# World Journal of Gastroenterology

World J Gastroenterol 2024 April 28; 30(16): 2179-2286





#### **Contents**

Weekly Volume 30 Number 16 April 28, 2024

#### **EDITORIAL**

- 2179 Fecal microbiota transplantation for irritable bowel syndrome: Current evidence and perspectives Dai C, Huang YH, Jiang M
- 2184 MicroRNAs in inflammatory bowel disease: What do we know and what can we expect? Oliveira ECS, Quaglio AEV, Grillo TG, Di Stasi LC, Sassaki LY
- 2191 Microplastics and microbiota: Unraveling the hidden environmental challenge Demarquoy J

#### **REVIEW**

2195 Mechanisms of tumor immunosuppressive microenvironment formation in esophageal cancer Zhang XJ, Yu Y, Zhao HP, Guo L, Dai K, Lv J

#### **MINIREVIEWS**

2209 Laryngopharyngeal reflux disease: Updated examination of mechanisms, pathophysiology, treatment, and association with gastroesophageal reflux disease

Cui N, Dai T, Liu Y, Wang YY, Lin JY, Zheng QF, Zhu DD, Zhu XW

2220 Drug-induced mucosal alterations observed during esophagogastroduodenoscopy

Iwamuro M, Kawano S, Otsuka M

#### **ORIGINAL ARTICLE**

#### **Retrospective Study**

Preoperative prediction of perineural invasion of rectal cancer based on a magnetic resonance imaging 2233 radiomics model: A dual-center study

Liu Y, Sun BJT, Zhang C, Li B, Yu XX, Du Y

#### **Observational Study**

2249 Association between childhood obesity and gut microbiota: 16S rRNA gene sequencing-based cohort

Li XM, Lv Q, Chen YJ, Yan LB, Xiong X

#### **Basic Study**

2258 Chitin-glucan improves important pathophysiological features of irritable bowel syndrome

Valibouze C, Dubuquoy C, Chavatte P, Genin M, Maquet V, Modica S, Desreumaux P, Rousseaux C

#### World Journal of Gastroenterology

#### **Contents**

#### Weekly Volume 30 Number 16 April 28, 2024

2272 Optimization of tracheoesophageal fistula model established with T-shaped magnet system based on magnetic compression technique

Zhang MM, Mao JQ, Shen LX, Shi AH, Lyu X, Ma J, Lyu Y, Yan XP

#### **LETTER TO THE EDITOR**

2281 Ability of Helicobacter pylori to internalize into Candida

Chen ZH, Sun JC, Yang TX, Cui GZ

2285 Transjugular intrahepatic portosystemic shunt: A promising therapy for recompensation in cirrhotic patients

Jin YN, Zhang W



 $\Pi$ 

#### Contents

#### Weekly Volume 30 Number 16 April 28, 2024

#### **ABOUT COVER**

Editorial Board Member of World Journal of Gastroenterology, Zhao-Hui Huang, MD, Director, Professor, Wuxi Cancer Institute, Affiliated Hospital of Jiangnan University, Wuxi 214062, Jiangsu Province, China. hzhwxsy@126.com

#### **AIMS AND SCOPE**

The primary aim of World Journal of Gastroenterology (WJG, World J Gastroenterol) is to provide scholars and readers from various fields of gastroenterology and hepatology with a platform to publish high-quality basic and clinical research articles and communicate their research findings online. WJG mainly publishes articles reporting research results and findings obtained in the field of gastroenterology and hepatology and covering a wide range of topics including gastroenterology, hepatology, gastrointestinal endoscopy, gastrointestinal surgery, gastrointestinal oncology, and pediatric gastroenterology.

#### INDEXING/ABSTRACTING

The WJG is now abstracted and indexed in Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), MEDLINE, PubMed, PubMed Central, Scopus, Reference Citation Analysis, China Science and Technology Journal Database, and Superstar Journals Database. The 2023 edition of Journal Citation Reports® cites the 2022 impact factor (IF) for WJG as 4.3; Quartile category: Q2. The WJG's CiteScore for 2021 is 8.3.

