World Journal of *Gastrointestinal Oncology*

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2023 August 15; 15(8): 1317-1504





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJ

Governational of Gastrointestinal Oncolor

Contents

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 15, 2023

REVIEW

1317 Update and latest advances in mechanisms and management of colitis-associated colorectal cancer

Dan WY, Zhou GZ, Peng LH, Pan F

MINIREVIEWS

1332 Breast cancer metastasizing to the upper gastrointestinal tract (the esophagus and the stomach): A comprehensive review of the literature

Da Cunha T, Restrepo D, Abi-Saleh S, Dharan M

1342 Research progress on drug delivery systems for curcumin in the treatment of gastrointestinal tumors Wu X, Yang Y

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Basic Study

1349 Potential of damage associated molecular patterns in synergising radiation and the immune response in oesophageal cancer

Donlon NE, Davern M, Sheppard A, O'Connell F, Moran B, Nugent TS, Heeran A, Phelan JJ, Bhardwaj A, Butler C, Ravi N, Donohoe CL, Lynam-Lennon N, Maher S, Reynolds JV, Lysaght J

LINC01268 promotes epithelial-mesenchymal transition, invasion and metastasis of gastric cancer via the 1366 PI3K/Akt signaling pathway and targeting MARCKS

Tang LH, Ye PC, Yao L, Luo YJ, Tan W, Xiang WP, Liu ZL, Tan L, Xiao JW

1384 Antitumor activity of miR-188-3p in gastric cancer is achieved by targeting CBL expression and inactivating the AKT/mTOR signaling

Lin JJ, Luo BH, Su T, Yang Q, Zhang QF, Dai WY, Liu Y, Xiang L

1400 Physcion increases the sensitivity of hepatocellular carcinoma to sorafenib through miRNA-370/PIM1 axis-regulated glycolysis

Pan XP, Jiya BR, Wang F, Lan Z

Clinical and Translational Research

1412 Expression patterns of cluster of differentiation 147 impact the prognosis of hepatocellular carcinoma Xu YJ, He HJ, Wu P, Li WB

Case Control Study

1424 Fecal microbial biomarkers combined with multi-target stool DNA test improve diagnostic accuracy for colorectal cancer

Fan JO, Zhao WF, Lu OW, Zha FR, Lv LB, Ye GL, Gao HL



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 15, 2023

Retrospective Cohort Study

1436 Comparison of clinicopathological characteristics and survival outcomes between gallbladder mucinous adenocarcinoma and gallbladder adenocarcinoma: A propensity score-matched study

Yang WW, Fang YT, Niu YR, Sun YK

1451 Incidence and prevalence of gastric neuroendocrine tumors in patients with chronic atrophic autoimmune gastritis

Massironi S, Gallo C, Elvevi A, Stegagnini M, Coltro LA, Invernizzi P

Retrospective Study

1461 Epidemiologic characteristics and risk factors associated with overall survival for patients with mucinous colorectal cancer: A population-based study

Jiang J, Tang XW, Huang S, Hu N, Chen Y, Luo B, Ren WS, Peng Y, Yang WX, Lü MH

Carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 in gastric cancer and 1475 their relationship with clinical prognosis

Wang R, Zuo CL, Zhang R, Zhu LM

Observational Study

1486 Development and application of hepatocellular carcinoma risk prediction model based on clinical characteristics and liver related indexes

Liu ZJ, Xu Y, Wang WX, Guo B, Zhang GY, Luo GC, Wang Q

CASE REPORT

1497 Gastric neuroendocrine tumors in a BRCA2 germline mutation carrier: A case report

Zhang HF, Zheng Y, Wen X, Zhao J, Li J



Contents

World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Monthly Volume 15 Number 8 August 15, 2023

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology, Tomohide Hori, FACS, MD, PhD, Chief Doctor, Director, Doctor, Surgeon, Department of Gastroenterology and Hepatology, Nagai Hospital, Tsu 514-8508, Mie, Japan. tomohidehori@yahoo.co.jp

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology (WJGO, World J Gastrointest Oncol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastrointestinal oncology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online.

WJGO mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastrointestinal oncology and covering a wide range of topics including liver cell adenoma, gastric neoplasms, appendiceal neoplasms, biliary tract neoplasms, hepatocellular carcinoma, pancreatic carcinoma, cecal neoplasms, colonic neoplasms, colorectal neoplasms, duodenal neoplasms, esophageal neoplasms, gallbladder neoplasms, etc.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJGO is now abstracted and indexed in PubMed, PubMed Central, Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE, also known as SciSearch®), Journal Citation Reports/Science Edition, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China National Knowledge Infrastructure, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJGO as 3.0; IF without journal self cites: 2.9; 5-year IF: 3.0; Journal Citation Indicator: 0.49; Ranking: 157 among 241 journals in oncology; Quartile category: Q3; Ranking: 58 among 93 journals in gastroenterology and hepatology; and Quartile category: Q3. The WJGO's CiteScore for 2022 is 4.1 and Scopus CiteScore rank 2022: Gastroenterology is 71/149; Oncology is 197/366.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Xiang-Di Zhang; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

w ora Journai of Gastromtestinai Oncology TSSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1948-5204 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE February 15, 2009	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY Monthly	PUBLICATION ETHICS https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Monjur Ahmed, Florin Burada	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
August 15, 2023	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com

© 2023 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com https://www.wjgnet.com



0 W J

World Journal of **Gastrointestinal** Oncology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastrointest Oncol 2023 August 15; 15(8): 1475-1485

DOI: 10.4251/wjgo.v15.i8.1475

Retrospective Study

ISSN 1948-5204 (online)

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 in gastric cancer and their relationship with clinical prognosis

Ran Wang, Chun-Lei Zuo, Rui Zhang, Li-Mei Zhu

Specialty type: Oncology

Provenance and peer review:

Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Hoshino I, Japan; Shen L, China

Received: June 20, 2023 Peer-review started: June 20, 2023 First decision: July 7, 2023 Revised: July 17, 2023 Accepted: July 27, 2023 Article in press: July 27, 2023 Published online: August 15, 2023



Ran Wang, Chun-Lei Zuo, Rui Zhang, Li-Mei Zhu, Department of Medical Laboratory, The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang, Lianyungang 222002, Jiangsu Province, China

Corresponding author: Li-Mei Zhu, MM, Chief Doctor, Department of Medical Laboratory, The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang, No. 182 Tongguan North Road, Lianyungang 222002, Jiangsu Province, China. zlm5902@163.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Gastric cancer (GC) is a common malignant tumor of the digestive system with a high degree of malignancy. It usually develops insidiously without any specific symptoms in the early stages. As one of the diseases caused by abnormal gene changes, GC has abnormal expression of various oncogenes and products during its development. Tumor markers such as carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) and carbohydrate antigen 724 (CA724) are not expressed or lowly expressed in normal people, but significantly increased after carcinogenesis. Monitoring the changes in the levels of tumor markers such as CEA, CA199 and CA724 is conducive to early diagnosis and evaluation of the occurrence of some solid tumors.

