World Journal of *Gastroenterology*

World J Gastroenterol 2024 January 14; 30(2): 115-198





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

WJG

World Journal of VVoriu jun. Gastroenterology

Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 2 January 14, 2024

REVIEW

115 Small nucleolar RNA and its potential role in the oncogenesis and development of colorectal cancer Lan YZ, Wu Z, Chen WJ, Fang ZX, Yu XN, Wu HT, Liu J

MINIREVIEWS

- 128 Hepatocardiorenal syndrome in liver cirrhosis: Recognition of a new entity? Wu HHL, Rakisheva A, Ponnusamy A, Chinnadurai R
- 137 Esophageal intramural pseudodiverticulosis Shintaku M

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Cohort Study

146 Long-term prognosis and its associated predictive factors in patients with eosinophilic gastroenteritis Li KW, Ruan GC, Liu S, Xu TM, Ma Y, Zhou WX, Liu W, Zhao PY, Du ZR, Li J, Li JN

Retrospective Study

Association of tumor budding with clinicopathological features and prognostic value in stage III-IV 158 colorectal cancer

Luo YH, Yan ZC, Liu JY, Li XY, Yang M, Fan J, Huang B, Ma CG, Chang XN, Nie X

170 Automatic detection of small bowel lesions with different bleeding risks based on deep learning models Zhang RY, Qiang PP, Cai LJ, Li T, Qin Y, Zhang Y, Zhao YQ, Wang JP

Observational Study

184 Success of susceptibility-guided eradication of Helicobacter pylori in a region with high secondary clarithromycin and levofloxacin resistance rates

Wang YM, Chen MY, Chen J, Zhang XH, Feng Y, Han YX, Li YL

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

196 Gastric cancer immunotherapy: A scientometric and clinical trial review Du QC, Wang XY, Yu H



Contents

Weekly Volume 30 Number 2 January 14, 2024

ABOUT COVER

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Matjaž Homan, MD, PhD, Associate Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, Hepatology and Nutrition, University Children's Hospital, Faculty of Medicine, University of Ljubljana, Ljubljana 1000, Slovenia. matjaz.homan@kclj.si

AIMS AND SCOPE

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 4.3; Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.3.

RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE

Production Editor: Hua-Ge Yu; Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Editorial Office Director: Jia-Ru Fan.

NAME OF JOURNAL	INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS
World Journal of Gastroenterology	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204
ISSN	GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS
ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287
LAUNCH DATE	GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH
October 1, 1995	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240
FREQUENCY	PUBLICATION ETHICS
Weekly	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288
EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT
Andrzej S Tarnawski	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208
EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF	POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS
Xian-Jun Yu (Pancreatic Oncology), Jian-Gao Fan (Chronic Liver Disease), Hou- Bao Liu (Biliary Tract Disease)	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310
EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS	ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE
http://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242
PUBLICATION DATE	STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS
January 14, 2024	https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239
COPYRIGHT	ONLINE SUBMISSION
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc	https://www.f6publishing.com
PUBLISHING PARTNER	PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE
Shanghai Pancreatic Cancer Institute and Pancreatic Cancer Institute, Fudan University Biliary Tract Disease Institute, Fudan University	https://www.shca.org.cn https://www.zs-hospital.sh.cn
© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 70	141 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com



WÜ

World Journal of Gastroenterology

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

World J Gastroenterol 2024 January 14; 30(2): 158-169

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

Retrospective Study Association of tumor budding with clinicopathological features and prognostic value in stage III-IV colorectal cancer

Yue-Hao Luo, Zhe-Cheng Yan, Jia-Ying Liu, Xin-Yi Li, Ming Yang, Jun Fan, Bo Huang, Cheng-Gong Ma, Xiao-Na Chang, Xiu Nie

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C, C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Jeong KY, South Korea; Lim YC, Brunei Darussalam

Received: October 25, 2023 Peer-review started: October 25, 2023 First decision: November 12, 2023 Revised: November 23, 2023 Accepted: December 14, 2023 Article in press: December 14, 2023 Published online: January 14, 2024



Yue-Hao Luo, Zhe-Cheng Yan, Jia-Ying Liu, Xin-Yi Li, Ming Yang, Jun Fan, Bo Huang, Cheng-Gong Ma, Xiao-Na Chang, Xiu Nie, Department of Pathology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, Wuhan 430022, Hubei Province, China

Corresponding author: Xiu Nie, MD, Professor, Department of Pathology, Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College, Huazhong University of Science and Technology, No. 1277 Jiefang Avenue, Wuhan 430022, Hubei Province, China. niexiuyishi@126.com

Abstract

BACKGROUND

Tumor budding (TB) has emerged as a promising independent prognostic biomarker in colorectal cancer (CRC). The prognostic role of TB has been extensively studied and currently affects clinical decision making in patients with stage I and II CRC. However, existing prognostic studies on TB in stage III CRC have been confined to small retrospective cohort studies. Consequently, this study investigated the correlation among TB categories, clinicopathological features, and prognosis in stage III-IV CRC to further enhance the precision and individualization of treatment through refined prognostic risk stratification.

AIM

To analyze the relationship between TB categories and clinicopathological characteristics and assess their prognostic value in stage III-IV CRC to further refine the prognostic risk stratification of stage III-IV CRC.

METHODS

The clinical data of 547 CRC patients were collected for this retrospective study. Infiltration at the front edge of the tumor buds was counted according to the 2016 International Tumor Budding Consensus Conference guidelines.

RESULTS

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis demonstrated that chemotherapy (P = 0.004), clinical stage IV (P < 0.001), ≥ 4 regional lymph node metastases (P = 0.004), left-sided colonic cancer (P = 0.040), and Bd 2-3 (P = 0.002) were independent prognostic factors in patients with stage III-IV CRC. Moreover, the density of tumor infiltrating lymphocytes was higher in Bd 1 than in Bd 2-3, both in the tumor stroma and its invasive margin.



