

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 85497

Title: Emerging Therapeutic Options for Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A

Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05270042 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Viet Nam

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-07 02:06

Reviewer performed review: 2023-05-14 02:54

Review time: 7 Days

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This research has innovative ideas to find new drugs for treating NAFLD. 1. The authors should specify the diagnostic criteria for NAFLD. Did all patients have liver biopsies? 2.Criteria for assessing the convalescence in each study were different. So the effectiveness assessment of the drug may not be accurate. 3. The studies lasted for a short time, without long term follow-up, and did not evaluate the side effects of the drug. The side effects of the drug are very important. 4. Most of the studies were performed on animals. There were few of them on human. Further research may be needed to prove the safety of the drug. Table 1 included only 1 study on human and 4 on animals, table 2 included 1 study on human and 3 on animals, table 3 included 3 studies on human and 8 on animals, and table 4 included 6 studies on human and 6 on animals. Using animal studies in human research, the results may not be as expected.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 85497

Title: Emerging Therapeutic Options for Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A

Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03645449 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-01 05:01

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-01 05:52

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [<mark>Y</mark>] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Tidwell et al. performed a systematic review to evaluate the effectiveness of cyclophilin inhibitors, FGF21, and dual and pan PPAR agonists for treating NAFLD. Here are some ABSTRACT • Please structure your Results and Conclusions suggestions: scientifically. Be specific about your findings and their clinical implications. INTRODUCTION • Please include recent studies relevant to your topic. • In the last paragraph of the Introduction, state the clinical implications of your study. What unanswered questions or problems remain? What questions does your study attempt to METHODS • Consider adding Cochrane Library and Scopus to your list of databases. RESULTS • Your Results should not solely consist of Tables. Please include the main findings in the main text. • In Figure 1, please correct the number of records identified from the databases, as it is not 3!



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 85497

Title: Emerging Therapeutic Options for Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A

Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02933714 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: Geng-Long Liu

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-05-30 00:47

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-05 09:29

Review time: 6 Days and 8 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The manuscript "Emerging Therapeutic Options for Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: Cyclophilin Inhibitors, FGF21 Agonists, Dual and Pan PPAR Agonists - A Systematic Review" completely reviewed the clinical trial of using Cyclophilin Inhibitors, FGF21 Agonists, Dual and Pan PPAR Agonists in treatment of non-alcoholic fatty liver diseases. I think the manuscript is well written and meaningful.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Hepatology

Manuscript NO: 85497

Title: Emerging Therapeutic Options for Non-Alcoholic Fatty Liver Disease: A

Systematic Review

Provenance and peer review: Invited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03645449 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: United States

Manuscript submission date: 2023-04-30

Reviewer chosen by: Jia-Ru Fan

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-06-19 05:22

Reviewer performed review: 2023-06-19 05:24

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous



statements

Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thanks for the revisions.