

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 88764

Title: Colonoscopy-induced acute appendicitis: A case report

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 00503587 Position: Editorial Board Academic degree: MD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: New Zealand

Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-16

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-10-16 07:30

Reviewer performed review: 2023-10-25 07:31

Review time: 9 Days

	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the	[] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [Y] Grade C: Fair
conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade D: No scientific significance
	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language
Language quality	polishing [Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing []
	Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority)
	[] Minor revision [<mark>Y</mark>] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

This case report describes the occurrence of appendicitis after colonoscopy in a single SPECIFIC COMMENTS 1. The indication for colonoscopy in this case. case Clarification required 2. the term "without any pathological biopsy" is unusual and should be revised. It could be reworded as 'with no pathological findings' OR 'with normal endoscopic and histologic findings" 3. The term "intestinal cleanliness" is also unusual. Perhaps the bowel preparation was suboptimal 4. the term" she received antibiotics" might be better phrased as "she was managed with antibiotics... 5. the INTRO is one long paragraph. Please divide to enhance readability 6. was she diagnosed with appendicitis 10 hours after colonoscopy or did she start having symptoms 10 hours after? developed appendicitis 10 hours after colonoscopy is very specific 7. Is figure 1 really required? suggest to delete 8. The case presentation could be structured in a more standard fashion rather than staccato sections 9. the term fecoliths should be used 10. Can a CT definitively actually show inflammation? suggest to rephrase to describe the findings, such as wall thickening and so on. Whilst these findings on imaging may well represent inflammation, the outline should be more precise 11. Figure 2 includes an



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

arrow. This should be explained in the figure legend 12. abdominal pain is described a symptom of colonoscopy. While pain may follow colonoscopy the current phrase should be reworded 13. There are numerous additional awkward sentences with poor construction. These impact adversely on readability. The whole MS should be carefully revised with regards to sentence structure, language and grammar 14. Please revise all references and correct those that do not fit the journal instructions. Some journal title abbreviations are incorrect, for example