

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 85664

Title: Risk factors of concurrent urinary sepsis in patients with diabetes mellitus comorbid with upper urinary tract calculi

Journal Editor-in-Chief (Associate Editor): Lu Cai

Country/Territory: United States

Editorial Director: Jia-Ru Fan

Date accepted review: 2023-07-13 09:26

Date reviewed: 2023-07-13 09:36

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	language polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision

JOURNAL EDITOR-IN-CHIEF (ASSOCIATE EDITOR) COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The system records made me very confused, please explain to me for the following issues: 1) From record of Manuscript operations, this revised manuscript was not sent back to the previous reviewers to evaluate whether their comments were well addressed. 2) The authors mentioned in responding letter that they have improved the manuscript with necessary revision, but (a) the authors did not provide where and how to revise and (2) the sent back manuscript were not tracked or marked where the revised sections or contents. From the Manuscript Review records, there were no review round 2 record, but the re-review boxes were checked for both reviewers; however, the "view detail" sections only have the first-round review records for both reviewers (June 20 reports). Therefore, it was very confused whether the second-round review process was done by the previous two reviewers or not. Please address these questions! Lu