CONCLUSION

TB has an independent predictive prognostic value in patients with stage III-IV CRC. It is recommended to complete the TB report of stage III-IV CRC cases in the standardized pathological report to further refine risk stratification.

Key Words: Tumor budding; Tumor infiltrating lymphocytes; Colorectal cancer; Survival analysis; Prognosis

©The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: This study included 547 colorectal cancer (CRC) patients. Tumor budding (TB) was evaluated independently by two pathologists and re-evaluated by a third pathologist when the results were inconsistent, ensuring a high level of reliability. The 2016 International Tumor Budding Consensus Conference recommendations were followed to evaluate TB in patients with stage III-IV CRC, thereby investigating its impact on patient prognosis. TB has predictive prognostic value for progression-free survival and overall survival in patients with stage III-IV CRC. It is recommended to complete the TB report of stage III-IV CRC cases in the standardized pathological report to further refine risk stratification.

Citation: Luo YH, Yan ZC, Liu JY, Li XY, Yang M, Fan J, Huang B, Ma CG, Chang XN, Nie X. Association of tumor budding with clinicopathological features and prognostic value in stage III-IV colorectal cancer. *World J Gastroenterol* 2024; 30(2): 158-169 URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v30/i2/158.htm DOI: https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158

INTRODUCTION

Colorectal cancer (CRC) is the third most common malignant tumor in the world[1]. The occurrence and development of CRC represent a complex multifactorial process[2]. Despite significant advancements in medical technology both domestically and internationally, the incidence of CRC has continued to rise in recent years. This trend can be attributed to improvements in people's living standards as well as changes in lifestyle and dietary habits. There were an estimated 1.93 million new CRC cases diagnosed, and 0.94 million CRC-related deaths in 2020 worldwide. China is expected to have the highest estimated number of new CRC cases over the next 20 years. The number of new CRC cases is expected to increase from 0.56 million (2020) to 0.91 million (2040) in China[3]. The tumor-node-metastasis (TNM) staging system published simultaneously by the Union for International Cancer Control and The American Joint Committee on Cancer (AJCC) remains a widely accepted standard for classifying malignant tumors[4]. The prognosis of patients with identical stage of disease may exhibit diverse clinical outcomes, suggesting the limited predictive value of TNM stage in estimating CRC prognosis. Tumor budding (TB), defined as a single cancer cell of up to four cancer cells at the tumor invasive margin, has emerged as a promising independent prognostic biomarker in CRC[5].

TB reflects an invasive growth pattern with metastatic potential that plays a key role in the tumor microenvironment (TME) and epithelial-mesenchymal transition (EMT). The prognostic role of TB has been extensively studied and currently affects clinical decision making in patients with stage I and II CRC[6,7]. However, existing prognostic studies on TB in stage III CRC have been confined to small retrospective cohort studies. Consequently, this study investigated the correlation among TB categories, clinicopathological features, and prognosis in stage III-IV CRC to further enhance the precision and individualization of treatment through refined prognostic risk stratification.

Tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) are a heterogeneous group of cells that leave the blood and migrate to the tumor regions with antigenic effects. They are also an important part of the TME and are generally considered to protect the host against tumor development. It also plays a vital role in inhibiting cancer cell invasion and distant metastasis[8]. The interaction between TB and the immune system is known as the attack-defense model[9]. TB reflects an aggressive disease phenotype; however, TILs can regulate immune function and improve the body's ability to kill tumors. Therefore, in our study, we also discussed the relationship between TB and TILs based on the idea that the combination of TB and TILs can effectively predict the prognosis of stage III-IV CRC patients and analyzed the changes in TILs in cases of different TB levels to preliminarily explore the correlation between TB and the immune microenvironment.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

We retrospectively analyzed the medical records and clinical data of 838 patients with stage III-IV resectable CRC pathologically diagnosed at Union Hospital, Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (Wuhan City, Hubei Province, China) from January 1, 2015, to December 31, 2018 (Figure 1). A total of 547 patients with CRC were enrolled, and their TB categories and clinicopathological features were analyzed.

Raisbideng® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com



Figure 1 Study design and flow diagram. CRC: Colorectal cancer.

The primary objective of this study was to investigate the relationship between TB and progression-free survival (PFS), defined as the time from the beginning of radical surgery to relapse or death, whichever occurred first. The secondary objective was to determine the association between TB and overall survival (OS), defined as the time from the start of radical surgery until death from any cause. The survival time and prognosis of the patients were followed up until the end of the study. The follow-up period was 61 mo. This study was approved by the review committee of the affiliated institution of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (2018-S377), and consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study.

TB assessment

TB was assessed on scanned hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained tissue sample slides (Union Hospital, Wuhan, China) and scored at medium power (10 × to 20 × magnification) in a single hotspot field area normalized to 0.785 mm² at the invasive front according to the 2016 International TB Consensus Conference (ITBCC 2016). TB was defined as a single tumor cell or a cell cluster of up to four tumor cells at the invasive margin. Tumor buds were counted independently by two pathologists (Yue-Hao Luo and Zhe-Cheng Yan), and any discrepancies were resolved by a third expert (Xiao-Na Chang). The TB scoring categories were Bd 1 (0-4 buds: Low), Bd 2 (5-9 buds: Intermediate), and Bd 3 (≥ 10 buds: High).

Statistical analysis

All statistical analyses were performed using the statistical software SPSS version 27.0, with two-sided statistical testing, and P < 0.05 was considered statistically significant. Clinicopathological features and KRAS, NRAS, BRAF mutation status of the patients were descriptively analyzed. The χ^2 test (or Fisher's exact test, if appropriate) was used to evaluate the relationship between the TB categories and clinicopathological features. Spearman correlation analysis was used to evaluate the consistency between the TB categories evaluated by the two pathologists (Figure 2). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis was used to compare PFS and OS between patients with TB and those with other clinicopathological features. Cox proportional hazard regression models were used to estimate hazard ratios (HRs) and 95% confidence interval (CI). The association of baseline parameters with PFS and OS was first examined using univariate Cox analysis, and variables with P < 0.05 were entered into a Cox regression multivariate model. After normal distribution detection of TILs in the tumor stroma and at the TB of the tumor invasion front was conducted, it was found that both groups of data were biased. The Mann-Whitney U test was used to evaluate the relationship between TILs in the tumor stroma and TILs at TB of the tumor invasion front with Bd 1 and Bd 2-3, respectively.