AIM

To investigate the expression of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in GC and their correlation with clinical features, hoping to provide more effective markers for the early preventive diagnosis of GC.

METHODS

Of 87 patients with GC admitted to our hospital from September 2020 to December 2021 were included in the GC group, and another 80 healthy people who came to our hospital for physical examination with normal results during the same period were selected as the control group. The serum CEA, CA199, and CA724 levels were compared between the two groups, and the serum CEA, CA199, and CA724 levels were compared in patients with GC at different TNM stages, and the differences in the positive rates of CEA, CA199, and CA724 alone and in combination in detecting TNM stages of GC and GC were compared. In addition, the relationship between the levels of tumor markers CEA, CA199 and



WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

CA724 and the clinicopathological characteristics of GC patients was also analyzed. The relationship between the serum levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 and the survival period of GC patients was analyzed by Pearson.

RESULTS

The serum levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in GC group were significantly higher than those in control group (P < 0.05). With the increase of TNM stage, the serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 expression levels in GC patients increased significantly, and the differences between groups were statistically significant (P < 0.05). The positive rate of the CA724 single test was higher than that of CEA and CA199 single test (P < 0.05). The positive rate of the three combined tests was 95.40% (83/87), which was higher than that of CEA, CA199 and CA724 single tests. The difference was statistically significant (P < 0.05). The combined detection positive rates of CEA, CA199, and CA724 in stages I, II, III, and IV of GC were 89.66%, 93.10%, 98.85%, and 100.00% respectively, all of which were higher than the individual detection rates of CEA, CA199, and CA724. The differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05). There was no significant difference in serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels between GC patients with different genders, smoking history and alcohol history (P > 0.05). However, the serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels were significantly higher in GC patients aged \geq 45 years, TNM stage III-IV, with lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter \geq 5 cm than in GC patients aged < 45 years, TNM stage III, without lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter < 5 cm (P < 0.05).

CONCLUSION

The expression levels of serum tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC are high and rise with the increase of TNM stage. The levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 are related to age, TNM stage, lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter. The combined detection of CEA, CA199 and CA724 is helpful to improve the diagnostic accuracy of GC with high clinical guidance value.

Key Words: Carcinoembryonic antigen; Carbohydrate antigen 199; Carbohydrate antigen 724; Gastric cancer; TNM stage; Clinicopathologic

©The Author(s) 2023. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: In this retrospective analysis, the expression levels of tumor markers carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) and carbohydrate antigen 724 (CA724) in gastric cancer (GC) patients and normal people were detected. In addition, the serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in GC patients with different TNM stages, and the differences in the positive rates of TNM stages of GC and GC detected by CEA, CA199 and CA724 alone and in combination were compared. The relationship between tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels and clinicopathological characteristics of GC patients was analyzed.

Citation: Wang R, Zuo CL, Zhang R, Zhu LM. Carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 in gastric cancer and their relationship with clinical prognosis. *World J Gastrointest Oncol* 2023; 15(8): 1475-1485 **URL:** https://www.wjgnet.com/1948-5204/full/v15/i8/1475.htm **DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.4251/wjgo.v15.i8.1475

INTRODUCTION

Gastric cancer (GC) is a common malignant tumor of the digestive system originating from gastric epithelial cells, which can occur at any age, especially in the middle-aged and elderly population aged 40 to 60 years, and is more common in males than in females[1], with greater clinical harm, more than 1 million new cases each year worldwide, advanced GC has a high mortality rate, and the prognosis is unsatisfactory, seriously threatening the life safety and quality of life of patients[2,3]. According to authoritative literature, GC as a disease with high molecular and phenotypic consistency, the etiological factors are diverse, of which age, smoking, *Helicobacter pylori* infection, low fruit and vegetable intake and high salt intake are all high risk factors for the incidence of GC[4,5]. Timely adjustment of diet, smoking cessation and appropriate exercise are expected to be effective means to prevent the incidence of GC, while for patients who have suffered from GC, early examination of tumor markers is more beneficial to early diagnosis of the disease, which is of great significance for improving the survival rate and prognosis of patients[6].

Carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), first extracted from colon cancer and embryonic tissues, is an acidic glycoprotein with human embryonic antigen characteristics, and can also exist in the form of membrane structural proteins on the surface of cancer cells and surrounding body fluids[7]. It is a common marker of solid tumors such as colon cancer, lung cancer, breast cancer and GC, and the change of its expression level can be used as an effective indicator to evaluate the therapeutic effect and prognosis of malignant tumor diseases[8,9]. Carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199), an oligosaccharide tumor-associated antigen, is a high-molecular weight glycoprotein mixture, a glycolipid substance on the cell membrane.

