

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 88337

Title: Present situation of minimally invasive surgical treatment for early gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03768526 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-25 08:16

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-01 15:35

Review time: 7 Days and 7 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation
ino munuocript	1 Joing D. 140 Cleaning of Innovation



Baishideng

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [Y] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This review summarizes a wide range of meaningful content compactly and has academic significance. It is particularly significant that this review comes from China rather than Japan, where the development of endoscopic treatments is active. On the other hand, there are the following problems. I want the authors to reconsider. 1. There are no figures in this paper. Without illustrations, it would be impossible for non-experts to understand the content of endoscopic treatment procedures. All typical procedures should be illustrated. Of course, the illustrations should be newly and uniformly created by a professional medical illustrator rather than quoted or reproduced. 2. This paper is only an introduction to treatment techniques, so it would be difficult for readers to compare the methods. I want you to make a table summarizing the indications, results, advantages, and disadvantages. 3. Although this paper is devoted to a technical introduction of resection techniques, the target disease and indication for such treatment techniques are essential. The disease for which it is indicated should be mentioned. It is also important to note differences in the definition of early gastric cancer between Japan and the UICC.



PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 88337

Title: Present situation of minimally invasive surgical treatment for early gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 02510728

Position: Editorial Board

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Turkey

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-11 05:17

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-12 06:42

Review time: 1 Day and 1 Hour

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript	[] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No
Re-review	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection [] Yes [Y] No Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Diagnosis of early gastric cancer is very important for surveillance. Organ-sparing surgeries are also very important for the quality of life of patients. This article provides collective information for interested physicians as it compiles minimal procedures.



RE-REVIEW REPORT OF REVISED MANUSCRIPT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Oncology

Manuscript NO: 88337

Title: Present situation of minimally invasive surgical treatment for early gastric cancer

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03768526 Position: Peer Reviewer

Academic degree: MD, PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Japan

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-09-20

Reviewer chosen by: Chen-Chen Gao

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-09 02:21

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-19 12:34

Review time: 10 Days and 10 Hours

Scientific quality	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C: Good [] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Peer-reviewer	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
statements	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No



SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

I confirmed the addition of the table. I think it is well-organized and straightforward to understand. However, it isn't easy to interpret without a figure. You should draw up new Figures, which may be limited to typical techniques.