RESULTS

Patient characteristics

The clinical data of 838 CRC patients were retrospectively collected. The TB of 547 CRC patients was assessed. The frequency of Bd 1, Bd 2, and Bd 3 were 70.6%, 13.7%, and 15.7%, respectively. The clinicopathological characteristics are



WJG https://www.wjgnet.com



DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 2 Spearman correlation analysis was used to evaluate the consistency between tumor budding categories evaluated by two pathologists. R = 0.865, P < 0.001.

Univariate analysis					
Variables		HR	95% CI	Progression-free survival (%)	P value
Sex	Male	1	Ref		
	Female	0.749	0.502-1.117		0 156
Age	< 60	1	Ref		0.150
	≥ 60	1.324	0.902-1.942		
Chemotherapy	No	1	Ref		0.152
	Yes	0.581	0.395-0.856		
Radiotherapy	No	1	Ref		0.006
	Yes	1.278	0.593-2.754	⊨-∎-4	
Neurological invasion	No	1	Ref	₽ ►	0.532
	Yes	1.890	1.267-2.820		
Vascular tumor embolus	No	1	Ref	⊢ ∎-1	0.002
	Yes	1.901	1.275-2.833		
AJCC stage	III	1	Ref		0.002
	IV	3.623	2.466-5.324	· · · ·	
Lymphatic invasion	NO	1	Ref		< 0.001
	N1	0.871	0.523-1.449		
	N2	1.699	1.019-2.832	· • •	0.594
Tumor site	Right-sided colon	1	Ref		0.042
	Left-sided colon	2.210	1.300-3.758	⊢	0.000
	Rectosigmoid	1.504	0.908-2.492	H ∎I	0.003
Tumor budding	Bd 1	1	Ref		0.113
	Bd 2-3	2.312	1.577-3.389		< 0.001
				0.1 1 10	<u> </u>
				Hazard ratio (95%CI)	

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 3 Univariable analysis of progression-free survival in colorectal cancer patients. AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; HR: Hazard ratio.

listed in Table 1. Patients with *KRAS*, *NRAS*, *BRAF* mutations are listed in Table 2. TB categories were correlated with vascular tumor embolus (P = 0.004), neurological invasion (P < 0.001), AJCC stage III-IV (P = 0.006), and lymph node metastasis (LNM) (P = 0.019), but they were not significantly correlated with age, sex, chemotherapy, radiotherapy or tumor site (P > 0.05).

TB and survival

The 5-year PFS and 5-year OS rates of Bd 1 *vs* Bd 2-3 were 72% *vs* 45% (P < 0.001) and 49% *vs* 17% (P < 0.001), respectively. In univariable analysis, Bd 2-3 was associated with shorter PFS (HR: 2.312; 95%CI: 1.577 to 3.389; P < 0.001) (Figure 3) and OS (HR: 2.024, 95%CI: 1.378 to 2.972; P = 0.002) (Figure 4). Moreover, neurological invasion (HR: 1.890, 95%CI: 1.267 to 2.820; P = 0.002), vascular tumor embolus (HR: 1.901, 95%CI: 1.275 to 2.833; P = 0.002), AJCC stage IV (HR: 3.623, 95%CI: 2.466 to 5.324; P < 0.001), N2 (number of lymph node metastases \geq 4) (HR: 1.699, 95%CI: 1.019 to 2.832; P = 0.042), and left-sided colon cancer (HR: 2.210, 95%CI: 1.300 to 3.758; P = 0.003) were associated with shorter PFS, and the prognosis of patients with chemotherapy was better (HR: 0.581, 95%CI: 0.395 to 0.856; P = 0.006). The other clinicopathological variables were not correlated with PFS (P > 0.05). Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis

Table 1 Patient population characteristics according to tumor budding					
Characteristics	Total, <i>n</i> = 547	Bd 1, <i>n</i> = 386	Bd 2-3, <i>n</i> = 161	P value	
Sex				0.514	
Male	317 (58.0)	224 (58.0)	93 (57.8)		
Female	230 (42.0)	162 (42.0)	68 (42.2)		
Age in yr				0.141	
< 60	276 (50.5)	201 (52.1)	75 (46.6)		
≥ 60	271 (49.5)	185 (47.9)	86 (53.4)		
Chemotherapy				0.055	
Yes	319 (58.3)	234 (60.6)	85 (52.8)		
No	228 (41.7)	152 (39.4)	76 (47.2)		
Radiotherapy				0.119	
Yes	32 (5.9)	26 (6.7)	6 (3.7)		
No	515 (94.1)	360 (93.3)	155 (96.3)		
Perineural invasion				< 0.001	
Yes	171 (31.3)	100 (25.9)	71 (44.1)		
No	376 (68.7)	286 (74.1)	90 (55.9)		
Vascular invasion				0.004	
Yes	152 (27.8)	94 (24.4)	58 (36.0)		
No	395 (72.2)	292 (75.6)	103 (64.0)		
Clinical stages				0.006	
3	436 (79.7)	319 (82.6)	117 (72.7)		
4	111 (20.3)	67 (17.4)	44 (27.3)		
Lymphatic invasion				0.019	
N0	134 (24.5)	105 (27.2)	29 (18.0)		
N1	258 (47.2)	183 (47.4)	75 (46.6)		
N2	155 (28.3)	98 (25.4)	57 (35.4)		
Tumor location				0.458	
Right-sided colon	167 (30.5)	124 (32.1)	43 (26.7)		
Left-sided colon	136 (24.9)	93 (24.1)	43 (26.7)		
Rectosigmoid	244 (44.6)	169 (43.8)	75 (46.6)		