#### **RESPONSIBLE EDITORS FOR THIS ISSUE**

Production Editor: Yu-Xi Chen, Production Department Director: Xiang Li; Cover Editor: Jia-Ru Fan.

#### NAME OF JOURNAL

World Journal of Gastroenterology

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

#### LAUNCH DATE

October 1, 1995

#### **FREQUENCY**

Weekly

#### **EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Andrzej S Tarnawski

#### **EXECUTIVE ASSOCIATE EDITORS-IN-CHIEF**

Xian-Jun Yu (Pancreatic Oncology), Jian-Gao Fan (Chronic Liver Disease), Hou-Bao Liu (Biliary Tract Disease)

#### **EDITORIAL BOARD MEMBERS**

http://www.wignet.com/1007-9327/editorialboard.htm

#### **PUBLICATION DATE**

April 28, 2024

#### **COPYRIGHT**

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

#### **PUBLISHING PARTNER**

Shanghai Pancreatic Cancer Institute and Pancreatic Cancer Institute, Fudan University

Biliary Tract Disease Institute, Fudan University

#### **INSTRUCTIONS TO AUTHORS**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/204

#### **GUIDELINES FOR ETHICS DOCUMENTS**

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/287

#### **GUIDELINES FOR NON-NATIVE SPEAKERS OF ENGLISH**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240

#### **PUBLICATION ETHICS**

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/288

#### **PUBLICATION MISCONDUCT**

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/208

#### **POLICY OF CO-AUTHORS**

https://www.wignet.com/bpg/GerInfo/310

#### ARTICLE PROCESSING CHARGE

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/242

#### STEPS FOR SUBMITTING MANUSCRIPTS

https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/GerInfo/239

#### **ONLINE SUBMISSION**

https://www.f6publishing.com

#### **PUBLISHING PARTNER'S OFFICIAL WEBSITE**

https://www.shca.org.cn https://www.zs-hospital.sh.cn

© 2024 Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved. 7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA E-mail: office@baishideng.com https://www.wignet.com



Paishidena® WJG https://www.wjgnet.com

Submit a Manuscript: https://www.f6publishing.com

DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i16.2179

World J Gastroenterol 2024 April 28; 30(16): 2179-2183

ISSN 1007-9327 (print) ISSN 2219-2840 (online)

EDITORIAL

## Fecal microbiota transplantation for irritable bowel syndrome: **Current evidence and perspectives**

Cong Dai, Yu-Hong Huang, Min Jiang

Specialty type: Gastroenterology and hepatology

#### Provenance and peer review:

Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

Peer-review model: Single blind

#### Peer-review report's scientific quality classification

Grade A (Excellent): 0 Grade B (Very good): B Grade C (Good): C Grade D (Fair): 0 Grade E (Poor): 0

P-Reviewer: Chen S, Japan; Iovandaric MZ, Serbia

Received: November 25, 2023 Peer-review started: November 25,

First decision: February 8, 2024 Revised: February 14, 2024 Accepted: April 1, 2024 Article in press: April 1, 2024 Published online: April 28, 2024



Cong Dai, Yu-Hong Huang, Min Jiang, Department of Gastroenterology, First Hospital of China Medical University, Shenyang 110001, Liaoning Province, China

Corresponding author: Min Jiang, Doctor, PhD, Professor, Department of Gastroenterology, First Hospital of China Medical University, No. 92 of Beier Road, Heping District, Shenyang 110001, Liaoning Province, China. congdai2006@126.com

#### **Abstract**

In this editorial we comment on the article published in the recent issue of the World journal of Gastroenterology. We focus specifically on the mechanisms underlying the effects of fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) for irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), the factors which affect the outcomes of FMT in IBS patients, and challenges. FMT has emerged as a efficacious intervention for clostridium difficile infection and holds promise as a therapeutic modality for IBS. The utilization of FMT in the treatment of IBS has undergone scrutiny in numerous randomized controlled trials, yielding divergent outcomes. The current frontier in this field seeks to elucidate these variations, underscore the existing knowledge gaps that necessitate exploration, and provide a guideline for successful FMT implementation in IBS patients. At the same time, the application of FMT as a treatment for IBS confronts several challenges.

