muktesh G, Gupta V, Sinha SK, Kochhar R. 88 Predictors of outcome of percutaneous catheter drainage in patients with acute pancreatitis having acute fluid collection and development of a predictive model. Pancreatology 2019; 19: 658-664 [PMID: 31204261 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2019.05.467]
- 89 Sugimoto M, Sonntag DP, Flint GS, Boyce CJ, Kirkham JC, Harris TJ, Carr SM, Nelson BD, Barton JG, Traverso LW. A percutaneous drainage protocol for severe and moderately severe acute pancreatitis. Surg Endosc 2015; 29: 3282-3291 [PMID: 25631111 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-015-4077-1]
- Sugimoto M, Sonntag DP, Flint GS, Boyce CJ, Kirkham JC, Harris TJ, Carr SM, Nelson BD, Bell DA, Barton JG, 90 Traverso LW. Better Outcomes if Percutaneous Drainage Is Used Early and Proactively in the Course of Necrotizing Pancreatitis. J Vasc Interv Radiol 2016; 27: 418-425 [PMID: 26806694 DOI: 10.1016/j.jvir.2015.11.054]
- 91 Walser EM, Nealon WH, Marroquin S, Raza S, Hernandez JA, Vasek J. Sterile fluid collections in acute pancreatitis: catheter drainage versus simple aspiration. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol 2006; 29: 102-107 [PMID: 16283578 DOI: 10.1007/s00270-004-0220-4]
- van Baal MC, Bollen TL, Bakker OJ, van Goor H, Boermeester MA, Dejong CH, Gooszen HG, van der Harst E, van 92 Eijck CH, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. The role of routine fine-needle aspiration in the diagnosis of infected necrotizing pancreatitis. Surgery 2014; 155: 442-448 [PMID: 24287142 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2013.10.001]
- van Grinsven J, van Dijk SM, Dijkgraaf MG, Boermeester MA, Bollen TL, Bruno MJ, van Brunschot S, Dejong CH, van 93 Eijck CH, van Lienden KP, Boerma D, van Duijvendijk P, Hadithi M, Haveman JW, van der Hulst RW, Jansen JM, Lips DJ, Manusama ER, Molenaar IQ, van der Peet DL, Poen AC, Quispel R, Schaapherder AF, Schoon EJ, Schwartz MP, Seerden TC, Spanier BWM, Straathof JW, Venneman NG, van de Vrie W, Witteman BJ, van Goor H, Fockens P, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Postponed or immediate drainage of infected necrotizing pancreatitis (POINTER trial): study protocol for a randomized controlled trial. Trials 2019; 20: 239 [PMID: 31023380 DOI: 10.1186/s13063-019-3315-6]
- van Baal MC, van Santvoort HC, Bollen TL, Bakker OJ, Besselink MG, Gooszen HG; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. 94



Systematic review of percutaneous catheter drainage as primary treatment for necrotizing pancreatitis. Br J Surg 2011; 98: 18-27 [PMID: 21136562 DOI: 10.1002/bjs.7304]

- Horvath K, Freeny P, Escallon J, Heagerty P, Comstock B, Glickerman DJ, Bulger E, Sinanan M, Langdale L, 95 Kolokythas O, Andrews RT. Safety and efficacy of video-assisted retroperitoneal debridement for infected pancreatic collections: a multicenter, prospective, single-arm phase 2 study. Arch Surg 2010; 145: 817-825 [PMID: 20855750 DOI: 10.1001/archsurg.2010.178]
- Bausch D, Wellner U, Kahl S, Kuesters S, Richter-Schrag HJ, Utzolino S, Hopt UT, Keck T, Fischer A. Minimally 96 invasive operations for acute necrotizing pancreatitis: comparison of minimally invasive retroperitoneal necrosectomy with endoscopic transgastric necrosectomy. Surgery 2012; 152: S128-S134 [PMID: 22770962 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2012.05.021]
- Mallick B, Dhaka N, Gupta P, Gulati A, Malik S, Sinha SK, Yadav TD, Gupta V, Kochhar R. An audit of percutaneous 97 drainage for acute necrotic collections and walled off necrosis in patients with acute pancreatitis. Pancreatology 2018; 18: 727-733 [PMID: 30146334 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2018.08.010]