Data are n (%).

demonstrated that AJCC stage IV, N2 (number of lymph node metastases ≥ 4), left-sided colon cancer, and Bd 2-3 were independent risk factors for stage III-IV CRC. Similarly, chemotherapy (P = 0.004) was an independent protective factor (Figures 5 and 6). Kaplan-Meier survival analysis (Figure 7) showed that patients with Bd 1 had a better prognosis than those with Bd 2-3. Meanwhile, when further grouping, we found that patients with Bd 1 had longer PFS and OS than those with Bd 2-3 in the invasion area (perineural and vascular invasions), and the difference was statistically significant (Figures 8 and 9). The density of TILs was higher in Bd 1 than in Bd 2-3, both in the stromal area and invasive margin (Figure 10).

DISCUSSION

As early as 1954, Imai first reported the phenomenon of TB in various solid tumors and its correlation with prognosis of disease[10]. Subsequent studies have further demonstrated that TB serves as an independent prognostic biomarker in CRC and is observed in a variety of solid tumors, including tongue, larynx, esophagus, stomach, breast, and intrahepatic



Raishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Table 2 Patients with KRAS, NRAS, BRAF mutations according to tumor budding					
Characteristics	Total, <i>n</i> = 112	Bd 1, <i>n</i> = 87	Bd 2-3, <i>n</i> = 25	<i>P</i> value	
KRAS				0.064	
Wild	53 (47.3)	45 (51.7)	8 (32.0)		
Mutant	59 (52.7)	42 (48.3)	17 (68.0)		
NRAS				0.275	
Wild	107 (95.5)	82 (94.3)	25 (100.0)		
Mutant	5 (4.5)	5 (5.7)	0 (0.0)		
BRAF				0.597	
Wild	106 (94.6)	82 (94.3)	24 (96.0)		
Mutant	6 (5.4)	5 (5.7)	1 (4.0)		

Data are n (%).

Male	HR	95%CT	Ovorall survival (%)	
Male		33-/001		P value
riaic	1	Ref		
Female	0.765	0.512-1.142		0.190
< 60	1	Ref		
≥ 60	1.463	0.996-2.150	• •	0.052
No	1	Ref		
Yes	0.547	0.371-0.807		0.002
No	1	Ref		
Yes	1.276	0.591-2.754		0 535
No	1	Ref		0.000
Yes	2.260	1.506-3.390	⊢ ∎→	< 0.001
No	1	Ref	₽ _{⊢-}	< 0.001
Yes	2.157	1.441-3.229		< 0.001
III	1	Ref		< 0.001
IV	3.251	2.206-4.790		< 0.001
NO	1	Ref	⊢	
N1	0.850	0.510-1.418	}4	0.534
N2	1.734	1.040-2.892		0.035
Right-sided colon	1	Ref	⊢ _∎4	
Left-sided colon	2.107	1.237-3.591	⊢	0.006
Rectosigmoid	1.475	0.889-2.446		0.132
Bd 1	1	Ref	⊨_	
Bd 2-3	2.024	1.378-2.972	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	< 0.001
	< 60 \geq 60 No Yes No Yes No Yes III IV N0 N1 N2 Right-sided colon Left-sided colon Rectosigmoid Bd 1 Bd 2-3	< 60	< 60	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

Hazard ratio (95%CI)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 4 Univariable analysis of overall survival in colorectal cancer patients. AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; HR: Hazard ratio.

cholangiocarcinomas. It has been shown to be predictive of cancer progression[11-14].

Currently, high-grade TB is an independent predictor of LNM to determine subsequent surgical treatment for stage I CRC[6,15]. In particular, Bd 3 is a strong adverse prognostic factor used to identify those stage II CRC patients with high recurrence rates and high mortality to guide adjuvant treatment[16]. In the new 2017 TNM and 2019 World Health Organization classifications, TB is regarded as an important complementary biological marker for predicting the prognosis of CRC[17]. Although the prognostic impact of TB on stage I and II CRC is clear, the application of this criterion in clinical practice for treatment decision-making in stage III-IV CRC is limited. This is mainly due to the lack of relevant research data in this context[18]. In this study, 547 patients with stage III-IV CRC were evaluated for TB and TILs according to the ITBCC 2016 scoring system. Combined with patient history, clinicopathological data and patient prognosis, the association between TB and various clinicopathological features and TILs, as well as the prognostic significance, were analyzed. These results suggest that TB independently affects the prognostic outcome of stage III-IV CRC.

The results of our study are consistent with the conclusion reached by Akabane *et al*[18] who retrospectively collected clinicopathological data from 237 patients with stage III CRC in a single center. This study showed that Bd 1 patients showed significantly better disease-free survival. The importance of TB in adjuvant treatment decision-making for stage III CRC has been emphasized. Notably, our study showed that TB was an independent adverse prognostic biomarker for

Baishidena® WJG | https://www.wjgnet.com

Luo YH et al. Tumor budding in stage III-IV CRC

Multivariate analysis			_		
Variables		HR	95%CI	Progression-free survival (%)	P value
Chemotherapy	No	1	Ref	- I	
	Yes	0.563	0.379-0.836	⊢ ∎-1	0.004
Neurological invasion	No	1	Ref		
	Yes	1.270	0.804-2.005	⊢ <u>+</u> − − 1	0.305
Vascular tumor embolus	No	1	Ref		0 122
	Yes	1.443	0.906-2.298		0.122
AJCC stage	III	1	Ref		
	IV	3.995	2.624-6.082		<0.001
Lymphatic invasion	N0	1	Ref		
	N1	1.380	0.793-2.400	P → ∎→-4	0.255
	N2	2.299	1.297-4.075	_ F	0.004
Tumor site	Right-sided colon	1	Ref		
	Left-sided colon	1.757	1.027-3.004		0.040
	Rectosigmoid	1.163	0.693-1.953		0.568
Tumor budding	Bd 1	1	Ref		
	Bd 2-3	1.831	1.241-2.702		0.002
				0.1 1 10	

Hazard ratio (95%CI)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158 **Copyright** ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 5 Multivariable Cox hazards model analysis on progression-free survival in colorectal cancer patients. AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; HR: Hazard ratio.