Key Words: Fecal microbiota transplantation; Irritable bowel syndrome; Microbiota; Randomized controlled trial; Meta-analysis

@The Author(s) 2024. Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc. All rights reserved.

Core Tip: Fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) has emerged as a efficacious intervention for Clostridium difficile infection and holds promise as a therapeutic modality for irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). The utilization of FMT in the treatment of IBS has undergone scrutiny in numerous randomized controlled trials, yielding divergent outcomes. The current frontier in this field seeks to elucidate these variations, underscore the existing knowledge gaps that necessitate exploration, and provide a guideline for successful FMT implementation in IBS patients. At the same time, the application of FMT as a treatment for IBS confronts several challenges.

2179

Citation: Dai C, Huang YH, Jiang M. Fecal microbiota transplantation for irritable bowel syndrome: Current evidence and perspectives. World J Gastroenterol 2024; 30(16): 2179-2183

URL: https://www.wjgnet.com/1007-9327/full/v30/i16/2179.htm

**DOI:** https://dx.doi.org/10.3748/wjg.v30.i16.2179

#### INTRODUCTION

The transplantation of the intestinal microbiome through feces obtained from a healthy individual with normal bowel function, commonly termed fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT), has historical roots dating back to the fourth century when the Chinese physician pioneered its application in addressing severe diarrhea and malaria[1]. In contemporary medical contexts, FMT has emerged as a efficacious intervention for Clostridium difficile infection (CDI) and holds promise as a therapeutic modality for various conditions, including irritable bowel syndrome (IBS)[2-4]. The utilization of FMT in the treatment of IBS has undergone scrutiny in numerous randomized controlled trials (RCTs), yielding divergent outcomes[5-10]. The current frontier in this field seeks to elucidate these variations, underscore the existing knowledge gaps that necessitate exploration, and provide a guideline for successful FMT implementation in IBS patients.

Halkjær et al [11] conducted a comprehensive meta-analysis to evaluate the efficacy and safety of FMT in the treatment of IBS. The study revealed that, compared to a placebo, FMT yielded no statistically significant benefits in alleviating IBS symptoms at three months post-treatment (RR 1.19, 95%CI: 0.68-2.10). Additionally, FMT exhibited no discernible enhancement in the quality of life for individuals with IBS (MD -6.30, 95%CI: -13.39-0.79). Notably, a subgroup analysis indicated a noteworthy amelioration of symptoms with endoscopic FMT delivery, whereas capsules did not elicit a comparable effect. Adverse events were documented in 97 participants within the FMT group, contrasting with 45 participants in the placebo group (RR 1.17, 95%CI: 0.63-2.15). The incongruent findings across the included studies can be attributed to variations in the selection of IBS patient subtypes, the frequency and route of transplant delivery, FMTcontent, pre-treatment protocols, and the number of donors.

While the studies included in the analysis did not report major adverse effects linked directly to FMT, it is imperative to maintain a low threshold for toxicity evaluation, especially in non-life-threatening conditions. It becomes incongruent when proponents selectively reference murine transplant experiments highlighting the role of the microbiota in IBS pathogenesis while neglecting analogous studies suggesting the potential transferability of phenotypes. Consequently, the risks associated with FMT extend beyond infection or transmission of antibiotic-resistant organisms, encompassing the theoretical transfer of inflammatory, metabolic, and even behavioral phenotypes from donor to recipient. Although meticulous donor selection can mitigate these risks, certain microbiotas may harbor latent dangers associated with the future onset of colon cancer. Then I will provide a detailed introduction to the mechanisms, the influencing factors, and challenges of FMT for IBS.

