

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 83136

**Title:** Current trends in acute pancreatitis: diagnostic and therapeutic challenges

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00068668

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** FASGE, MD, MSc, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Doctor, Staff Physician

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** United States

**Author's Country/Territory:** Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-10 04:39

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-12 05:02

**Review time:** 2 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

In this papers the authors did it a revision about an interesting topic in Gastroenterology. A review in this topic could be of interest for the readers of the journal and could be considered for publishing. Several concerns appears after the reading of the manuscript.

1. Because it is a broad topic, some aspects are mentioned superficially. I understand the broad topic; a complete revision could represent a long review. It may be more interesting to focus the revision on specific aspects and exclude some topics that could be exposed in-deep in a different review (as WON or PFC). 2. The title of the article is "current trends...." But some recent and important references are missing (Ex. N Engl J Med. 2022 Sep 15;387(11):989-1000) 3. Even when a big number of references are included, is important to mention that a considerable number of the main reference in the topic are missing (ex. Lancet. 2018 Jan 6;391(10115):51-58. Gastroenterology. 2022 Sep;163(3):712-722.e14.) 4. The conclusion is too long.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastroenterology*

**Manuscript NO:** 83136

**Title:** Current trends in acute pancreatitis: diagnostic and therapeutic challenges

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 00077340

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** Bosnia and Herzegovina

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-01-09

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-01-09 05:52

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-01-20 06:02

**Review time:** 11 Days

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript by Enver Zerem entitled " Current trends in acute pancreatitis: diagnostic and therapeutic challenges " covers a wide range of topics for acute pancreatitis. Though this text is well worth reading, following comments are offered.

Major Comments    None    Minor Comments. 1. On the eighth line of the Abstract, author had better insert a comma after "According to the revised Atlanta classification".

2. About Table 1. Etiology of acute pancreatitis, the spelling of "Crohn's disease" should be written by English letter. 3. About Figure 2 Panel A, the picture needs to be cropped watch and clothes. The items which are identified individual should be removed in terms of the protection for personal information. 4. About Figure 2 Panel B, there is no record of arrow 1 and arrow 2 in the picture. Author should show correctly.

5. About Figure 3, author had better paste on body mark to show the position and direction of the echo probe.