

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 87680

Title: High PNPLA8 expression as a biomarker for poor prognosis of colorectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03479334 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Chief Physician

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-22

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-09-28 23:41

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-09 10:36

Review time: 10 Days and 10 Hours

ellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
r [Y] Grade E: Do not publish
ellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair novelty
ellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair creativity or innovation
ii c



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [Y] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This is a retrospective study with PNPLA8 expression as a biomarker for poor prognosis of colorectal cancer. I have the following comments. 1) The authors described that the median follow-up time of the patient cohort was 46.1 months. What was the actual range of follow-up periods? Please give the shortest and longest follow-up periods. 2) The study is listed as running from May 2008 to November 2012, which is more than 10 years ago. It's hard to say because we don't know the longest follow-up period, but even if that's the case, the cases studied are old.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 87680

Title: High PNPLA8 expression as a biomarker for poor prognosis of colorectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05263678 Position: Associate Editor

Academic degree: FACS, MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: United States

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-22

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-31 15:40

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-31 16:02

Review time: 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This interesting study identifies PNPLA8 immunoreactivity as a possible prognostic factor for colorectal cancer. 1. The manuscript would benefit from review and editing by a native English speaker. 2. In the paragraph on "correlations between PNPLA8 expression and clinicopathological parameters", the third sentence beginning "These samples were categorized..." suggests that only the 689 specimens with some PNPLA8 expression were categorized into low and high expressers and compared. However, table 2 suggests that all were compared (presumably categorizing the low expression and zero expression together. This should be categorized. 3. What does it mean to say that 751 were successfully stained? Were there other tissue blocks that were not successfully stained and thus discarded from the study? If so, how many? 4. The last sentence of paragraph 1 of the discussion is an overstatement. These results do suggest that PNPLA8 may be prognostic, but there are no data presented here to suggest a functional role in carcinogenesis or disease progression. 5. Why do the authors think that this is not relevant for right sided colon cancer? 6. How was informed consent obtained from patients in this retrospective study? Please clarify. 7. What was the minimal,



https://www.wjgnet.com

median, and longest follow up? How were these patients followed? How many were lost to follow up and how was their data handled? 8. The authors indicate that this has previously been implicated as a marker for colon cancer in other studies. What is the novelty here?



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 87680

Title: High PNPLA8 expression as a biomarker for poor prognosis of colorectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05330707 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-22

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-09 06:42

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-18 23:19

Review time: 9 Days and 16 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. There were two major problems with this study: first, the number of patients studied was small. and second, PNPAL8 expression evaluated only was immunohistochemistry. The authors should investigate PNPAL8 expression in colorectal cancer using TCGA and other databases. 2. The authors should evaluate PLA2G6 (GVI; PLA2; INAD1; NBIA2; iPLA2; NBIA2A; NBIA2B; PARK14; PNPLA9; CaI-PLA2; IPLA2-VIA; iPLA2beta), not PNPAL8(MMLA; IPLA2G; iPLA2-2; iPLA2gamma; PNPLA-gamma). This is because many of the references cited (ref. #21, #22 and #24) relate to PLA2G6. 3. Patients with lymph node metastases are classified as stage III, while those with distant metastases, such as lung or liver metastases, are classified as stage IV. In addition, treatment and prognosis are very different between stage III and stage IV. Therefore, stage III and stage IV colorectal cancer patients should be distinguished as a separate group to study the impact of PNPLA8 expression. 4. Please describe the adjuvant and palliative chemotherapy received by the patients enrolled in this study.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 87680

Title: High PNPLA8 expression as a biomarker for poor prognosis of colorectal cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05330707 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Assistant Professor, Doctor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-08-22

Reviewer chosen by: Ze-Mao Gong

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-20 21:56

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-21 11:30

Review time: 13 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [Y] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [Y] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

All of my comments have been addressed. No further comments.