Zaishidena® WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

It can be secreted by the human colon, stomach, pancreas and other epithelia, and significantly increased expression in digestive tract tumors. It can be used as a monitoring index to predict the treatment, follow-up and judgment of recurrence of digestive tract tumors[10]. Carbohydrate antigen 724 (CA724) is a specific glycoprotein of tumor cells. As a high molecular glycoprotein CEA, CA724 is an important indicator for staging tumor and judging digestive tract tumors. Previous studies have also suggested that patients with GC often show elevated expression of CA724. Monitoring changes in CA724 levels has a high guiding value for the screening and treatment of GC[11]. Although a large number of studies have found that CEA, CA199 and CA724 have the potential to be used as markers to predict the development of digestive tract tumors[12,13], there are few reports on the expression of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in GC and their correlation with clinical features. Based on this, this study analyzed the clinical data of patients with GC admitted to our hospital retrospectively in order to investigate the expression levels of tumor markers such as CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC and their correlation with clinical parameters. It is expected to provide more data to support the early prevention, screening and treatment of GC.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

General information

Of 87 patients with GC admitted to our hospital from September 2020 to December 2021 were selected as the study subjects and included in the GC group, including 46 males and 41 females; aged 35 to 80 years, mean age (59.11 ± 6.02) years; 52 patients with smoking history, 35 patients without smoking history; 56 patients with alcohol history, 31 patients without alcohol history; TNM stage [14] was 20 patients in stage I, 23 patients in stage II, 28 patients in stage III, and 16 patients in stage IV. Another 80 healthy people who came to the hospital for physical examination during the same period and had normal results were selected as the control group, including 42 males and 38 females; aged 35-79 years, mean age (58.94 ± 5.76) years; 43 cases with smoking history, 37 cases without smoking history; 45 cases with alcohol history, 35 cases without alcohol history. There was no significant difference in gender, age, smoking and alcohol history between the two groups (P > 0.05), and the balance was comparable, as shown in Table 1.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria

Inclusion criteria: All patients in the GC group were pathologically confirmed as GC; no radiotherapy, chemotherapy, immunotherapy and other anti-tumor treatment were received before admission; the control group was normal physical examination results and healthy people; aged \geq 18 years; clinical history and data were complete.

Exclusion criteria: Patients with heart, liver, kidney and other vital organ dysfunction; combined with other malignant tumor diseases; combined autoimmune diseases, blood diseases; combined cognitive dysfunction or mental illness; pregnant, lactating women.

Tumor marker detection method

Of 5 mL of fasting cubital venous blood was collected from patients in the GC group in the morning on the next day after admission and from the control group in the morning on the day of physical examination. The whole blood was centrifuged at 3000 r/min using a high-speed centrifuge for 10 min to obtain the upper layer of serum, which was stored at -80 °C thereafter for future use. Serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels were measured by automatic electrochemiluminescence immunoassay analyzer (manufacturer: Roche Biotechnology, Switzerland, model: cobas e601) in the two groups of subjects, respectively. All kits were purchased from Shanghai Enzyme Linked Immunology Co., Ltd. All experimental procedures were performed in strict accordance with the kit instructions. The criteria for positive detection were as follows: CEA > 3.4 ng/mL, CA199 > 39 U/mL, CA724 > 9.8 U/mL, and if one of the indicators in the combined detection was positive, it was judged as positive.

Statistical analysis

SPSS 22.0 software was used to process and analyze all data in this study. Measurement data were expressed as (mean ± SD). One-way analysis of variance was used to compare multiple groups. *t* test was used to compare two groups. Enumeration data were expressed as percentage and χ^2 test was used. Pearson analysis was performed to analyze the relationship between CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels and the survival period of GC patients. P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Ethical approval

This study has obtained approval from the Ethics Committee of The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang, and has been conducted in accordance with the principles outlined in the Helsinki Declaration and Good Clinical Practice guidelines. All patients information are strictly confidential, so the informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee.

RESULTS

Comparison of serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels between the two groups

The expression levels of serum tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 in the two groups were compared. The results



Table 1 Comparison of general data between the two groups								
Group		Gender (<i>n</i>)			Smoking history (case)		Alcohol history (n)	
	п	Male	Female	— Age (yr)	Yes	No	Yes	No
Gastric cancer group	87	46	41	59.11 ± 6.02	52	35	56	31
Control group	80	41	39	58.94 ± 5.76	43	37	45	35
<i>x</i> ²		0.044		0.186	0.616		1.149	
<i>P</i> value		0.834		0.853	0.433		0.284	

showed that the serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in the GC group were significantly higher than those in the control group, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 2.

Comparison of serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in patients with different stages of GC

The differences in the expression levels of serum tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 between patients with different TNM stages of GC were compared, and the results showed that the expression levels of serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC increased significantly with TNM stage, and the differences between groups were statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 3.

Comparison of the positive rates of single and combined detection of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC

The differences in the positive rates of GC detected by serum tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 alone and in combination were compared. The results showed that the positive rate of CA724 single detection was higher than that of CEA and CA199 single detection (P < 0.05); the positive rate of the three combined detection was 95.40% (83/87), higher than that of CEA, CA199 and CA724 single detection, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 4.

Comparison of the positive rates of single and combined detection of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with different stages of GC

The positive rates of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in detecting different TNM stages of GC were compared. The results showed that the positive rates of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in detecting I, II, III and IV stages of GC were 89.66%, 93.10%, 98.85% and 100.00%, respectively, which were higher than those in CEA, CA199 and CA724. The differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05) in Table 5.

Relationship between Serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels and clinicopathology of Patients with GC

The differences in serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels between GC patients with different genders, ages, smoking history, alcohol history, TNM stage of tumor, lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter were compared, and the correlation between the changes in their levels and clinicopathological characteristics was analyzed. The results showed that there was no significant difference in serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels between GC patients with different genders, smoking history and alcohol history (P > 0.05), while the serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 Levels in GC patients with age \geq 45 years, TNM stage III-IV, lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter \geq 5 cm were significantly higher than those in GC patients with age < 45 years, TNM stage I-II, no lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter < 5 cm. The differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05), as shown in Table 6.

Serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in patients with GC before and after radical gastrectomy

In order to investigate the relationship between serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels and prognosis in patients with GC, we measured serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in 87 patients with GC before and 3 mo after radical gastrectomy. The results showed that the serum levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 decreased in patients 3 mo after surgery compared with those before surgery, and the differences were statistically significant (P < 0.05) in Table 7.

Pearson analysis of the relationship between serum levels of CEA, CA199, and CA724 in GC and patient survival

Of 10 patients were selected from GC patients, and the relationship between the serum levels of CEA, CA199, and CA724 in GC and the survival rate of GC patients was analyzed using Pearson. The results showed that the levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 were negatively correlated with the survival period of GC patients (Figures 1A-C).

