



## PEER-REVIEW REPORT

**Name of journal:** *World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery*

**Manuscript NO:** 90292

**Title:** Analysis of factors impacting postoperative pain and quality of life in patients with mixed hemorrhoids: A retrospective study

**Provenance and peer review:** Unsolicited Manuscript; Externally peer reviewed

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Reviewer's code:** 07916842

**Position:** Peer Reviewer

**Academic degree:** MD, PhD

**Professional title:** Assistant Professor, Researcher

**Reviewer's Country/Territory:** Japan

**Author's Country/Territory:** China

**Manuscript submission date:** 2024-01-12

**Reviewer chosen by:** AI Technique

**Reviewer accepted review:** 2024-01-16 09:31

**Reviewer performed review:** 2024-01-29 05:02

**Review time:** 12 Days and 19 Hours

<b>Scientific quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not publish
<b>Novelty of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No novelty
<b>Creativity or innovation of this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No creativity or innovation



<b>Scientific significance of the conclusion in this manuscript</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Good <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Fair <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: No scientific significance
<b>Language quality</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection
<b>Conclusion</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept (High priority) <input type="checkbox"/> Accept (General priority) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Minor revision <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection
<b>Re-review</b>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
<b>Peer-reviewer statements</b>	Peer-Review: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Anonymous <input type="checkbox"/> Onymous
	Conflicts-of-Interest: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

**SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS**

The authors have certainly conducted an interesting and original study with complete statistical studies. This study provides a thorough analysis of postoperative quality of life and pain in patients undergoing surgery for mixed hemorrhoids, offering significant clinical implications. Here are some suggestions: -The study could benefit from a more information on data analysis. For instance, when using the Student's t-test for inter-group comparisons, it would be beneficial to mention whether analysis of variance (ANOVA) was conducted to validate the reliability of the results. -In the conclusion section, it would be helpful to emphasize more clearly the clinical practicality of the study and suggest directions for future research. -Also, it could be clearer in highlighting the generalizability and limitations of the study to aid readers in better understanding the scope of the research. -Ensure that the cited references are the latest and cover important studies in the relevant field. Overall, the study provides valuable information but has room for further improvement in certain aspects.