

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Experimental Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 85135

**Title:** Update on hydroxychloroquine use in pregnancy

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05566451

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Doctor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** China

**Author's Country/Territory:** Iraq

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-04-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-04-26 10:55

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-05-03 01:23

**Review time:** 6 Days and 14 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The structure of this article is dispersible and can be explained more profoundly. The hierarchy of the article is not clear enough.
2. I suggest that the format of the references needs to be revised.
3. Are there any differences about hydroxychloroquine use in Pregnancy between COVID-19 pandemic and non-COVID-19 pandemic.

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Experimental Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 85135

**Title:** Update on hydroxychloroquine use in pregnancy

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05527087

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** Doctor, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Associate Professor, Associate Research Scientist, Doctor, Lecturer, Research Associate, Research Scientist, Researcher, Science Editor, Senior Editor, Senior Researcher, Surgeon

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Morocco

**Author's Country/Territory:** Iraq

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-04-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-06-19 07:07

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-06-19 16:04

**Review time:** 8 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty

<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation
<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

#### SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

a very interesting letter to editor with high standing of novelty, suggesting to conduct more studies of HCQ and pregnancy outcomes, to publish, regards

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Experimental Medicine*

**Manuscript NO:** 85135

**Title:** Update on hydroxychloroquine use in pregnancy

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 05213310

**Position:** Editorial Board

**Academic degree:** PhD

**Professional title:** Adjunct Professor, Full Professor

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Saudi Arabia

**Author's Country/Territory:** Iraq

**Manuscript submission date:** 2023-04-13

**Reviewer chosen by:** Geng-Long Liu

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2023-06-19 04:37

**Reviewer performed review:** 2023-06-21 11:59

**Review time:** 2 Days and 7 Hours

Scientific quality	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation

<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Greetings, Authro(s), Please correct the following errors: - I hope the author(s) change the title to be more short and descriptive of why this "Letter to the Editor" was written.

- Rewrite the conclusion on page 4 to show whether the research problem has been solved or not, or whether the current research has met its objectives. - The number of references utilized exceeds what is required. Please keep the references that support the topic of this "Letter to the Editor" concise. Also, there are references that are not recent, therefore I request author(s) to limit the usage of references until 2023 and five years after that. Good luck,