

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Diabetes

Manuscript NO: 90107

Title: Application of non-mydriatic fundus photography-assisted telemedicine in

diabetic retinopathy screening

Provenance and peer review: Unsolicited manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

Peer-review model: Single blind

Reviewer's code: 07540117 Position: Peer Reviewer Academic degree: N/A Professional title: N/A

De la conta Consulta /Femiliana

Reviewer's Country/Territory: Iran
Author's Country/Territory: China

Manuscript submission date: 2023-11-23

Reviewer chosen by: AI Technique

Reviewer accepted review: 2023-11-26 10:47

Reviewer performed review: 2023-12-06 09:44

Review time: 9 Days and 22 Hours

]] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Very good [] Grade C:
Scientific quality C	Good
]	Grade D: Fair [] Grade E: Do not publish
Novelty of this manuscript [Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair] Grade D: No novelty
Creativity or innovation of [Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair
this manuscript [Grade D: No creativity or innovation



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wjgnet.com

Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript	[] Grade A: Excellent [Y] Grade B: Good [] Grade C: Fair [] Grade D: No scientific significance
Language quality	[Y] Grade A: Priority publishing [] Grade B: Minor language polishing [] Grade C: A great deal of language polishing [] Grade D: Rejection
Conclusion	[] Accept (High priority) [] Accept (General priority) [Y] Minor revision [] Major revision [] Rejection
Re-review	[Y]Yes []No
Peer-reviewer statements	Peer-Review: [Y] Anonymous [] Onymous Conflicts-of-Interest: [] Yes [Y] No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

General comment: The topic approached by the authors of this paper is interesting and overall, I believe the study has relevant information hereby suitable for publication after revision. The authors clearly explained their work throughout the manuscript. I just have some remarks/suggestions to point out. The study suggests that non-mydriatic fundus photography-assisted telemedicine is a reliable and efficient method for screening and diagnosing diabetic retinopathy, with a value of 0.689. Specific comments: Introduction: - The last 5 lines of the introduction, which refer to the sample size, should be removed from this section. It is better to state the need for this study. In other words, what was the problem in diagnosing diabetic retinopathy with the previous methods that the new method should replace them. Methods: -The sample size of the experiment was relatively small, which may limit the generalizability of the results. - The authors did not describe the exact methodology used for the single-blind assessment of the concordance between non-mydriatic fundus photography-assisted telemedicine and fundus fluorescein angiography. In results: -Tables 1 & 2: It is better to report exact P-values. - The study did not compare the results with other existing DR screening



7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA **Telephone:** +1-925-399-1568 **E-mail:** office@baishideng.com

https://www.wjgnet.com

methods. - The study did not evaluate the cost-effectiveness of using non-mydriatic fundus photography-assisted telemedicine. - The study did not assess the potential risks associated with using non-mydriatic fundus photography-assisted telemedicine. In discussion & conclusion: -Limitations and strengths of the study are not mentioned.