#### Mechanisms of FMT for IBS

Enteroendocrine cells, mast cells, and fecal Short Chain Fatty Acids (SCFAs) in individuals with IBS exhibit distinctions from those in healthy subjects, and these variances are thought to be pivotal in the pathophysiology of IBS[12-14]. Butyrate, an SCFA, serves as an energy source for colonic epithelial cells, modulates immune responses, mitigates oxidative stress, reduces intestinal motility, and decreases cell permeability. Notably, butyrate also regulates colonic hypersensitivity, and its intake has been associated with diminished abdominal pain in IBS patients. While it is premature to definitively delineate the mechanisms underpinning the effects of FMT, available data propose that the amelioration of IBS symptoms through FMT may be attributed to alterations in enteroendocrine cells, mast cells, and SCFAs including butyrate.

The majority of bodily serotonin is situated in the gut, with only 10% residing in the enteric nervous system (ENS), and the remaining portion contained in the enterochromaffin (EC) cells dispersed among the gastrointestinal epithelial cells. Serotonin plays a crucial role in gastric emptying and intestinal peristalsis [15]. Additionally, serotonin activates the sensory nerve endings of submucosal ENS, transmitting gut sensations to the central nervous system. Upon exerting its effects at serotonin receptors, serotonin is transported into intestinal epithelial cells by the serotonin-selective reuptake transporter (SERT), where it undergoes degradation. In individuals with IBS, reported lower densities of EC cells and diminished SERT immunointensity in the gut suggest impaired serotonin uptake and degradation. Specific bacteria, such as Corynebacterium, Streptococcus, and Enterococcus spp., alongside indigenous spore-forming bacteria, have been identified as serotonin producers. Furthermore, Clostridium ramosum regulates serotonin release from EC cells. The altered intestinal bacterial composition induced by FMT may influence the serotonin-regulating system.

#### THE INFLUENCING FACTORS OF FMT FOR IBS

#### FMT donor selection

Considerable variability in the outcomes of FMT for IBS has been noted among studies, a phenomenon largely ascribed to differences in donor selection. The designation "superdonor" is bestowed upon a donor eliciting a robust response to FMT [16]. Attempts to predict superdonors have involved pooling feces from multiple donors to augment the chances of patients receiving superdonor feces. However, this strategy proved unsuccessful, likely due to the dilution of superdonor feces and subsequent inadequate dosing for recipients. The divergent outcomes in RCTs of FMT in IBS can be predominantly traced to variations in donor selection criteria. Notably, the RCT conducted by El-Salhy et al [17], which demonstrated positive FMT responses, established the strict selection of superdonor. But some RCTs omitted these criteria for donor selection. The temporal stability of the donor's intestinal bacterial composition is another pivotal consideration when selecting a superdonor.

Clinical criteria for superdonor selection have been grounded in factors known to impact the intestinal microbiota, including age (> 50 years), smoking habits, birth by cesarean section, formula feeding during infancy, antibiotic use, nonantibiotic drug consumption, and lifestyle factors such as regular exercise and diet. Genetic considerations also underscore the need for superdonors to be non-first-degree relatives of recipients. The superdonor identified in El-Salhy et al's RCT adhered to a profile of a healthy young male with a normal body mass index, born vaginally, breastfed, nonsmoking, medication-free, with infrequent antibiotic use, regular exercise, and a healthy diet[17]. Fecal microbiota analysis of this donor revealed high microbial diversity, with deviations in bacterial composition from the norm of 165 healthy subjects, predominantly in the Firmicutes phylum. This included increased abundance of beneficial bacteria including Lactobacillus, Streptococcus, and Ruminococcaceae spp. Importantly, the composition of fecal microbiota from the superdonor can remain stable for over 18 months. Despite efforts to ensure superdonor presence by pooling feces from multiple donors, this strategy yielded no response or only transient improvement.

IBS patient inclusion.

