

CHECKLIST OF RESPONSIBILITIES FOR SCIENTIFIC EDITORS

Scientific Editor: Jin-Lei Wang

Date of signature: 1/31/2024 (month/day/year)

		Comments
Item No.	Specific items for verification	Yes=[Y]
		No= [N]
	General Information of the Manuscript	
	Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery	
1	Manuscript NO.: 90568	[Y]
	Column: Retrospective Study	
	Title: Clinical study on the relationship between liver cirrhosis,	



	and here and here a strength	
	ascites, and hyponatremia	
	Authors: Xuan-Ji Li and Hui-Hui Meng	
	Reviewer code: 07747469	
	First decision: 2024-01-09 08:47	
	Editorial Office's Comments	
	Science Editor: (1) The author(s) must check the figures; the Figure	
	1 seems missing in the text. (2) Please provide the original figure	
	documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using	
	PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can	
	be reprocessed by the editor. If the author has used a figure	
	published elsewhere or that is copyrighted, the author needs to be	
	authorized by the previous publisher or the copyright holder	
	and/or indicate the reference source and copyrights. Please check	
	and confirm whether the figures are original (i.e. generated de novo	
2	by the author(s) for this paper). If the picture is 'original', the author	[Y]
	needs to add the following copyright information to the bottom	
	right-hand side of the picture in PowerPoint (PPT): Copyright ©The	
	Author(s) 2024. (3) Authors are required to provide standard	
	three-line tables, that is, only the top line, bottom line, and column	
	line are displayed, while other table lines are hidden. The contents	
	of each cell in the table should conform to the editing specifications,	
	and the lines of each row or column of the table should be aligned.	
	Do not use carriage returns or spaces to replace lines or vertical	
	lines and do not segment cell content.	
	Company Editor-in-Chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review	



]
	Report, and full text of the manuscript, all of which have met the	
	basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of	
	Gastrointestinal Surgery, and the manuscript is conditionally	
	accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision	
	according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office's comments	
	and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. When revising	
	the manuscript, it is recommended that the author supplement and	
	improve the highlights of the latest cutting-edge research results,	
	thereby further improving the content of the manuscript. To this	
	end, authors are advised to apply PubMed, or a new tool, the RCA,	
	of which data source is PubMed. RCA is a unique artificial	
	intelligence system for citation index evaluation of medical science	
	and life science literature. In it, upon obtaining search results from	
	the keywords entered by the author, "Impact Index Per Article"	
	under "Ranked by" should be selected to find the latest highlight	
	articles, which can then be used to further improve an article under	
	preparation/peer-review/revision. Please visit our RCA database	
	for more information at:	
	https://www.referencecitationanalysis.com/, or visit PubMed at:	
	https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/.	
3	The fixed headings are copied.	[Y]
	The title concisely summarizes the main topic of the study and is	
	not too long (no more than 18 words). Words such as 'exploration',	
4	'research', 'analysis', 'observation', and 'investigation' are avoided.	[Y]
	The title does not start with 'The' and does not include any Arabic	
	numbers or uncommon abbreviations.	



A short running title is provided (no more than 6 words)	[Y]
	[1]
	[3/]
	[Y]
in the following format: full family (sur)name followed by	
abbreviated first and middles names.	
<i>e.g.,</i> "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang	
CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the	[Y]
research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed	
the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and	
analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and	
Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors	
have read and approve the final manuscript."	
The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial	
support and includes the corresponding identification number(s)	[N]
and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors.	
The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding	
author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (e.g., MD,	
PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address	[Y]
(including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and	
contains no spelling errors.	
The Manuscript Tracking information (i.e., Received, Peer review	
started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and	
Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor	[Y]
and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors.	
The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific	
	[Y]
follows:	
	 abbreviated first and middles names. <i>e.g.</i>, "Wang CL and Liang L contributed equally to this work; Wang CL, Liang L, Fu JF, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM designed the research study; Wang CL, Zou CC, Hong F and Wu XM performed the research; Xue JZ and Lu JR contributed new reagents and analytic tools; Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF analyzed the data; and Wang CL, Liang L and Fu JF wrote the manuscript. All authors have read and approve the final manuscript." The 'Supported by' statement describes the source(s) of financial support and includes the corresponding identification number(s) and program ID(s) if available, and contains no spelling errors. The 'Corresponding author' passage provides the corresponding author's full first and family (sur)names, abbreviated title (<i>e.g.</i>, MD, PhD), affiliated institute's name and complete postal address (including zip code) and e-mail (written in all lowercase), and contains no spelling errors. The Manuscript Tracking information (<i>i.e.</i>, Received, Peer review started, First decision, Revised, Accepted, Article in press, and Published online) are provided along with the corresponding editor and date for each item, and contain no spelling errors. The Abstract section is formatted according to the article-specific style (structured <i>vs</i> unstructured) and word count thresholds, as



