

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Oncology

Manuscript NO: 89180

Title: Population-based x-ray gastric cancer screening in Hiroshima prefecture, Japan

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 05929910 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

Professional title: Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: Japan

Manuscript submission date: 2023-10-23

Reviewer chosen by: Yu-Lu Chen

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-15 12:46

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-24 06:06

Review time: 8 Days and 17 Hours

	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[] Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[Y] Grade A: Excellent [] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[] Grade A: Priority publishing [Y] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [Y] Accept (General priority) [] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

1. The abstract states that X-ray gastric cancer screening has been shown to decrease mortality, but the mechanism and efficacy are not discussed in detail in the main text. It is recommended to add more information about the mechanism and efficacy of X-ray gastric cancer screening in the discussion section. 2. The article talks about the trends of the past four decades and the future challenges, but does not specify what these challenges are. It is recommended to add specific descriptions and analysis of future challenges in the discussion section. 3. Multiple institutions and authors are mentioned in the article, but the roles and contributions of each author and institution in the study are not clearly stated. It is recommended to add more information about the roles and contributions of each author and institution in the methods section. 4. The article talks about data analysis and data management, but does not specify which statistical methods and data management tools were used. It is recommended to add more information about the statistical methods and data management tools used in the methods section. 5. The article mentions the pathological and physiological mechanisms of gastric cancer, but does not specify the pathogenesis and prevention measures of



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

gastric cancer. It is recommended to add more information about the pathogenesis and prevention measures of gastric cancer in the discussion section. Please check the accuracy and completeness of references. In particular, I recommend quoting some relevant literature published in Baishideng Publishing Group, "Clinical value of serum pepsinogen in the diagnosis and treatment of gastric diseases", for example, or any other similar references.