Caution must be exercised in generalizing the outcomes of RCTs of FMT for IBS, as the patient cohorts included in these trials represent specific subsets of the IBS population. Notably, five RCTs exclusively enrolled patients with diarrhea-predominant IBS (IBS-D), mixed-diarrhea-and-constipation IBS (IBS-M), or IBS unclassified (IBS-U). In contrast, three other RCTs encompassed all IBS subtypes, encompassing IBS-D, constipation-predominant IBS (IBS-C), IBS-M, and IBS-U. Additionally, the RCT conducted by El-Salhy et al[17] found that the patients who live with IBS patients for two days may exhibit moderate to severe IBS symptoms, even if they completely follow the National Institute for Health and Care Excellenc dietary regimen. The RCT by Holster et al[8] specifically included patients characterized by low levels of fecal butyrate-producing bacteria. Furthermore, Holvoet et al[10] exclusively enrolled refractory IBS patients with severe bloating who are ineffective in conventional therapies for at least 3 d. These variations in patient selection criteria underscore the need for cautious interpretation and application of the outcomes of FMT trials to the broader spectrum of individuals with IBS.

#### Route of administration and dose of FMT

FMT can be performed through upper gastrointestinal pathways including gastroscope and nasojejunal tube, as well as lower gastrointestinal pathways including colonoscope. Both routes of administration have demonstrated efficacy. But a noteworthy placebo effect was observed in 43%-44% and 23.6%-26% of patients who received FMT in the large and small intestine, respectively [8,10]. This placebo effect might be more pronounced in patients receiving FMT in the colon, potentially attributable to the favorable impact of bowel preparation for colonoscopy on IBS symptoms. In contrast to its success in treating CDI, the administration of FMT via capsule ingestion proved ineffective in IBS. Possible factors contributing to this ineffectiveness include donor selection, a low transplant dose, or the pooling of donors.

The dose of the fecal transplant appears to influence FMT outcomes, suggesting a dose-dependent response. Notably, 70% of patients unresponsive to a 30 g FMT dose exhibited a positive response to a 60 g FMT dose. The majority of included studies utilized a dose of at least 30 g[8,10]. Concurrently, further investigations are imperative to assess the comparative efficacy of single versus repeated FMT administrations.

#### Challenges of FMT for IBS

Although FMT is a promising treatment for gastrointestinal and nongastrointestinal diseases, the application of FMT as a treatment for IBS confronts several challenges. Firstly, the absence of a definitive microbial signature and the diverse dysbiosis patterns in IBS. Pathobiont proliferation, commensal loss, and reduced microbial diversity contribute to the complexity of the condition in IBS. At the same time, some factors such as infection, inflammation, dietary influences, xenobiotics, genetics, and familial transmission exert dynamic effects on the composition of the intestinal microbial community.

Secondly, the lack of a universally defined normal microbiome limits the application of FMT. FMT has many complex mechanisms of action, including direct effects on the host, reinstatement of missing network interactions, and microbiome modulation. However, it is crucial to acknowledge that FMT is not a curative measure, as its benefits persist for a median duration of four months and gradually diminish over a year.

Thirdly, FMT responsiveness varies in IBS patients, with distinct and stable subsets of responders and non-responders. While initial responders often regain a positive response after re-FMT, those who failed to respond initially generally continue to exhibit disappointing outcomes with subsequent FMT attempts from different donors. This raises the prospect that disruptions in the microbiota may offer a pathway for stratifying IBS patients. While symptoms play a central role in IBS diagnosis, their questionable value in stratification is evident as patients categorized as IBS-C, IBS-D, or IBS-M may transition between these patterns over time. Additionally, microbiota studies do not support stratification based on constipation or diarrhea. Integrating microbiota analysis including microbiome composition and functional analysis before and after FMT into the design and monitoring of future FMT trials for IBS appears reasonable. This does not imply exclusive responsiveness in those with disturbed microbiota but holds the potential to predict varying degrees of responsiveness, offering a refined rationale for patient selection and valuable data for result interpretation.

Fourth, the risks of FMT should also be evaluated. Improving the screening of FMT donors including testing the donor stool for beta-lactase extended-spectrum beta-lactamase, E. coli, and severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 would reduce the risks of infection by known agents. Moreover, restricting the selection of patients with IBS for FMT to those without systemic disease, immune deficiency, treatment with immune-modulating medication, and severe illness would reduce the risks.