	Commentary, Frontier, Diagnostic Advances, Medical Ethics,	
	Minireview, Review, Therapeutics Advances, and Topic Highlight:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 200 words.	
	Field of Vision, Case Report and Letter to the Editor:	
	Non-structured abstract that is no less than 150 words.	
	Research articles: Structured abstract with subsections for AIM (no	
	more than 20 words); METHODS (no less than 80 words); RESULTS	
	(no less than 120 words); and CONCLUSION (no more than 26	
	words).	
	The 'Key words' list provides 5-10 keywords that reflect the main	
12	content of the study. The first letter of each keyword is capitalized,	[Y]
	and each keyword is separated by a semicolon.	
	The "citation" contains authors' names and manuscript title. The	
	name of the first author should be typed in bold letters; the family	
	(sur) name of all authors should be typed with the first letter	
	capitalized, followed by their abbreviated first and middle initials.	
13	For example, an article by Jae Moon Yoon, Ki Young Son, Chun Sick	[Y]
	Eom, Daniel Durrance, Sang Min Park will be written as Yoon JM,	
	Son KY, Eom CS, Durrance D, Park SM. Pre-existing diabetes	
	mellitus increases the risk of gastric cancer: A meta-analysis. World J	
	Gastroenterol 2019; In press	
	The 'Core tip' provides a summary (less than 100 words) of the	
14	study that outlines the most innovative and important arguments	
14	and core contents of the paper and will serve to effectively attract	[Y]
	readers.	
	1	



15	The 'INTRODUCTION' section clearly describes the relevant background information for the study. Only the most relevant and current (within the past 5 years) literature is cited, with the exception of rare instances of seminal literature citations. All	
	technical terms and/or abbreviations are explained and/or defined, with the full name of abbreviations given upon first appearance in the text and the abbreviation presented in parentheses [<i>i.e.</i> ,	[Y]
	"computed tomography (CT)"]. First-person pronouns (<i>e.g.</i> , 'T', 'we') are used appropriately to clearly indicate the work performed by the author(s). When weaknesses of previous studies are described in the text to highlight the innovations related to the current study, the information is presented carefully.	
16	The 'MATERIALS AND METHODS' section clearly and accurately describes all materials and methods used to obtain the data presented in the article and is adequate for a reader to repeat the study.	[Y]
17	The 'RESULTS' section concisely describes the observational and experimental results. Representative data and data that have scientific significance are emphasized. Data is presented in either the text, a table or figure (<i>i.e.</i> , chart, diagram, graph or image), but is not repeated among each. Information presented in the tables and figures clearly describes the trends, meaning, and inferences. Results described in textual form are accurate, concise and clear.	[Y]
18	Statistical symbols are accurate. Statistical significance is expressed as ${}^{a}P < 0.05$, ${}^{b}P < 0.01$ ($P > 0.05$ usually does not need to be denoted). If there are other series of P values, ${}^{c}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{d}P < 0.01$ are used, and a third series of P values is expressed as ${}^{e}P < 0.05$ and ${}^{f}P < 0.01$. Statistical data is expressed as mean ± SD or mean ± SE.	[Y]



	The 'DISCUSSION' section (1) describes the main purpose and	
19	hypothesis of the study; (2) summarizes the most important results;	
	(3) illustrates and explains the results (but does not simply repeat	
	the data) and draws conclusions or inferences based on the results;	[Y]
	(4) points out the limitations of the study and their impact on the	
	results, as well as proposes further advice on future research	
	topic(s) or direction(s); and (5) describes the theoretical significance	
	and practical value of the findings.	
	The 'ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS' section expresses gratitude to any	
	individuals or organizations for technical support (i.e., providing	
20	instrumentation, equipment or experimental materials, and/or	[]]]
20	assistance in experimental work), non-technical services (i.e., useful	[N]
	inspiration, suggestions, guidance, or review), and/or any other	
	auxiliary work.	
21	The 'ARTICLE HIGHLIGHTS' section provides comments for	[Y]
21	original articles in accordance with the specified format.	
	The 'REFERENCES' section lists the references in the Vancouver	
	style. This style uses Arabic numeral in-text citations based on the	
	order of the first appearance of a source in the text. For citations	
	where the author's name is indicated in the text, a superscript	
	number should be placed following the name (<i>i.e.</i> , "Pang <i>et al</i> "). For	
	citations where no author is indicated, a superscript number should	[Y]
22	be placed at the end of the sentence. Respective examples are: "Ma ^[1]	
	reported", "Pan et al ^[2-5] indicated"; "PCR has a high	
	sensitivity ^[6,9] ." No superscript numbers are used when the	
	reference number is described in the text; for example, "The	
	experimental method used has been described in reference [8]." The	
	style of reference citations in tables is the same as that in the text	
	(e.g., Pan et al ^[2-5] , please see reference [8]).	