- van Grinsven J, van Brunschot S, Bakker OJ, Bollen TL, Boermeester MA, Bruno MJ, Dejong CH, Dijkgraaf MG, van 98 Eijck CH, Fockens P, van Goor H, Gooszen HG, Horvath KD, van Lienden KP, van Santvoort HC, Besselink MG; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Diagnostic strategy and timing of intervention in infected necrotizing pancreatitis: an international expert survey and case vignette study. HPB (Oxford) 2015 [PMID: 26475650 DOI: 10.1111/hpb.12491]
- Hollemans RA, Bakker OJ, Boermeester MA, Bollen TL, Bosscha K, Bruno MJ, Buskens E, Dejong CH, van Duijvendijk 99 P, van Eijck CH, Fockens P, van Goor H, van Grevenstein WM, van der Harst E, Heisterkamp J, Hesselink EJ, Hofker S, Houdijk AP, Karsten T, Kruyt PM, van Laarhoven CJ, Laméris JS, van Leeuwen MS, Manusama ER, Molenaar IQ, Nieuwenhuijs VB, van Ramshorst B, Roos D, Rosman C, Schaapherder AF, van der Schelling GP, Timmer R, Verdonk RC, de Wit RJ, Gooszen HG, Besselink MG, van Santvoort HC; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Superiority of Step-up Approach vs Open Necrosectomy in Long-term Follow-up of Patients With Necrotizing Pancreatitis. Gastroenterology 2019; 156: 1016-1026 [PMID: 30391468 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2018.10.045]
- 100 van Dijk SM, Timmerhuis HC, Verdonk RC, Reijnders E, Bruno MJ, Fockens P, Voermans RP, Besselink MG, van Santvoort HC; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Treatment of disrupted and disconnected pancreatic duct in necrotizing pancreatitis: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Pancreatology 2019; 19: 905-915 [PMID: 31473083 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2019.08.006]
- 101 Sandrasegaran K, Tann M, Jennings SG, Maglinte DD, Peter SD, Sherman S, Howard TJ. Disconnection of the pancreatic duct: an important but overlooked complication of severe acute pancreatitis. Radiographics 2007; 27: 1389-1400 [PMID: 17848698 DOI: 10.1148/rg.275065163]
- 102 Fischer TD, Gutman DS, Hughes SJ, Trevino JG, Behrns KE. Disconnected pancreatic duct syndrome: disease classification and management strategies. J Am Coll Surg 2014; 219: 704-712 [PMID: 25065360 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2014.03.055]
- 103 Nealon WH, Bhutani M, Riall TS, Raju G, Ozkan O, Neilan R. A unifying concept: pancreatic ductal anatomy both predicts and determines the major complications resulting from pancreatitis. J Am Coll Surg 2009; 208: 790-9; discussion 799 [PMID: 19476839 DOI: 10.1016/j.jamcollsurg.2008.12.027]
- Howard TJ, Moore SA, Saxena R, Matthews DE, Schmidt CM, Wiebke EA. Pancreatic duct strictures are a common 104 cause of recurrent pancreatitis after successful management of pancreatic necrosis. Surgery 2004; 136: 909-916 [PMID: 15467678 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2004.06.028]
- 105 Zerem E, Imširović B, Loga-Zec S, Kunosić S, Hujdurović A, Zerem O. Treatment of Recurrent Pancreatic Pseudocysts with Proven Communication between Pseudocyst and Pancreatic Duct by Long-term Percutaneous Drainage. Ann Acad Med Singap 2015; 44: 542-544 [PMID: 27089962]
- 106 Nadkarni NA, Kotwal V, Sarr MG, Swaroop Vege S. Disconnected Pancreatic Duct Syndrome: Endoscopic Stent or Surgeon's Knife? Pancreas 2015; 44: 16-22 [PMID: 25493375 DOI: 10.1097/MPA.0000000000216]
- Varadarajulu S, Wilcox CM. Endoscopic placement of permanent indwelling transmural stents in disconnected 107 pancreatic duct syndrome: does benefit outweigh the risks? Gastrointest Endosc 2011; 74: 1408-1412 [PMID: 21981812 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2011.07.049]
- Rana SS, Bhasin DK, Rao C, Sharma R, Gupta R. Consequences of long term indwelling transmural stents in patients 108 with walled off pancreatic necrosis & disconnected pancreatic duct syndrome. Pancreatology 2013; 13: 486-490 [PMID: 24075512 DOI: 10.1016/j.pan.2013.07.284]
- Zerem E, Omerović S. Successful percutaneous drainage with iodine irrigation for pancreatic fistulas and abscesses after 109 necrotizing pancreatitis. Med Princ Pract 2012; 21: 398-400 [PMID: 22398319 DOI: 10.1159/000336594]