Multivariate analysis					
Variables		HR	95%CI	Overall survival (%)	P value
Chemotherapy		1	Ref	i	
	Yes	0.472	0.316-0.704		<0.001
Neurological invasion	No	1	Ref		50.001
	Yes	1.624	1.023-2.580		0.040
Vascular tumor embolus	No	1	Ref		
	Yes	1.487	0.925-2.391	⊫ ∎	0.101
AJCC stage	III	1	Ref	-	
	IV	3.636	2.375-5.566	⊢∎→	<0.001
Lymphatic invasion	NO	1	Ref		
	N1	1.313	0.748-2.307		0.314
	N2	2.139	1.192-3.838	⊢ −∎−−1	0.011
Tumor site	Right-sided colon	1	Ref		
	Left-sided colon	1.619	0.943-2.781	P −−− 1	0.081
	Rectosigmoid	1.143	0.680-1.922		0.614
Tumor budding	Bd 1	1	Ref		
	Bd 2-3	1.563	1.052-2.324		0.027
			0	.1 1	10

Hazard ratio (95%CI)

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i2.158 Copyright ©The Author(s) 2024.

Figure 6 Multivariable Cox hazards model analysis on overall survival in colorectal cancer patients. AJCC: American Joint Committee on Cancer; HR: Hazard ratio.

PFS and OS in stage III-IV CRC. A single-center retrospective study of 589 patients with stage III CRC reported by Yamadera *et al*[19] used a binary classification system similar to that of Akabane *et al*[18] but the cut-off value was different (0-9 buds: Low; \geq 10 buds: High). The results showed that among patients with stage III CRC, the Bd1 group had better survival after adjuvant chemotherapy. Rogers *et al*[16] conducted a meta-analysis of 34 studies with a total of 7821 CRC patients at various stages, and found that TB was significantly correlated with LNM (odds ratio [OR]: 4.94, 95%CI: 3.96-6.17; *P* < 0.00001), 5-year PFS (OR: 5.50, 95%CI: 3.64-8.29; *P* < 0.00001) and 5-year OS (OR: 4.51, 95%CI: 2.55-7.99; *P* < 0.0001). It was concluded that TB was a risk factor for predicting LNM at 5 years, PFS at 5 years, and OS at 5 years in



Figure 7 Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of survival in patients with Stage III-IV colorectal cancer according to grade of tumor budding. A: Overall survival in patients with Bd 1 and Bd 2-3; B: Progression-free survival in patients with Bd 1 and Bd 2-3.



Figure 8 Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of survival in patients with Stage III-IV colorectal cancer according to grade of tumor budding. A: Overall survival in the vascular invasion group; B: Progression-free survival in the vascular invasion group.



Figure 9 Kaplan–Meier survival analysis of survival in patients with Stage III-IV colorectal cancer according to grade of tumor budding. A: Overall survival in the perineural invasion group; B: Progression-free survival in the perineural invasion group.

CRC patients, and its inclusion in CRC staging facilitated further risk stratification; however, there was a lack of studies on stage III-IV CRC in a meta-analysis by Rogers *et al*[16] and the definition and evaluation criteria of TB varied greatly between different studies. Similarly, Graham *et al*[20] evaluated 553 CRC patients at various stages and found that high-grade TB was an independent predictor of poor disease-specific Survival. Therefore, TB could also be a pathological marker that is clinically practical to further refine risk classification and guide decision-making in stage III-IV CRC.

Interestingly, our study also found that the Bd 1 group had a higher proportion of TILs at the tumor invasive margin, which may be associated with better prognosis. This is consistent with the findings of Nearchou *et al*[21], who found the deletion of TILs in high-grade TB by exploring the effects of different lymphocyte distribution patterns and TB quantity and density on prognosis in stage II CRC through automatic imaging analysis and machine learning workflow.

WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Luo YH et al. Tumor budding in stage III-IV CRC



Figure 10 Relationship between grade of tumor budding and tumor infiltrating lymphocytes. A: Bd 1 and Bd 2-3 with stromal tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs); B: Bd 1 and Bd 2-3 with TILs at the invasive margin.

During the development of cancer, EMT promotes cancer development by empowering mesenchymal phenotypes associated with highly aggressive tumor cells^[22]. TB demonstrates the dynamic process of EMT; that is, tumor cells in the aggressive front acquire an interstitial phenotype. This increases the malignant potential of vascular invasion and distant metastasis, and consequently, metastases to lymph nodes or distant parenchymal organs through the vasculature^[23-25].

E-cadherin is an intercellular adhesion protein and pivotal regulatory factor in this process[26,27]. Numerous studies have claimed that in different solid tumors, the expression of E-cadherin is reduced or absent on the cell surface of the tumor invasion front, especially in TB[28-31]. This loss is usually accompanied by a decrease in β -catenin expression, indicating that the WNT pathway in cells may be activated. Hence, budding tumor cells can degrade the extracellular matrix (ECM) and invade and migrate through the surrounding stroma. In addition, it has been found that the expression of laminin 5 γ 2 is usually increased in response to tumor invasion[32]. In the gene ontology study, RNA sequencing data from the TB region and the corresponding central tumor region were compared and analyzed. The expression of genes involved in integrin-mediated cell adhesion, migration, cytoskeleton rearrangement and ECM degradation were found to be significantly different between the two regions[33]. Koelzer *et al*[34] found that there is an immune escape mechanism in EMT, in which tumor cells lose the expression of their major histocompatibility complex, allowing them to evade recognition and attack by the immune system.

