

Dear editors and dear Reviewer

Thank you for your letter and the reviewers ' comments concerning our manuscript entitled " **A case report of early embryonic development arrest caused by a novel mutation of the *TUBB8* gene.** "( NO. 90298). Those comments are valuable and very helpful . We have read through comments carefully and have made corrections . Based on the instructions provided in your letter , we uploaded the file of the revised manuscript . Revisions in the text are shown using yellow highlight for additions. The responses to the reviewer ' s comments presented following .

We would love to thank you for allowing us to resubmit a revised copy of the manuscript and we highly appreciate your time and consideration .

Sincerely .

Wang lei

Reviewer #1:

Q: This is a nice case study but the language of this paper needs some brush up.

Response: We have sent the revised manuscript to a professional English editing company for polishing, and provided a new language certificate along with the manuscript.

Q: The rationale behind following different protocols during five different cycles doesn't seem to be amply explained. Following five different protocols don't seem to be pointing at anything concrete per se.

Response: We believe that each ovulation induction regimen possesses its own merits and drawbacks. If the patient's ovulation induction results prove unsatisfactory in the initial cycle, we will assess the suitability of the regimen and make a decision to transition to an alternative ovulation induction protocol with the aim of attaining improved pregnancy outcomes.