#### CONCLUSION

FMT is a promising treatment for both gastrointestinal and nongastrointestinal diseases. There is currently a lot of evidence to prove that it can improves the IBS symptoms, fatigue, and quality of life. However, several questions remain to be answered, and further investigations are needed before FMT can be applied for IBS treatment in clinical practice. The criteria to apply when selecting an effective donor for FMT remain unclear, including the administration route, the optimal dose, and the frequency of treatment. Moreover, it is not clear whether FMT is effective for all IBS patients, or certain subsets of IBS patients. At the same time, there is some concern regarding the long-term side effects of FMT.

#### **FOOTNOTES**

Author contributions: Jiang M had the original idea for the paper, formulated the protocol and contributed to data abstraction and analysis; Dai C and Jiang M wrote the paper and incorporated the comments from other authors and peer reviewers. All authors reviewed and approved the final draft of the paper.

Conflict-of-interest statement: All the authors report no relevant conflicts of interest for this article.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/Licenses/by-nc/4.0/

Country/Territory of origin: China

ORCID number: Cong Dai 0000-0003-1317-183X; Yu-Hong Huang 0000-0001-6228-3022; Min Jiang 0000-0003-0533-7000.

S-Editor: Qu XL L-Editor: A P-Editor: Chen YX

#### REFERENCES

- Zhang F, Luo W, Shi Y, Fan Z, Ji G. Should we standardize the 1,700-year-old fecal microbiota transplantation? Am J Gastroenterol 2012; 107: 1755; author reply p.1755-1755; author reply p.1756 [PMID: 23160295 DOI: 10.1038/ajg.2012.251]
- Liubakka A, Vaughn BP. Clostridium difficile Infection and Fecal Microbiota Transplant. AACN Adv Crit Care 2016; 27: 324-337 [PMID: 27959316 DOI: 10.4037/aacnacc2016703]
- Green JE, Davis JA, Berk M, Hair C, Loughman A, Castle D, Athan E, Nierenberg AA, Cryan JF, Jacka F, Marx W. Efficacy and safety of fecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of diseases other than Clostridium difficile infection: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Gut Microbes 2020; 12: 1-25 [PMID: 33345703 DOI: 10.1080/19490976.2020.1854640]
- Keller JJ, Ooijevaar RE, Hvas CL, Terveer EM, Lieberknecht SC, Högenauer C, Arkkila P, Sokol H, Gridnyev O, Mégraud F, Kump PK, Nakov R, Goldenberg SD, Satokari R, Tkatch S, Sanguinetti M, Cammarota G, Dorofeev A, Gubska O, Ianiro G, Mattila E, Arasaradnam RP, Sarin SK, Sood A, Putignani L, Alric L, Baunwall SMD, Kupcinskas J, Link A, Goorhuis AG, Verspaget HW, Ponsioen C, Hold GL, Tilg H, Kassam Z, Kuijper EJ, Gasbarrini A, Mulder CJJ, Williams HRT, Vehreschild MJGT. A standardised model for stool banking for faecal microbiota transplantation: a consensus report from a multidisciplinary UEG working group. United European Gastroenterol J 2021; 9: 229-247 [PMID: 33151137 DOI: 10.1177/2050640620967898]
- Johnsen PH, Hilpüsch F, Cavanagh JP, Leikanger IS, Kolstad C, Valle PC, Goll R. Faecal microbiota transplantation versus placebo for moderate-to-severe irritable bowel syndrome: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled, parallel-group, single-centre trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2018; 3: 17-24 [PMID: 29100842 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(17)30338-2]
- Halkjær SI, Christensen AH, Lo BZS, Browne PD, Günther S, Hansen LH, Petersen AM. Faecal microbiota transplantation alters gut 6 microbiota in patients with irritable bowel syndrome: results from a randomised, double-blind placebo-controlled study. Gut 2018; 67: 2107-2115 [PMID: 29980607 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2018-316434]
- Aroniadis OC, Brandt LJ, Oneto C, Feuerstadt P, Sherman A, Wolkoff AW, Kassam Z, Sadovsky RG, Elliott RJ, Budree S, Kim M, Keller MJ. Faecal microbiota transplantation for diarrhoea-predominant irritable bowel syndrome: a double-blind, randomised, placebo-controlled trial. Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019; 4: 675-685 [PMID: 31326345 DOI: 10.1016/S2468-1253(19)30198-0]
- Holster S, Lindqvist CM, Repsilber D, Salonen A, de Vos WM, König J, Brummer RJ. The Effect of Allogenic Versus Autologous Fecal Microbiota Transfer on Symptoms, Visceral Perception and Fecal and Mucosal Microbiota in Irritable Bowel Syndrome: A Randomized Controlled Study. Clin Transl Gastroenterol 2019; 10: e00034 [PMID: 31009405 DOI: 10.14309/ctg.00000000000000034]
- Lahtinen P, Jalanka J, Hartikainen A, Mattila E, Hillilä M, Punkkinen J, Koskenpato J, Anttila VJ, Tillonen J, Satokari R, Arkkila P. Randomised clinical trial: faecal microbiota transplantation versus autologous placebo administered via colonoscopy in irritable bowel syndrome. Aliment Pharmacol Ther 2020; 51: 1321-1331 [PMID: 32343000 DOI: 10.1111/apt.15740]