Finally, our study included a substantial number of 547 CRC patients. TB was evaluated independently by two pathologists and re-evaluated by a third pathologist when the results were inconsistent, ensuring a high level of reliability in the TB assessment. Furthermore, the ITBCC 2016 recommendations were followed to evaluate TB specifically in patients with stage III-IV CRC, thereby investigating its impact on patient prognosis.

However, this study had some limitations. First, this study was a retrospective analysis conducted at a single center, which may introduce some degree of bias as the study population may not represent all CRC patients in China. Second, using a single index as a risk factor still has some drawbacks, which can be solved by constructing a prognosis model with a multi-index multidimensional association algorithm. Furthermore, the accuracy of the assessment results based on TILs on H&E slides must be improved to provide better medical strategies and achieve individualized precision treatment for patients with CRC. Future efforts should focus on utilizing artificial intelligence techniques, such as digital pathology methods, to enhance the accuracy and reproducibility of TB and TIL assessments. Additionally, integrating TB with the immunoscore could refine the risk stratification of stage III-IV CRC.

CONCLUSION

TB has an independent predictive prognostic value for PFS and OS in patients with stage III-IV CRC. It is recommended to complete the TB report of stage III-IV CRC cases in the standardized pathological report to further refine risk stratification.

ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS

Research background

Tumor budding (TB) is a novel prognostic biomarker that may influence clinical treatment decisions in stage I-II colorectal cancer (CRC) patients. This study analyzed the relationship between TB categories and clinicopathological characteristics and assess their prognostic value in stage III-IV CRC to further refine the prognostic risk stratification of stage III-IV CRC. Additionally, we analyzed changes in tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TILs) in patients with different TB categories and initially explored the correlation between TB and the tumor immune microenvironment.

WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Research motivation

To explore the association of TB with clinicopathological features and prognostic value in stage III-IV CRC.

Research objectives

This study analyzed the relationship between TB categories and clinicopathological characteristics and assess their prognostic value in stage III-IV CRC to further refine the prognostic risk stratification of stage III-IV CRC.

Research methods

This study included a substantial number of 547 CRC patients. TB was evaluated independently by two pathologists and re-evaluated by a third pathologist when the results were inconsistent, ensuring a high level of reliability in the TB assessment. Furthermore, the 2016 International TB Consensus Conference recommendations were followed to evaluate TB specifically in patients with stage III-IV CRC, thereby investigating its impact on patient prognosis.

Research results

Multivariate Cox proportional hazards regression analysis demonstrated that chemotherapy, clinical stage IV, ≥ 4 regional lymph node metastases, left-sided colonic cancer, and Bd 2-3 were independent prognostic factors in patients with stage III-IV CRC. Moreover, the density of TILs was higher in Bd 1 than in Bd 2-3, both in the tumor stroma and its invasive margin.

Research conclusions

TB has an independent predictive prognostic value for progression-free survival and overall survival in patients with stage III-IV CRC. It is recommended to complete the TB report of stage III-IV CRC cases in the standardized pathological report to further refine risk stratification.

Research perspectives

A multicenter prospective study with large samples should be conducted in the future, and constructing a prognosis model with a multi-index multidimensional association algorithm with other prediction models is needed to further verify its reliability.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank all the patients and their family members involved in the study without which the advancements described herein would have not been made possible.

FOOTNOTES

Co-first authors: Yue-Hao Luo and Zhe-Cheng Yan.

Co-corresponding authors: Xiao-Na Chang and Xiu Nie.

Author contributions: Luo YH and Yan ZC contributed equally to this work; Luo YH, Yan ZC, Liu JY, Li XY, Yang M, and Fan J designed the research study; Luo YH, Huang B, and Ma CG performed the research; Luo YH, Chang XN, and Niu X analyzed the data and wrote the manuscript; All authors have read and approve the final manuscript.

Supported by National Key R&D Program of China, No. 2022YFF1203300.

Institutional review board statement: This study was approved by the review committee of the affiliated institution of Tongji Medical College of Huazhong University of Science and Technology (2018-S377).

Informed consent statement: Consent was obtained from all patients participating in the study.

Conflict-of-interest statement: The authors have no conflicts of interest to declare.

Data sharing statement: No additional data are available.

Open-Access: This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Yue-Hao Luo 0009-0000-6774-888X; Jun Fan 0000-0003-0221-5451; Xiu Nie 0000-0003-0221-5000.



