

REPLY

Dear Editor and Reviewers,

Firstly thank for your kindly comments of the editor and reviewers because these largely improved its quality. According to the comments, we have made careful point-by-point revision to improve the manuscript quality. The points were in detail demonstrated as follows:

As for the comments of Reviewer 1

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Accept (General priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This is an article with the role of REG4 in the development of colorectal cancer and its relationship with tumor drug resistance and fat metabolism. This article is concise and well organized.

ANSWER: In order to ensure the language quality of our manuscript, we have further polished our article through professional polishing institutions.

As for the comments of Reviewer 2

Scientific Quality: Grade A (Excellent)

Language Quality: Grade A (Priority publishing)

Conclusion: Accept (High priority)

Specific Comments to Authors: This article should be worthy of publication, since the authors have done a lot of research, all the results are detailed in tables and graphs, and are well described in the discussion.

ANSWER: In order to ensure the language quality of our manuscript, we have further polished our article through professional polishing institutions.

As for the comments of science editor

The manuscript has been peer-reviewed, and it' s ready for the first decision.

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Scientific Quality: Grade B (Very good)

ANSWER: In order to ensure the language quality of our manuscript, we have further polished our article through professional polishing institutions.

As for the comments of company editor-in-chief

1. I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Gastroenterology, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. Before final acceptance, uniform presentation should be used for figures showing the same or similar contents; for example, "Figure 1 Pathological changes of atrophic gastritis after treatment. A: ...; B: ...; C: ...; D: ...; E: ...; F: ...; G: ...".

ANSWER: Uniform presentation used for figures and tables have shown the same contents.

2. Please provide decomposable Figures (in which all components are movable and editable), organize them into a single PowerPoint file.

ANSWER: We have uploaded all the figures into editable PPT files.

3. Please authors are required to provide standard three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications, and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned. Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical lines and do not segment cell content.

ANSWER: All the tables have been modified to meet your requirements.

4. Please check and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom right-hand side of the picture in

PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The Author(s) 2023.

ANSWER: All the contents are original, and we have added relevant contents in PPT.

5. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

ANSWER: We have further polished our article through professional polishing institutions.

6. Before final acceptance, when revising the manuscript, the author must supplement and improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results, thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this end, authors are advised to apply a new tool, the Reference Citation Analysis (RCA). RCA is an artificial intelligence technology-based open multidisciplinary citation analysis database. In it, upon obtaining search results from the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article" under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database for more information at: <https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/>.

ANSWER: In the previous revision, we used RCA tools to add the latest research and cutting-edge content to our articles. We make sure that our research is the latest cutting-edge content.

Your sincerely,

Hua-chuan Zheng