2182

- 10 Holvoet T, Joossens M, Vázquez-Castellanos JF, Christiaens E, Heyerick L, Boelens J, Verhasselt B, van Vlierberghe H, De Vos M, Raes J, De Looze D. Fecal Microbiota Transplantation Reduces Symptoms in Some Patients With Irritable Bowel Syndrome With Predominant Abdominal Bloating: Short- and Long-term Results From a Placebo-Controlled Randomized Trial. Gastroenterology 2021; 160: 145-157.e8 [PMID: 32681922 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2020.07.013]
- Halkjær SI, Lo B, Cold F, Højer Christensen A, Holster S, König J, Brummer RJ, Aroniadis OC, Lahtinen P, Holvoet T, Gluud LL, Petersen 11 AM. Fecal microbiota transplantation for the treatment of irritable bowel syndrome: A systematic review and meta-analysis. World J Gastroenterol 2023; 29: 3185-3202 [PMID: 37346153 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v29.i20.3185]
- El-Salhy M, Hatlebakk JG, Hausken T. Diet in Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS): Interaction with Gut Microbiota and Gut Hormones. Nutrients 12 2019; 11 [PMID: 31394793 DOI: 10.3390/nu11081824]
- El-Salhy M. Irritable bowel syndrome: diagnosis and pathogenesis. World J Gastroenterol 2012; 18: 5151-5163 [PMID: 23066308 DOI: 13 10.3748/wjg.v18.i37.5151]
- 14 El-Salhy M, Seim I, Chopin L, Gundersen D, Hatlebakk JG, Hausken T. Irritable bowel syndrome: the role of gut neuroendocrine peptides. Front Biosci (Elite Ed) 2012; 4: 2783-2800 [PMID: 22652678 DOI: 10.2741/e583]
- Gershon MD, Tack J. The serotonin signaling system: from basic understanding to drug development for functional GI disorders. 15 Gastroenterology 2007; 132: 397-414 [PMID: 17241888 DOI: 10.1053/j.gastro.2006.11.002]
- Wilson BC, Vatanen T, Cutfield WS, O'Sullivan JM. The Super-Donor Phenomenon in Fecal Microbiota Transplantation. Front Cell Infect 16 Microbiol 2019; 9: 2 [PMID: 30719428 DOI: 10.3389/fcimb.2019.00002]
- 17 El-Salhy M, Hatlebakk JG, Gilja OH, Bråthen Kristoffersen A, Hausken T. Efficacy of faecal microbiota transplantation for patients with irritable bowel syndrome in a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled study. Gut 2020; 69: 859-867 [PMID: 31852769 DOI: 10.1136/gutjnl-2019-319630]

2183



### Published by Baishideng Publishing Group Inc

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

**Telephone:** +1-925-3991568

E-mail: office@baishideng.com

Help Desk: https://www.f6publishing.com/helpdesk

https://www.wjgnet.com

