

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84500

Title: Cohort analysis of pediatric intussusception score to diagnose intussusception

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03011144 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: DNB, FACS, FICS, MBBS, MCh, MNAMS

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-16

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-04-18 02:58

Reviewer performed review: 2023-04-18 03:19

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. How did mixing of cases take place, when it was decided to retrospectively review case records? 2. Was history / presentation examined to look for inconsolable cry / drawing up legs ? 3. What signs on the abdominal xray were there to differentiate the Intussusception group? 4. Time of onset / presentation, shock / apathy are additional features not listed 5. What do the authors conclude? Conclusion is not available in the main manuscript, although the abstract includes a short conclusion. 6. Abd radiography, in addition to increasing the risk of radiation exposure, is well known to be a poor diagnostic tool.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 84500

Title: Cohort analysis of pediatric intussusception score to diagnose intussusception

Provenance and peer review: Invited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05389477 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Kingdom of Saudi Arabia

Author's Country/Territory: Thailand

Manuscript submission date: 2023-03-16

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-26 05:14

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-05 14:53

Review time: 10 Days and 9 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The submission needs to be edited for correction of grammatical errors.