

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 88072

Title: Analysis of nutritional risk, skeletal muscle depletion, and lipid metabolism

phenotype in acute radiation enteritis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02681282 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: India

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-14

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-13 15:20

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-13 23:35

Review time: 8 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
•	



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

https://www.wjgnet.com

E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Thank you for giving me an opportunity to review the manuscript "Analysis of nutritional risk, skeletal muscle depletion, and lipid metabolism phenotype in acute radiation enteritis." I congratulate the authors for their efforts. The methodology is sound. Language of the manuscript need improvement. Specific comments are as below: Abstract Remove aimed. Introduction radiotherapy placement replace with radiotherapy delivery. Methods Authors have not mentioned whether brachytherapy was used or not? Results Replace general situation with patient characteristics. RAIS number need to be mentioned for diarrhea, abdominal pain, colitis, anal swelling, and hematochezia. How many patients had decrease body weight, skeletal muscle content, BMI, phase angle, serum albumin levels, and serum prealbumin levels? And how much? factors of changes in fecal supernatant linoleic acid in patients with cervical cancer during the periradiation period change to factors for changes in fecal supernatant linoleic acid Discussion Summarise the main findings in the first paragraph. Page 20, "However, when the prescribed dose in the target area is 36-40 Gy, the inhibitory effect of arachidonic acid is lost, suggesting that the abovementioned negative feedback



regulation mechanism is facing difficulties." This sentence needs to be modified.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 88072

Title: Analysis of nutritional risk, skeletal muscle depletion, and lipid metabolism

phenotype in acute radiation enteritis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05372534 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MSc

Professional title: Researcher

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-14

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-23 18:25

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-23 18:32

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good
1	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
[] Grade D: No scientific significance
[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Proper structure