S-Editor: Li L L-Editor: Filipodia P-Editor: Zhao S

REFERENCES

- Siegel RL, Miller KD, Fedewa SA, Ahnen DJ, Meester RGS, Barzi A, Jemal A. Colorectal cancer statistics, 2017. CA Cancer J Clin 2017; 67: 1 177-193 [PMID: 28248415 DOI: 10.3322/caac.21395]
- 2 Murphy N, Moreno V, Hughes DJ, Vodicka L, Vodicka P, Aglago EK, Gunter MJ, Jenab M. Lifestyle and dietary environmental factors in colorectal cancer susceptibility. Mol Aspects Med 2019; 69: 2-9 [PMID: 31233770 DOI: 10.1016/j.mam.2019.06.005]
- 3 Xi Y, Xu P. Global colorectal cancer burden in 2020 and projections to 2040. Transl Oncol 2021; 14: 101174 [PMID: 34243011 DOI: 10.1016/j.tranon.2021.101174]
- 4 Basile D, Broudin C, Emile JF, Falcoz A, Pagès F, Mineur L, Bennouna J, Louvet C, Artru P, Fratte S, Ghiringhelli F, André T, Derangère V, Vernerey D, Taieb J, Svrcek M; for PRODIGE investigators, GERCOR, Fédération Française de Cancerologie Digestive, and UNICANCER. Tumor budding is an independent prognostic factor in stage III colon cancer patients: a post-hoc analysis of the IDEA-France phase III trial (PRODIGE-GERCOR). Ann Oncol 2022; 33: 628-637 [PMID: 35306156 DOI: 10.1016/j.annonc.2022.03.002]
- Lugli A, Kirsch R, Ajioka Y, Bosman F, Cathomas G, Dawson H, El Zimaity H, Fléjou JF, Hansen TP, Hartmann A, Kakar S, Langner C, 5 Nagtegaal I, Puppa G, Riddell R, Ristimäki A, Sheahan K, Smyrk T, Sugihara K, Terris B, Ueno H, Vieth M, Zlobec I, Quirke P. Recommendations for reporting tumor budding in colorectal cancer based on the International Tumor Budding Consensus Conference (ITBCC) 2016. Mod Pathol 2017; 30: 1299-1311 [PMID: 28548122 DOI: 10.1038/modpathol.2017.46]
- Cappellesso R, Luchini C, Veronese N, Lo Mele M, Rosa-Rizzotto E, Guido E, De Lazzari F, Pilati P, Farinati F, Realdon S, Solmi M, Fassan 6 M, Rugge M. Tumor budding as a risk factor for nodal metastasis in pT1 colorectal cancers: a meta-analysis. Hum Pathol 2017; 65: 62-70 [PMID: 28438617 DOI: 10.1016/j.humpath.2017.04.013]
- Ueno H, Ishiguro M, Nakatani E, Ishikawa T, Uetake H, Matsuda C, Nakamoto Y, Kotake M, Kurachi K, Egawa T, Yasumasa K, Murata K, 7 Ikawa O, Shinji S, Murotani K, Matsui S, Teramukai S, Tomita N, Sugihara K; SACURA Study Group. Prospective Multicenter Study on the Prognostic and Predictive Impact of Tumor Budding in Stage II Colon Cancer: Results From the SACURA Trial. J Clin Oncol 2019; 37: 1886-1894 [PMID: 31180819 DOI: 10.1200/JCO.18.02059]
- Lugli A, Karamitopoulou E, Panayiotides I, Karakitsos P, Rallis G, Peros G, Iezzi G, Spagnoli G, Bihl M, Terracciano L, Zlobec I. CD8+ 8 lymphocytes/ tumour-budding index: an independent prognostic factor representing a 'pro-/anti-tumour' approach to tumour host interaction in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2009; 101: 1382-1392 [PMID: 19755986 DOI: 10.1038/sj.bjc.6605318]
- Lugli A, Zlobec I, Berger MD, Kirsch R, Nagtegaal ID. Tumour budding in solid cancers. Nat Rev Clin Oncol 2021; 18: 101-115 [PMID: 9 32901132 DOI: 10.1038/s41571-020-0422-y]
- 10 IMAI T. Growth patterns in human carcinoma. Their classification and relation to prognosis. Obstet Gynecol 1960; 16: 296-308 [PMID: 13717558
- Berg KB, Schaeffer DF. Tumor budding as a standardized parameter in gastrointestinal carcinomas: more than just the colon. Mod Pathol 11 2018; 31: 862-872 [PMID: 29403085 DOI: 10.1038/s41379-018-0028-4]
- Voutsadakis IA. Prognostic role of tumor budding in breast cancer. World J Exp Med 2018; 8: 12-17 [PMID: 30211020 DOI: 12 10.5493/wjem.v8.i2.12]
- 13 Beer A, Reber A, Paireder M, Schoppmann SF, Heber S, Schiefer AI. Tumor cell budding in preoperative biopsies of esophageal and gastroesophageal junction carcinoma independently predicts survival in a grade-dependent manner. Surgery 2022; 172: 567-574 [PMID: 35422326 DOI: 10.1016/j.surg.2022.02.020]
- Kosaka H, Ishida M, Ueno M, Komeda K, Hokutou D, Iida H, Hirokawa F, Matsui K, Sekimoto M, Kaibori M. Tumor budding may be a 14 promising prognostic indicator in intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma: A multicenter retrospective study. Ann Gastroenterol Surg 2023; 7: 138-146 [PMID: 36643363 DOI: 10.1002/ags3.12605]
- Bosch SL, Teerenstra S, de Wilt JH, Cunningham C, Nagtegaal ID. Predicting lymph node metastasis in pT1 colorectal cancer: a systematic 15 review of risk factors providing rationale for therapy decisions. Endoscopy 2013; 45: 827-834 [PMID: 23884793 DOI: 10.1055/s-0033-1344238
- Rogers AC, Winter DC, Heeney A, Gibbons D, Lugli A, Puppa G, Sheahan K. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the impact of tumour 16 budding in colorectal cancer. Br J Cancer 2016; 115: 831-840 [PMID: 27599041 DOI: 10.1038/bjc.2016.274]
- Nagtegaal ID, Odze RD, Klimstra D, Paradis V, Rugge M, Schirmacher P, Washington KM, Carneiro F, Cree IA; WHO Classification of 17 Tumours Editorial Board. The 2019 WHO classification of tumours of the digestive system. Histopathology 2020; 76: 182-188 [PMID: 31433515 DOI: 10.1111/his.13975]
- 18 Akabane S, Shimizu W, Takakura Y, Kochi M, Taguchi K, Nakashima I, Sato K, Hattori M, Egi H, Sentani K, Yasui W, Ohdan H. Tumor budding as a predictive marker for 5-fluorouracil response in adjuvant-treated stage III colorectal cancer. Int J Clin Oncol 2021; 26: 1285-1292 [PMID: 33881678 DOI: 10.1007/s10147-021-01917-9]
- Yamadera M, Shinto E, Kajiwara Y, Mochizuki S, Okamoto K, Hase K, Yamamoto J, Ueno H. Differential Survival Benefits of 5-19 Fluorouracil-Based Adjuvant Chemotherapy for Patients With Microsatellite-Stable Stage III Colorectal Cancer According to the Tumor Budding Status: A Retrospective Analysis. Dis Colon Rectum 2019; 62: 1316-1325 [PMID: 31567925 DOI: 10.1097/DCR.00000000001480]
- Graham RP, Vierkant RA, Tillmans LS, Wang AH, Laird PW, Weisenberger DJ, Lynch CF, French AJ, Slager SL, Raissian Y, Garcia JJ, 20 Kerr SE, Lee HE, Thibodeau SN, Cerhan JR, Limburg PJ, Smyrk TC. Tumor Budding in Colorectal Carcinoma: Confirmation of Prognostic Significance and Histologic Cutoff in a Population-based Cohort. Am J Surg Pathol 2015; 39: 1340-1346 [PMID: 26200097 DOI: 10.1097/PAS.000000000000504]
- Nearchou IP, Lillard K, Gavriel CG, Ueno H, Harrison DJ, Caie PD. Automated Analysis of Lymphocytic Infiltration, Tumor Budding, and 21 Their Spatial Relationship Improves Prognostic Accuracy in Colorectal Cancer. Cancer Immunol Res 2019; 7: 609-620 [PMID: 30846441 DOI: 10.1158/2326-6066.CIR-18-0377]



