

JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 86780

Title: Metachronous primary esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and duodenal

adenocarcinoma: A case report and review of literature

Journal Editor-in-Chief/Associate Editor/Editorial Board Member: Osman Nuri Dilek

Country/Territory: Turkey

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date accepted review: 2023-10-16 05:14

Date reviewed: 2023-10-17 08:57

Review time: 1 Day and 3 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	[Y] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] High priority for publication
[Y] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor	[] Grade D: Rejected	[] Major revision

JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Well documented paper and interesting patient presentation. Rare entity. May be helpfull and innovative approach for readers.



JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 86780

Title: Metachronous primary esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and duodenal

adenocarcinoma: A case report and review of literature

Journal Editor-in-Chief/Associate Editor/Editorial Board Member: Raja Kalayarasan

Country/Territory: India

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date accepted review: 2023-10-16 09:59

Date reviewed: 2023-10-17 11:14

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	[Y] Accept
[Y] Grade B: Very good	[Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C: Good	[] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Rejection
[] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor	[] Grade D: Rejected	[] Major revision

JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The authors have satisfactorily responded to the queries raised by the reviewers and modified the discussion accordingly.



JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD'S REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 86780

Title: Metachronous primary esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and duodenal

adenocarcinoma: A case report and review of literature

Journal Editor-in-Chief/Associate Editor/Editorial Board Member: Vishal Gupta

Country/Territory: India

Editorial Director: Jin-Lei Wang

Date accepted review: 2023-10-16 14:54

Date reviewed: 2023-10-17 11:32

Review time: 20 Hours

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION
[] Grade A: Excellent	[] Grade A: Priority publishing	[] Accept
[] Grade B: Very good	[] Grade B: Minor language polishing	[] High priority for publication
[] Grade C: Good	[Y] Grade C: A great deal of	[] Rejection
[Y] Grade D: Fair	language polishing	[] Minor revision
[] Grade E: Poor	[] Grade D: Rejected	[Y] Major revision

JOURNAL EDITORIAL BOARD COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. Manuscript needs a major revision in terms of its language. 2. It is recommended to use standard universal terminology. Terms like electro-gastroscopy, drainage of spinal metastases, mid-lower esophagus occupancy, occupancy in the descending duodenum, puncture biopsy, puncture pathology etc are confusing for the international readers. 3. What was the purpose of getting barium study after endoscopy. Is it routine protocol at the authors institute. What is the significance of tumor markers CEA, C 9.9, and AFP in squamous cell carcinoma of the esophagus. 4. What the actual surgical procedure performed for the ca esophagus. 5. Discussion part is too long and needs to be shortened. 6. Discussion: Dont agree with statement that "Distinguishing metastasis from tumor recurrence is essential for an accurate diagnosis of MPMNs" Rather tumor recurrence (including metastases) should be distinguished from second primary for an accurate diagnosis of MPMNs. 7. Could author identify common genetic aberration(s) involved in this case which could explain



synchronous double primary malignancies. It would be surprising to find any as both the primaries were different histologically.