DISCUSSION

GC is one of the malignant tumors of the digestive tract originating from the epithelial cells of the surface mucosa of the gastric wall, mostly occurring in the antrum, pylorus, cardia and other parts[15,16]. The advanced GC has a very high degree of malignancy and is a great threat to the patient's survival cycle and quality of life[17,18]. Early GC has insignificant symptoms and low diagnostic yield. Most patients are often diagnosed in the late stage, missing the optimal



Table 2 Comparison of serum carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 levels between the

two groups				
Group	n	CEA (ng/mL)	CA199 (U/mL)	CA724 (U/mL)
Gastric cancer group	87	23.69 ± 4.83	52.75 ± 8.52	41.32 ± 6.53
Control group	80	2.58 ± 0.79	4.62 ± 1.49	5.10 ± 1.27
<i>t</i> value		38.611	49.819	48.759
<i>P</i> value		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.

Table 3 Comparison of serum carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 levels in patients with different stages of gastric cancer

Group	n	CEA (ng/mL)	CA199 (U/mL)	CA724 (U/mL)
Gastric cancer stage I group	20	18.05 ± 4.16	46.31 ± 6.78	34.29 ± 5.46
Gastric cancer stage II group	23	21.43 ± 4.22^{a}	50.25 ± 5.27^{a}	39.41 ± 5.89 ^a
Gastric cancer stage III group	28	25.58 ± 5.37 ^{a,b}	$54.33 \pm 7.64^{a,b}$	$43.68 \pm 6.03^{a,b}$
Gastric cancer stage IV group	16	$30.68 \pm 4.83^{a,b,c}$	61.63 ± 8.11 ^{a,b,c}	$48.72 \pm 6.95^{a,b,c}$
<i>F</i> value		29.482	21.709	25.139
<i>P</i> value		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001

 $^{a}P < 0.05$, compared with stage I gastric cancer group.

 ^{b}P < 0.05, compared with stage II gastric cancer group.

^c*P* < 0.05, compared with stage III gastric cancer group.

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.

Table 4 Comparison of the positive rates of single and combined detection of carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 in patients with gastric cancer

Indicators	Number of positive tests	Positive rate (%)
CEA	46	52.87
CA199	48	55.17
CA724	62	71.26 ^{a,b}
Combined	83	95.40 ^{a,b,c}

^aP < 0.05, compared with carcinoembryonic antigen.

 $^{b}P < 0.05$, compared with carbohydrate antigen 199.

 $^{c}P < 0.05$, compared with carbohydrate antigen 724.

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.

surgical period, and the therapeutic effect is greatly reduced [19]. At present, clinical treatment of advanced GC is mostly performed by adjuvant chemoradiotherapy, molecular targeted therapy and immunotherapy, but because patients with advanced GC are mostly accompanied by distant metastasis and chemotherapy resistance [20-22], the therapeutic effect of chemoradiotherapy is poor. Thus, it is of great significance to find new molecular targets for early diagnosis and treatment of GC.

With the continuous exploration of GC, more and more scholars have found that a variety of highly expressed tumor markers, such as CEA, CA199, CA724, etc. can be detected in tumor tissues and serum samples of patients with GC. The abnormal changes of these tumor markers can not only be used as an effective indicator for the diagnosis and evaluation of GC progression, but also predict the prognosis of patients to a certain extent[23-25]. Ma et al[26] found that CEA is an independent prognostic factor in patients with GC, and the establishment of a CNLR prognostic scoring system combined with CEA and neutrophil-lymphocyte ratio can accurately predict the 3-year and 5-year survival rates of patients with GC. It has also been pointed out that the incidence of GC is significantly correlated with gender, age, CEA, alphafetoprotein (AFP), CA125, CA199 and CA242 positive levels, and AFP, CEA, CA125, CA199 and CA242 positive levels are



Table 5 Comparison of positive rates of single and combined detection of serum carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 in patients with different stages of gastric cancer, <i>n</i> (%)						
Indicators	Gastric cancer stage I	Gastric cancer stage II	Gastric cancer stage III	Gastric cancer stage IV		
CEA	45 (51.72)	44 (50.57)	47 (54.02)	48 (55.17)		
CA199	42 (48.28)	48 (55.17)	50 (57.47)	52 (59.77)		
CA724	59 (67.82)	60 (68.97)	64 (73.56)	65 (74.71)		
Combined	78 (89.66) ^{a,b,c}	81 (93.10) ^{a,b,c}	86 (98.85) ^{a,b,c}	87 (100.00) ^{a,b,c}		

^a*P* < 0.05, compared with carcinoembryonic antigen.

 $^{b}P < 0.05$, compared with carbohydrate antigen 199.

 $^{c}P < 0.05$ compared with carbohydrate antigen 724.

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.

Table 6 Relationship between serum carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 levels and clinicopathology in patients with gastric cancer

Clinical pathology		n	CEA (ng/mL)	CA199 (U/mL)	CA724 (U/mL)
Gender	Male	46	23.42 ± 4.65	52.49 ± 7.83	41.29 ± 6.18
	Female	41	23.99 ± 5.12	53.04 ± 7.67	41.35 ± 6.22
Age	< 45 years old	60	20.47 ± 8.53	49.18 ± 9.13	39.02 ± 6.45
	≥ 45 years old	27	30.85 ± 8.77^{a}	60.68 ± 8.85^{a}	46.43 ± 6.76^{a}
Smoking history	Yes	52	23.51 ± 4.43	52.66 ± 8.41	41.57 ± 6.17
	No	35	23.96 ± 4.50	52.88 ± 8.35	40.95 ± 6.03
Alcohol history	Yes	56	23.49 ± 4.81	52.69 ± 7.41	41.30 ± 5.94
	No	31	24.05 ± 4.87	52.86 ± 9.03	41.36 ± 6.12
TNM staging	Phase I-II	43	21.02 ± 4.65	48.63 ± 8.75	37.25 ± 6.88
	Phase III-IV	44	26.30 ± 4.72 ^b	56.78 ± 9.24^{b}	45.30 ± 7.13^{b}
lymphatic metastasis	No	45	20.83 ± 4.23	46.16 ± 7.36	38.11 ± 6.59
	Yes	42	$26.75 \pm 4.88^{\circ}$	$59.81 \pm 8.48^{\circ}$	$44.76 \pm 6.13^{\circ}$
Tumor diameter	< 5 cm	49	19.61 ± 4.67	47.02 ± 8.55	35.26 ± 6.62
	≥ 5 cm	38	28.95 ± 5.01^{d}	60.14 ± 9.31^{d}	49.13 ± 6.89^{d}

 $^{a}P < 0.05$, compared with age < 45 years.