- Derynck R, Weinberg RA. EMT and Cancer: More Than Meets the Eye. Dev Cell 2019; 49: 313-316 [PMID: 31063750 DOI: 22 10.1016/j.devcel.2019.04.026]
- Klymkowsky MW, Savagner P. Epithelial-mesenchymal transition: a cancer researcher's conceptual friend and foe. Am J Pathol 2009; 174: 23 1588-1593 [PMID: 19342369 DOI: 10.2353/ajpath.2009.080545]
- Yilmaz M, Christofori G. EMT, the cytoskeleton, and cancer cell invasion. Cancer Metastasis Rev 2009; 28: 15-33 [PMID: 19169796 DOI: 24 10.1007/s10555-008-9169-0
- 25 Polyak K, Weinberg RA. Transitions between epithelial and mesenchymal states: acquisition of malignant and stem cell traits. Nat Rev Cancer 2009; 9: 265-273 [PMID: 19262571 DOI: 10.1038/nrc2620]
- 26 Zlobec I, Lugli A, Baker K, Roth S, Minoo P, Hayashi S, Terracciano L, Jass JR. Role of APAF-1, E-cadherin and peritumoral lymphocytic infiltration in tumour budding in colorectal cancer. J Pathol 2007; 212: 260-268 [PMID: 17516584 DOI: 10.1002/path.2164]
- 27 Kohler I, Bronsert P, Timme S, Werner M, Brabletz T, Hopt UT, Schilling O, Bausch D, Keck T, Wellner UF. Detailed analysis of epithelialmesenchymal transition and tumor budding identifies predictors of long-term survival in pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma. J Gastroenterol Hepatol 2015; 30 Suppl 1: 78-84 [PMID: 25827809 DOI: 10.1111/jgh.12752]
- Jensen DH, Dabelsteen E, Specht L, Fiehn AM, Therkildsen MH, Jønson L, Vikesaa J, Nielsen FC, von Buchwald C. Molecular profiling of 28 tumour budding implicates TGF\beta-mediated epithelial-mesenchymal transition as a therapeutic target in oral squamous cell carcinoma. J Pathol 2015; 236: 505-516 [PMID: 25925492 DOI: 10.1002/path.4550]
- Attramadal CG, Kumar S, Boysen ME, Dhakal HP, Nesland JM, Bryne M. Tumor Budding, EMT and Cancer Stem Cells in T1-2/N0 Oral 29 Squamous Cell Carcinomas. Anticancer Res 2015; 35: 6111-6120 [PMID: 26504037]
- Lee SJ, Choi SY, Kim WJ, Ji M, Lee TG, Son BR, Yoon SM, Sung R, Lee EJ, Youn SJ, Park SM. Combined aberrant expression of E-30 cadherin and S100A4, but not β-catenin is associated with disease-free survival and overall survival in colorectal cancer patients. Diagn Pathol 2013; 8: 99 [PMID: 23783026 DOI: 10.1186/1746-1596-8-99]
- Nakagawa Y, Ohira M, Kubo N, Yamashita Y, Sakurai K, Toyokawa T, Tanaka H, Muguruma K, Shibutani M, Yamazoe S, Kimura K, 31 Nagahara H, Amano R, Ohtani H, Yashiro M, Maeda K, Hirakawa K. Tumor budding and E-cadherin expression are useful predictors of nodal involvement in T1 esophageal squamous cell carcinoma. Anticancer Res 2013; 33: 5023-5029 [PMID: 24222146]
- Katayama M, Sekiguchi K. Laminin-5 in epithelial tumour invasion. J Mol Histol 2004; 35: 277-286 [PMID: 15339047 DOI: 32 10.1023/b:hijo.0000032359.35698.fe]
- Zhou B, Zong S, Zhong W, Tian Y, Wang L, Zhang Q, Zhang R, Li L, Wang W, Zhao J, Chen X, Feng Y, Zhai B, Sun T, Liu Y. Interaction 33 between laminin-5γ2 and integrin β1 promotes the tumor budding of colorectal cancer via the activation of Yes-associated proteins. Oncogene 2020; **39**: 1527-1542 [PMID: 31676872 DOI: 10.1038/s41388-019-1082-1]
- Koelzer VH, Herrmann P, Zlobec I, Karamitopoulou E, Lugli A, Stein U. Heterogeneity analysis of Metastasis Associated in Colon Cancer 1 34 (MACC1) for survival prognosis of colorectal cancer patients: a retrospective cohort study. BMC Cancer 2015; 15: 160 [PMID: 25884643 DOI: 10.1186/s12885-015-1150-z]





Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA Telephone: +1-925-3991568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk https://www.wjgnet.com