- Varadarajulu S, Bang JY, Sutton BS, Trevino JM, Christein JD, Wilcox CM. Equal efficacy of endoscopic and surgical 110 cystogastrostomy for pancreatic pseudocyst drainage in a randomized trial. Gastroenterology 2013; 145: 583-90.e1 [PMID: 23732774 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2013.05.046]
- Halttunen J, Kylänpää L. Treatment of Pancreatic Fistulas. Eur J Trauma Emerg Surg 2007; 33: 227-230 [PMID: 26814484 DOI: 10.1007/s00068-007-7067-81
- Seewald S, Brand B, Groth S, Omar S, Mendoza G, Seitz U, Yasuda I, Xikun H, Nam VC, Xu H, Thonke F, Soehendra N. 112 Endoscopic sealing of pancreatic fistula by using N-butyl-2-cyanoacrylate. Gastrointest Endosc 2004; 59: 463-470 [PMID: 15044879 DOI: 10.1016/s0016-5107(03)02708-1]
- 113 van Grinsven J, van Brunschot S, van Baal MC, Besselink MG, Fockens P, van Goor H, van Santvoort HC, Bollen TL; Dutch Pancreatitis Study Group. Natural History of Gas Configurations and Encapsulation in Necrotic Collections During Necrotizing Pancreatitis. J Gastrointest Surg 2018; 22: 1557-1564 [PMID: 29752684 DOI: 10.1007/s11605-018-3792-z]
- Zerem E, Pavlović-Čalić N, Sušić A, Haračić B. Percutaneous management of pancreatic abscesses: long term results in a 114 single center. Eur J Intern Med 2011; 22: e50-e54 [PMID: 21925043 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2011.01.015]
- Nealon WH, Walser E. Surgical management of complications associated with percutaneous and/or endoscopic management of pseudocyst of the pancreas. Ann Surg 2005; 241: 948-57; discussion 957 [PMID: 15912044 DOI: 10.1097/01.sla.0000164737.86249.81]



- 116 Zerem E, Hauser G, Loga-Zee S, Kunosić S, Jovanović P, Crnkić D. Minimally invasive treatment of pancreatic pseudocysts. World J Gastroenterol 2015; 21: 6850-6860 [PMID: 26078561 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v21.i22.6850]
- 117 Tyberg A, Karia K, Gabr M, Desai A, Doshi R, Gaidhane M, Sharaiha RZ, Kahaleh M. Management of pancreatic fluid collections: A comprehensive review of the literature. World J Gastroenterol 2016; 22: 2256-2270 [PMID: 26900288 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v22.i7.2256]
- 118 Zerem E, Imamović G, Omerović S, Ljuca F, Haracić B. Percutaneous treatment for symptomatic pancreatic pseudocysts: Long-term results in a single center. Eur J Intern Med 2010; 21: 393-397 [PMID: 20816592 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejim.2010.06.015
- 119 Will U, Wegener C, Graf KI, Wanzar I, Manger T, Meyer F. Differential treatment and early outcome in the interventional endoscopic management of pancreatic pseudocysts in 27 patients. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 4175-4178 [PMID: 16830368 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v12.i26.4175]
- 120 Flati G, Andrén-Sandberg A, La Pinta M, Porowska B, Carboni M. Potentially fatal bleeding in acute pancreatitis: pathophysiology, prevention, and treatment. Pancreas 2003; 26: 8-14 [PMID: 12499910 DOI: 10.1097/00006676-200301000-00002
- Fonseca Sepúlveda EV, Guerrero-Lozano R. Acute pancreatitis and recurrent acute pancreatitis: an exploration of clinical 121 and etiologic factors and outcomes. J Pediatr (Rio J) 2019; 95: 713-719 [PMID: 30075118 DOI: 10.1016/j.jped.2018.06.011]
- Kumar S, Ooi CY, Werlin S, Abu-El-Haija M, Barth B, Bellin MD, Durie PR, Fishman DS, Freedman SD, Gariepy C, 122 Giefer MJ, Gonska T, Heyman MB, Himes R, Husain SZ, Lin TK, Lowe ME, Morinville V, Palermo JJ, Pohl JF, Schwarzenberg SJ, Troendle D, Wilschanski M, Zimmerman MB, Uc A. Risk Factors Associated With Pediatric Acute Recurrent and Chronic Pancreatitis: Lessons From INSPPIRE. JAMA Pediatr 2016; 170: 562-569 [PMID: 27064572 DOI: 10.1001/jamapediatrics.2015.4955]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