 $^{b}P < 0.05$, compared with TNM stage I-II.

 ^{c}P < 0.05, compared with no lymph node metastasis.

 $^{d}P < 0.05$, compared with tumor diameter < 5 cm.

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.

significantly higher in M1 GC patients than in M0 patients[27]. Wang et al[28] compared the serum CA724 levels between patients with benign gastric lesions and patients with GC, and the results showed that the expression of CA724 in the serum of patients with GC was significantly increased, and the area under the curve of serum CA724 in the diagnosis of GC was 0.849, with high sensitivity and specificity in differentiating GC, which is an important indicator for early screening and auxiliary diagnosis of GC. The above studies suggest that tumor markers such as CEA, CA199, and CA724 have the potential to be used as targets for the diagnosis and treatment of GC, and based on this, this study investigated the expression of serum CEA, CA199, and CA724 in GC with their correlation with clinicopathological features in order to prevent and control the incidence of GC early and improve the survival rate and quality of life of patients with GC.

In this study, serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels were detected and compared between 87 patients with GC and 80 healthy subjects, and serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels were compared between patients with different TNM stages of GC. The results showed that serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in patients with GC were significantly higher than those in the control group, and with the increase of TNM stage, serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 expression levels in patients with GC were significantly increased, and the differences between the groups were statistically significant (P < P0.05). In addition, the positive rate of CA724 single detection was higher than that of CEA and CA199 single detection, and the positive rate of the three combined detection was higher than that of CEA, CA199 and CA724 single detection.



WJGO https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 7 Comparison of serum carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 levels before and after operation in 87 patients with gastric cancer						
Group	n	CEA (ng/mL)	CA199 (U/mL)	CA724 (U/mL)		
Before procedure	87	33.11 ± 3.63	57.72 ± 6.64	31.23 ± 3.45		
After procedure	87	7.24 ± 1.81	14.23 ± 2.37	4.12 ± 1.12		
<i>t</i> value		59.488	57.536	69.712		
<i>P</i> value		< 0.001	< 0.001	< 0.001		

CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.



Figure 1 Pearson analysis detected the linear relationship between carcinoembryonic antigen, carbohydrate antigen 199 and carbohydrate antigen 724 and survival time. A: Pearson analysis showed a negative correlation between carcinoembryonic antigen and survival time. $R^2 = 0.910$, P < 0.0001; B: Pearson analysis showed a negative correlation between carbohydrate antigen 199 and survival time. $R^2 = 0.946$, P < 0.0001; C: Pearson analysis showed a negative correlation between carbohydrate antigen 199 and survival time. $R^2 = 0.946$, P < 0.0001; C: Pearson analysis showed a negative correlation between carbohydrate antigen 724 and survival time. $R^2 = 0.988$, P < 0.0001. CEA: Carcinoembryonic antigen; CA199: Carbohydrate antigen 199; CA724: Carbohydrate antigen 724.

The positive rates of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in stage I, II, III and IV of GC were higher than those in CEA, CA199 and CA724 (P < 0.05). This suggests that tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 are significantly highly expressed in patients with GC and are closely related to the severity of the disease. Monitoring CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels is helpful for clinical differentiation of the incidence and progression of GC. The combined use of the three tumor markers in GC has a higher detection rate and has a higher clinical application value. CEA is one of the most widely used tumor markers in clinical practice, which was first extracted and isolated from colon cancer metastases by Canadian scholars gold and

Freedman^[29] and first applied in the diagnosis of colorectal cancer. With the continuous development of molecular diagnosis, CEA has also been gradually applied in the clinical differentiation of breast cancer, lung cancer, extrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma and GC[30-33]. Similar to CEA, CA199 was first discovered in colon cancer cells in 1979, and its main active components are salivary glycolipids and salivary glycoproteins, which are not or rarely expressed in normal tissues [34,35], while they are significantly highly expressed in tumor tissues such as breast cancer and gastrointestinal adenocarcinoma and have the potential to diagnose gastrointestinal tumor diseases such as GC[36]. CA724 is one of the novel tumor markers, which was first discovered in 1981 and is widely used in the diagnosis of breast cancer and gastrointestinal tumor diseases. CA724 has a high sensitivity in the diagnosis of GC and can be detected in all stages, and is slightly superior to other tumor markers in the diagnostic efficacy of GC[37,38]. The results of this study were also consistent with that. In this study, the expression of tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 in the serum of patients with GC was significantly increased, and rised with the increase of TNM stage. For GC with different TNM stages, CA724 had a better detection ability, the diagnostic rate of CA724 was better than that of CEA and CA199, and the accuracy of the three combined detection was better.

In addition, in order to further investigate the relationship between tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 and clinicopathological characteristics, we collected basic data such as age, gender, smoking history, alcohol history, TNM stage, lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter of GC patients to compare the differences in serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 expression in GC patients with different characteristics. The result shows that there was no significant statistical difference in serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels between GC patients with different genders, smoking history and alcohol history (P > 0.05), while serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 levels in GC patients aged ≥ 45 years, TNM stage III-IV, with lymph node metastasis, and tumor diameter ≥ 5 cm were significantly higher than those in GC patients aged < 45 years, TNM stage I-II, without lymph node metastasis, and tumor diameter < 5 cm (P < 0.05). This indicates that the expression of tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 is closely related to the age, TNM stage, lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter of patients with GC, and rises with the increase of patient age, TNM stage and deterioration of the disease. It suggests that the expression levels of tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 can be closely detected in clinical work, so as to effectively diagnose GC, and evaluate the severity of the patient's disease, which is conducive to guiding the clinical development of effective treatment options. However, this study also has some limitations, such as failure to deeply investigate the effect of tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 expression on the prognosis of patients with GC due to enrollment time constraints, which will be further supplemented subsequently.

