Dear reviewer

We feel great thanks for your professional review work on our article . As you are concerned, there are several problems that need to be addressed.

According to your nice suggestions, we have made extensive corrections to our previous draft, the detailed corrections are listed below.

Firstly, We think use Ni data of difrent systems of mesuring LSM SSM - fibroscan or mindray or philips or siemems etc is an excellent suggestion. And according to the reviewer's opinion, although authors intended this letter to editors to comment on a study published under the title "Non-invasive model for predicting high-risk esophageal varices based on liver and spleen stiffness," I was unable to find any critical remarks, significant issues being raised that are not addressed in the manuscript, personal experience being shared, or any fresh viewpoints being offered in relation to the article. This section has been rewritten and is presented in yellow text in the revised edition.

We are grateful to the editors for their careful review. Based on the advice of the editors, we have decided to change "extended Baveno VI" to "Baveno VII" in the text.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes to the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper. And here we did not list the changes but marked in yellow in the revised paper. We appreciate for reviewers' warm work earnestly and hope that the correction will meet with approval.