

Dear Editor,

We thank the reviewers and editorial team for taking their efforts to improve the article to increase its value for publication. Herewith we submit the revised version of the article addressing the reviewer's comments and the action taken for their valuable suggestions have been mentioned below.

Reviewer 1 comments	Authors Reply	Action Taken
Change the title of the article and shorten it in order to be more consistent with the existing article's purpose.	Thanks for the comment.	Title changed
The study's abstract appears to be excessively broad. I hope the author(s) will make it more scientific by outlining the existing article's goals/objectives.	Thanks for the keen observation.	Addressed
The conclusion section of the study abstract should be rephrased.	Thanks for the valuable comment.	Addressed
I would want the author(s) to improve image No. 1 by providing a logical sequence demonstrating the strategies for publishing a case report.	Thanks for the keen observation.	
Some of the references in the article are out of date and should be updated, as well as utilizing references from 2023 and at least five years beyond that.	Thanks for the comment.	References from 2023 are mentioned
Reviewer 2 Comments	Authors Reply	Action Taken
The summary does not match the flow of the text. This is an elementary flaw. Where did the main point come from that "a graphical abstract must be adopted"? Please add to your discussion a further enumeration of the rationale, evidence, and factors that have contributed to the dramatic decline in case reporting.	Thanks for the comment.	Addressed
We believe that a general description of the five main standardized SEASONS is unnecessary. It makes little sense. Please delete them or provide a shortened description of only the main points. Rather, please	Thanks for the comment.	Addressed

<p>explain and discuss the significance and usefulness of each SECTION as a case report, how it is recommended to be described, and the rationale behind it, citing references, and if not, specifically explaining and discussing the author's opinion, knowledge and experience gained from previous experiences.</p>		
<p>The related editorial in Figure 1 is interesting and appreciated; it would be better to delete or shorten the description of the five main SECTIONS and increase this SECTION and the author's discussion sufficiently.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comment.</p>	<p>Addressed</p>
<p>Page 7, line 4: It is stated that case reports are infrequently cited, which reduces the IF of the journal, but since they are case-specific reports and studies, the scope of the content is narrow and the expertise of the readers is limited, resulting in a small number of readers</p>	<p>Thanks for the comment.</p>	<p>Addressed</p>
<p>The report has the important role of searching for similar reported case series, examining them thoroughly, and advocating their characteristics and future research topics.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comment.</p>	<p>Already mentioned</p>
<p>Page 7, line 10: There is no difference or bias in the process of publication of case report articles depending on the department. Case reports from all departments are useful and do not differ. The description is childish and could be misleading as it is biased.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comment.</p>	<p>Addressed</p>
<p>Page 7, line 19 What is a retrospective study? Please provide sources and details about the study.</p>	<p>Thanks for the comment.</p>	<p>Addressed</p>