On the other hand, we also assessed the prognostic value of tumor markers in GC. The data showed that serum CEA, CA199, and CA724 levels decreased significantly after 3 mo of radical gastrectomy for GC. This has been shown in the study by Jing et al[39]. In addition, Pearson analysis showed a negative correlation between serum levels of CEA, CA199, and CA724 and survival in GC patients. These markers may be of value in predicting secondary progression. However, due to the small number of patients in this study, CEA, CA199, and CA724 data all need to be treated with caution.

CONCLUSION

In summary, the expression levels of serum tumor markers CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC were significantly higher than those in the normal population, and the serum levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 rised with the increase of TNM stage. In addition, the serum levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC of different ages, TNM stages, lymph node metastases and tumor diameters were different, and the serum tumor markers were significantly highly expressed in patients with older age, more advanced TNM stages, lymph node metastases and larger tumor diameters. The combined detection of the three items helped to improve the diagnostic accuracy of GC, and could predict the progression of patients to a certain extent, providing a new molecular target and ideas for the clinical treatment and prognosis evaluation of GC, which is worthy of clinical promotion and reference.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Tumor markers carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), carbohydrate antigen 199 (CA199) and carbohydrate antigen 724 (CA724) are rarely expressed or not expressed in normal tissues, but significantly increased in solid cancers such as gastric cancer (GC), and monitoring the levels of tumor markers such as CEA, CA199 and CA724 is of some value for early screening and treatment of GC.

Research motivation

Tumor markers CEA, CA199, and CA724 are highly expressed in GC and are associated with clinicopathology.

Research objectives

This study aims to investigate the expression levels of serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC and analyze their correlation with clinical practice.

WJGO | https://www.wjgnet.com

Research methods

The differences of serum CEA, CA199 and CA724 between patients with GC and normal people and the differences of each index between patients with GC at different TNM stages were compared to determine the positive rates of tumor markers alone and in combination in the diagnosis of GC and GC stages, and the correlation between CEA, CA199 and CA724 and the clinicopathology of patients with GC was analyzed.

Research results

The serum levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in patients with GC were significantly higher than those in the control group, and the expression levels of various indicators raised significantly with the increase of TNM stage. The positive rate of CA724 single test was higher than that of CEA and CA199 single test, and the positive rate of three combined tests was higher than that of CEA, CA199 and CA724 single test. The positive rates of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in stage I, II, III and IV of GC were higher than those in CEA, CA199 and CA724. The levels of serum CEA, CA199, and CA724 were significantly higher in GC patients aged ≥ 45 years, TNM stage III to IV, with lymph node metastasis, and tumor diameter \geq 5 cm than GC patients aged < 45 years, TNM stage I to II, without lymph node metastasis, and tumor diameter < 5 cm.

Research conclusions

The expression levels of CEA, CA199 and CA724 in serum of patients with GC were high and increased with the increase of TNM stage. The expression levels of tumor markers were related to age, TNM stage, lymph node metastasis and tumor diameter. The combined detection of the three items was helpful to improve the diagnostic accuracy of GC.

Research perspectives

The number of enrolled patients should be further expanded, and prognostic data should be collected to investigate the effect of tumor marker CEA, CA199 and CA724 expression levels on the prognosis, which is more valuable and comprehensive guidance for clinical treatment and disease evaluation.

FOOTNOTES

Author contributions: Zhu LM designed the study; Wang R and Zhu LM wrote the manuscript; Wang R and Zuo CL performed the experiments; Wang R and Zhang R analysed the data; and all authors have read and approved the final manuscript.

Institutional review board statement: The study was reviewed and approved by Ethics Committee of The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang.

Informed consent statement: All patient data obtained, recorded, and managed only used for this study, and all patient information are strictly confidential, without any harm to the patient, so the informed consent was waived by the Ethics Committee of The First People's Hospital of Lianyungang.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Li-Mei Zhu 0009-0008-2072-5582.

S-Editor: Wang JJ L-Editor: A P-Editor: Zhang XD

REFERENCES

- 1 Milano AF. 20-Year Comparative Survival and Mortality of Cancer of the Stomach by Age, Sex, Race, Stage, Grade, Cohort Entry Time-Period, Disease Duration & Selected ICD-O-3 Oncologic Phenotypes: A Systematic Review of 157,258 Cases for Diagnosis Years 1973-2014: (SEER*Stat 8.3.4). J Insur Med 2019; 48: 5-23 [PMID: 31609640 DOI: 10.17849/insm-48-1-1-19.1]
- Sexton RE, Al Hallak MN, Diab M, Azmi AS. Gastric cancer: a comprehensive review of current and future treatment strategies. Cancer 2 Metastasis Rev 2020; 39: 1179-1203 [PMID: 32894370 DOI: 10.1007/s10555-020-09925-3]
- 3 Crew KD, Neugut AI. Epidemiology of gastric cancer. World J Gastroenterol 2006; 12: 354-362 [PMID: 16489633 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v12.i3.354]



