

## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 89663

Title: Early Selective Enteral Feeding in Treatment of a Patient with Acute Pancreatitis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03075520 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: MD, MSc

**Professional title:** Chief Doctor, Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

Author's Country/Territory: United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-17 08:30

Reviewer performed review: 2023-11-27 09:42

**Review time:** 10 Days and 1 Hour

	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [Y] Grade C:
Scientific quality	Good
	[ ] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ Y] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ ] Rejection
Re-review	[Y] Yes [] No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Reviewers' comments Manuscript ID: 89663 Title:Early Selective Enteral Feeding in Treatment of a Patient with Acute Pancreatitis Comments: Acute pancreatitis one of the common emergency care surgical disease. Early initiation of enteral feeding is recognized to play crucial role in improving the outcomes of treatment of acute pancreatitis. However, the method of administration of enteral nutrition remains debatable. A 27-years old female patient with moderate-severe acute pancreatitis, at high risk of progressing to a severe or fatal condition, using novel method of selective feeding with duodenal isolation. New Pandicath catheter realizing a princeps of topical treatment and providing an enteral nutrition selectively in proximal part of jejunum. Within 48 hours of starting treatment with novel method it prevented the development of multiple organ failure and, when combined with minimally invasive drainage methods, helped prevent infection, the method made it possible to stop progression of severity of acute pancreatitis. Acute pancreatitis one of the common emergency care surgical disease. Early initiation of enteral feeding is recognized to play crucial role in improving the outcomes of treatment of acute pancreatitis. However, the method of



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

administration of enteral nutrition remains debatable. Case report: A 27-years old female patient with moderate-severe acute pancreatitis, at high risk of progressing to a severe or fatal condition, using novel method of selective feeding with duodenal isolation. New Pandicath catheter realizing a princeps of topical treatment and providing an enteral nutrition selectively in proximal part of jejunum. Within 48 hours of starting treatment with novel method it prevented the development of multiple organ failure and, when combined with minimally invasive drainage methods, helped prevent infection. The data above suggest that the method made it possible to stop progression of severity of acute pancreatitis. The authors proposed method of early selective enteral feeding demonstrated both safety and effectiveness. The authors proposed method of early selective enteral feeding demonstrated both safety and effectiveness. It is a topic of interest to the researchers in the related areas, but the paper needs some improvements before acceptance for publication. My detailed comments are as follows: 1.the introduction ,materials and methods in the paper work well ,especially Case Report,a follow-up CT scan, a change of a drainage catheter was performed under ultrasound guidance to remove the remaining inflammatory effusion from the peritoneal cavity, Sonography for Trauma (FAST) ultrasound examination ,early selective enteral feeding. 2. Results are good and the resolutions of the figures are high, but the part of dicussion is not well discussed combined with results and references and should make some modifications. In addition, the part of background is verbose, it should be shorten to 300 words. 3. The few of references are not up-to-date, references of the last 10 years should be cited, please cite last 10 years references, especially references for the last 5 years. Pelase make some revisions, espically in the part of discussion ,and references. After makin some revisions, the paper may be considered for publication.



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Clinical Cases

Manuscript NO: 89663

Title: Early Selective Enteral Feeding in Treatment of a Patient with Acute Pancreatitis

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

Reviewer's code: 03202632 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: PhD

**Professional title:** Professor

Reviewer's Country/Territory: China

**Author's Country/Territory:** United Kingdom

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-10

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-12-01 11:33

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-01 12:20

Review time: 1 Hour

Scientific quality	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Very good [ ] Grade C: Good [ Y] Grade D: Fair [ ] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [ ] Grade B: Good [ Y] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No creativity or innovation



Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[ ] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [ ] Grade C: Fair [ ] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[ ] Grade A: Priority publishing [ ] Grade B: Minor language polishing [ Y] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [ ] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[ ] Accept (High priority) [ ] Accept (General priority) [ ] Minor revision [ ] Major revision [ Y] Rejection
Re-review	[ ]Yes [Y]No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [ ] Onymous  Conflicts-of-Interest: [ ] Yes [Y] No

## SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

The main text of this manuscript reads more like a diary of events, and the author is advised to present the case report in a more clinically oriented manner. The Background section appears excessively long for a case report. It is recommended that the author condenses this part to ensure a more concise presentation. Additionally, it is customary for the "Background" section to be labeled as "Introduction" within the main text. The author is encouraged to verify this based on the guidelines provided by the target journal and make the necessary adjustments accordingly.