- Smyth EC, Nilsson M, Grabsch HI, van Grieken NC, Lordick F. Gastric cancer. Lancet 2020; 396: 635-648 [PMID: 32861308 DOI: 4 10.1016/S0140-6736(20)31288-5]
- Petryszyn P, Chapelle N, Matysiak-Budnik T. Gastric Cancer: Where Are We Heading? Dig Dis 2020; 38: 280-285 [PMID: 32062657 DOI: 5 10.1159/000506509
- Rawla P, Barsouk A. Epidemiology of gastric cancer: global trends, risk factors and prevention. Prz Gastroenterol 2019; 14: 26-38 [PMID: 6 30944675 DOI: 10.5114/pg.2018.80001]
- 7 Konishi T, Shimada Y, Hsu M, Tufts L, Jimenez-Rodriguez R, Cercek A, Yaeger R, Saltz L, Smith JJ, Nash GM, Guillem JG, Paty PB, Garcia-Aguilar J, Gonen M, Weiser MR. Association of Preoperative and Postoperative Serum Carcinoembryonic Antigen and Colon Cancer Outcome. JAMA Oncol 2018; 4: 309-315 [PMID: 29270608 DOI: 10.1001/jamaoncol.2017.4420]
- 8 Mueller R, Yasmin-Karim S, DeCosmo K, Vazquez-Pagan A, Sridhar S, Kozono D, Hesser J, Ngwa W. Increased carcinoembryonic antigen expression on the surface of lung cancer cells using gold nanoparticles during radiotherapy. Phys Med 2020; 76: 236-242 [PMID: 32731132 DOI: 10.1016/j.ejmp.2020.06.024]
- 9 Roşu MC, Mihnea PD, Ardelean A, Moldovan SD, Popețiu RO, Totolici BD. Clinical significance of tumor necrosis factor-alpha and carcinoembryonic antigen in gastric cancer. J Med Life 2022; 15: 4-6 [PMID: 35186129 DOI: 10.25122/jml-2020-0098]
- Luo G, Jin K, Deng S, Cheng H, Fan Z, Gong Y, Qian Y, Huang Q, Ni Q, Liu C, Yu X. Roles of CA19-9 in pancreatic cancer: Biomarker, 10 predictor and promoter. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 2021; 1875: 188409 [PMID: 32827580 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2020.188409]
- 11 Xu Y, Zhang P, Zhang K, Huang C. The application of CA72-4 in the diagnosis, prognosis, and treatment of gastric cancer. Biochim Biophys Acta Rev Cancer 2021; 1876: 188634 [PMID: 34656687 DOI: 10.1016/j.bbcan.2021.188634]
- Lin Z, Bian H, Chen C, Chen W, Li Q. Application of serum pepsinogen and carbohydrate antigen 72-4 (CA72-4) combined with gastrin-17 12 (G-17) detection in the screening, diagnosis, and evaluation of early gastric cancer. J Gastrointest Oncol 2021; 12: 1042-1048 [PMID: 34295555 DOI: 10.21037/jgo-21-254]
- Kotzev AI, Draganov PV. Carbohydrate Antigen 19-9, Carcinoembryonic Antigen, and Carbohydrate Antigen 72-4 in Gastric Cancer: Is the 13 Old Band Still Playing? Gastrointest Tumors 2018; 5: 1-13 [PMID: 30574476 DOI: 10.1159/000488240]
- Kumagai K, Sano T. Revised points and disputed matters in the eighth edition of the TNM staging system for gastric cancer. Jpn J Clin Oncol 14 2021; **51**: 1024-1027 [PMID: 33987661 DOI: 10.1093/jjco/hyab069]
- Joshi SS, Badgwell BD. Current treatment and recent progress in gastric cancer. CA Cancer J Clin 2021; 71: 264-279 [PMID: 33592120 DOI: 15 10.3322/caac.21657]
- Janjigian YY, Kawazoe A, Yañez P, Li N, Lonardi S, Kolesnik O, Barajas O, Bai Y, Shen L, Tang Y, Wyrwicz LS, Xu J, Shitara K, Qin S, 16 Van Cutsem E, Tabernero J, Li L, Shah S, Bhagia P, Chung HC. The KEYNOTE-811 trial of dual PD-1 and HER2 blockade in HER2-positive gastric cancer. Nature 2021; 600: 727-730 [PMID: 34912120 DOI: 10.1038/s41586-021-04161-3]
- Li WQ, Zhang JY, Ma JL, Li ZX, Zhang L, Zhang Y, Guo Y, Zhou T, Li JY, Shen L, Liu WD, Han ZX, Blot WJ, Gail MH, Pan KF, You WC. 17 Effects of Helicobacter pylori treatment and vitamin and garlic supplementation on gastric cancer incidence and mortality: follow-up of a randomized intervention trial. BMJ 2019; 366: 15016 [PMID: 31511230 DOI: 10.1136/bmj.15016]
- 18 Yu J, Huang C, Sun Y, Su X, Cao H, Hu J, Wang K, Suo J, Tao K, He X, Wei H, Ying M, Hu W, Du X, Hu Y, Liu H, Zheng C, Li P, Xie J, Liu F, Li Z, Zhao G, Yang K, Liu C, Li H, Chen P, Ji J, Li G; Chinese Laparoscopic Gastrointestinal Surgery Study (CLASS) Group. Effect of Laparoscopic vs Open Distal Gastrectomy on 3-Year Disease-Free Survival in Patients With Locally Advanced Gastric Cancer: The CLASS-01 Randomized Clinical Trial. JAMA 2019; 321: 1983-1992 [PMID: 31135850 DOI: 10.1001/jama.2019.5359]
- Machlowska J, Baj J, Sitarz M, Maciejewski R, Sitarz R. Gastric Cancer: Epidemiology, Risk Factors, Classification, Genomic Characteristics 19 and Treatment Strategies. Int J Mol Sci 2020; 21 [PMID: 32512697 DOI: 10.3390/ijms21114012]
- Song Z, Wu Y, Yang J, Yang D, Fang X. Progress in the treatment of advanced gastric cancer. Tumour Biol 2017; 39: 1010428317714626 20 [PMID: 28671042 DOI: 10.1177/1010428317714626]
- Fukuoka S, Hara H, Takahashi N, Kojima T, Kawazoe A, Asayama M, Yoshii T, Kotani D, Tamura H, Mikamoto Y, Hirano N, Wakabayashi 21 M, Nomura S, Sato A, Kuwata T, Togashi Y, Nishikawa H, Shitara K. Regorafenib Plus Nivolumab in Patients With Advanced Gastric or Colorectal Cancer: An Open-Label, Dose-Escalation, and Dose-Expansion Phase Ib Trial (REGONIVO, EPOC1603). J Clin Oncol 2020; 38: 2053-2061 [PMID: 32343640 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.19.03296]
- Park SH, Lim DH, Sohn TS, Lee J, Zang DY, Kim ST, Kang JH, Oh SY, Hwang IG, Ji JH, Shin DB, Yu JI, Kim KM, An JY, Choi MG, Lee 22 JH, Kim S, Hong JY, Park JO, Park YS, Lim HY, Bae JM, Kang WK; ARTIST 2 investigators. A randomized phase III trial comparing adjuvant single-agent S1, S-1 with oxaliplatin, and postoperative chemoradiation with S-1 and oxaliplatin in patients with node-positive gastric cancer after D2 resection: the ARTIST 2 trial(x). Ann Oncol 2021; 32: 368-374 [PMID: 33278599 DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2020.11.017]
- Vuijk FA, Hilling DE, Mieog JSD, Vahrmeijer AL. Fluorescent-guided surgery for sentinel lymph node detection in gastric cancer and 23 carcinoembryonic antigen targeted fluorescent-guided surgery in colorectal and pancreatic cancer. J Surg Oncol 2018; 118: 315-323 [PMID: 30216455 DOI: 10.1002/jso.25139]
- Zhu Y, Zhao W, Mao G. Perioperative lymphocyte-to-monocyte ratio changes plus CA199 in predicting the prognosis of patients with gastric 24 cancer. J Gastrointest Oncol 2022; 13: 1007-1021 [PMID: 35837178 DOI: 10.21037/jgo-22-411]
- Tong Y, Zhao Y, Shan Z, Zhang J. CA724 predicts overall survival in locally advanced gastric cancer patients with neoadjuvant chemotherapy. 25 BMC Cancer 2021; 21: 4 [PMID: 33402124 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-020-07666-8]
- Ma Y, Lin J, Hou J, Xiao Q, Yu F, Ma Z, Li P, Tu R, Xie J, Zheng C, Yan S, Huang C. A novel prognosis marker based on combined 26 preoperative carcinoembryonic antigen and systemic inflammatory response for resectable gastric cancer. J Cancer 2021; 12: 927-935 [PMID: 33403049 DOI: 10.7150/jca.52299]
- Li X, Li S, Zhang Z, Huang D. Association of multiple tumor markers with newly diagnosed gastric cancer patients: a retrospective study. 27 *PeerJ* 2022; **10**: e13488 [PMID: 35611170 DOI: 10.7717/peerj.13488]
- 28 Wang Y, Cui D, Li D, Wang H, Wu Y. Clinical Value on Combined Detection of Serum CA724, DKK1, and TK1 in Diagnosis of Gastric Cancer. J Oncol 2022; 2022: 6941748 [PMID: 36276284 DOI: 10.1155/2022/6941748]
- Sandberg ML, Wang X, Martin AD, Nampe DP, Gabrelow GB, Li CZ, McElvain ME, Lee WH, Shafaattalab S, Martire S, Fisher FA, Ando 29 Y, Liu E, Ju D, Wong LM, Xu H, Kamb A. A carcinoembryonic antigen-specific cell therapy selectively targets tumor cells with HLA loss of heterozygosity in vitro and in vivo. Sci Transl Med 2022; 14: eabm0306 [PMID: 35235342 DOI: 10.1126/scitranslmed.abm0306]
- Liu JX, Zhang Q, Bai JS, Wang JM, Fu AS, Liu RX, Zhou XY, Gao S, Chen QC, Zhang JB, Ge YL. Carcinoembryonic Antigen Elevation in 30 Broncholithiasis Patients Initially Misdiagnosed as Lung Cancer: a Case Report. Clin Lab 2022; 68 [PMID: 36377999 DOI:



10.7754/Clin.Lab.2022.220225]

- Kim HS, Han Y, Kang JS, Kang YH, Lee M, Sohn HJ, Kim H, Kwon W, Jang JY. Serum carcinoembryonic antigen and carbohydrate antigen 31 19-9 as preoperative diagnostic biomarkers of extrahepatic bile duct cancer. BJS Open 2021; 5 [PMID: 34935900 DOI: 10.1093/bjsopen/zrab127]
- Hao C, Zhang G, Zhang L. Serum CEA levels in 49 different types of cancer and noncancer diseases. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2019; 162: 32 213-227 [PMID: 30905451 DOI: 10.1016/bs.pmbts.2018.12.011]
- Fujita K, Omori T, Hara H, Shinno N, Yamamoto M, Aoyama Y, Sugimura K, Kanemura T, Takeoka T, Yasui M, Matsuda C, Takahashi H, 33 Wada H, Nishimura J, Haraguchi N, Hasegawa S, Nakai N, Asukai K, Mukai Y, Miyata H, Ohue M, Sakon M. Clinical importance of carcinoembryonic antigen messenger RNA level in peritoneal lavage fluids measured by transcription-reverse transcription concerted reaction for advanced gastric cancer in laparoscopic surgery. Surg Endosc 2022; 36: 2514-2523 [PMID: 33999253 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-021-08539-2]
- Jiao X, Peng T, Liang Z, Hu Y, Meng B, Zhao Y, Xie J, Gong X, Jiang Y, Fang X, Yu X, Dai X. Lateral Flow Immunoassay Based on Time-34 Resolved Fluorescence Microspheres for Rapid and Quantitative Screening CA199 in Human Serum. Int J Mol Sci 2022; 23 [PMID: 36077387 DOI: 10.3390/ijms23179991]
- Zeng P, Li H, Chen Y, Pei H, Zhang L. Serum CA199 levels are significantly increased in patients suffering from liver, lung, and other 35 diseases. Prog Mol Biol Transl Sci 2019; 162: 253-264 [PMID: 30905455 DOI: 10.1016/bs.pmbts.2018.12.010]
- Zhu N, Xing X, Cao L, Zhang Y, Zhang T, Li Z, Zou F, Li Q. Study on the Diagnosis of Gastric Cancer by Magnetic Beads Extraction and 36 Mass Spectrometry. Biomed Res Int 2020; 2020: 2743060 [PMID: 32802837 DOI: 10.1155/2020/2743060]
- Ding J, Zhang H, Wu Z. Study on the Differential Value of Tumor Marker CA724 on Primary Gastric Cancer. J Oncol 2021; 2021: 2929233 37 [PMID: 34608388 DOI: 10.1155/2021/2929233]
- Tong Y, Zhu Y, Zhao Y, Jiang C, Wang W, Shan Z, Sun F, Liu D, Zhang J. CA724 Predicts Tumor Regression Grade in Locally Advanced 38 Gastric Cancer Patients with Neoadjuvant Chemotherapy. J Cancer 2021; 12: 6465-6472 [PMID: 34659537 DOI: 10.7150/jca.60694]
- Jing R, Cui M, Ju S, Pan S. The Changes and Clinical Significance of Preoperative and Postoperative Serum CEA and CA19-9 in Gastric 39 Cancer. Clin Lab 2020; 66 [PMID: 32255307 DOI: 10.7754/Clin.Lab.2019.190732]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