	Journal references have been verified to ensure that there are no	
22	duplicate references and that the PMID numbers are correct. For	
	references not yet included in PubMed: the name of Chinese	
	journals is spelled out using Chinese Pinyin, with the first letter of	[1]
23	each word capitalized (e.g., Shijie Huaren Xiaohua Zazhi); the name of	[Y]
	journals in other languages are listed according to indexing	
	information retrieved from Google. Book references are presented	
	with all the information relevant to the electronic version.	
	The number of cited references is appropriate for the article type, as	
	follows:	
24	<u>Commentary:</u> no less than 50;	52/3
24	<u>Review:</u> no less than 100;	[Y]
	<u>Article:</u> no less than 30/26;	
	Case Report and Letter to the Editor: no less than 1.	
	The ethics-related statements are provided in accordance with the	
25	manuscript type (e.g., Manuscript NoInstitutional review board	[Y]
	statement, Manuscript NoAnimal care and use statement, etc.).	
	The names of the peer reviewers and the scientific editor are present	
26	at the end of the paper (e.g., P-Reviewer: Hugot D S-Editor: Wang	[Y]
	JL).	
	The order and numerical labeling of tables and figures is consistent	
	with their appearance and presentation in the text. Symbols in	
	tables (e.g., +, -, \times , \div , *) correctly correspond to the definitions in the	
27	footnotes. Only one legend is provided for each multi-panel figure	[1]
	consisting of color graphs, black and white graphs, or line graphs	[Y]
	that depicts data of the same theme. For example: Figure 1	
	Pathological changes in atrophic gastritis tissue before and after	
	treatment. A:; B:; C:; D:; E:; F:	
28	Split pictures include flow charts, line graphs, histograms, and	[V]
20	graphs including text. Unsplit pictures include meta-analysis	[Y]



	diagrams, PCR amplification curves, and survival curves.	
29	The author(s) highlighted the changes made to the manuscript according to the peer-reviewers' comments.	[Y]
30	The responses to the peer-reviewers' comments are consistent with the changes made to the manuscript.	[Y]
31	The revised manuscript is provided (file name: Manuscript NoReview; <i>e.g.</i> , 870- Review). The letter of peer-reviewers' comments is provided (file name: Manuscript NoPeer-review(s); <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Peer-review(s)). The response letter is provided (file name: Manuscript NoAnswering reviewers; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Answering reviewers).	[Y]
32	The related ethics and relevant documents are provided, such as (1) Approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s) (file name: Manuscript NoGrant application form(s)); (2) Biostatistics review certificate (file name: Manuscript NoBiostatistics statement); (3) Conflict-of-interest statement (file name: Manuscript NoConflict-of-interest statement); (4) Clinical trial registration statement (file name: Manuscript NoClinical trial registration statement); (5) Institutional review board approval form or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional review board statement); (6) Institutional animal care and use committee approval form or document (file name: Manuscript NoInstitutional animal care and use committee statement), and (7) Signed informed consent form(s) or document(s) (file name:	[Y]
33	All authors signed the BPG Copyright license agreement form (file name: Manuscript NoCopyright license agreement; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Copyright license agreement).	[Y]
34	The language certificate provided by authors who are non-native speakers of English meets the BPG requirements (file name:	[Y]



	Manuscript NoLanguage certificate; <i>e.g.</i> , 870-Language certificate).	
	The photos licensed in the Agreement for Use of Personal Photos	
35	are consistent with those in the paper (file name: Manuscript	[N]
	NoAgreement for use of personal photos; e.g., 870-Agreement for	[]
	use of personal photos).	
	This document (Checklist of Responsibilities for Scientific Editors)	
36	has been saved under the file name: manuscript NoScientific	[Y]
	editor work list (e.g., 870-Scientific editor work list).	
	A CrossCheck investigation (an effective tool for detecting unoriginal	
	content, enabling our editors to preserve the journal's integrity and	
	the authors' copyright) has been performed for the manuscript via	
	the website: http://www.ithenticate.com/. The results document	
	contains the following information for the manuscript: "Name of	
37	journal", "Manuscript No.", "Columns", "Title" and "Author list".	[Y]
	The Figure of the CrossCheck results is saved in JPEG format (.jpg) at	
	1440 \times 680 pixel resolution. The PDF of the <i>CrossCheck</i> results has	
	been saved under the file name: manuscript No CrossCheck report	
	(e.g., 870-CrossCheck report). The Google searches have also been	
	performed to further ensure publication of original content.	
	The text of the manuscript is typed in Book Antiqua font, 12 pt, with	
38	1.5 line spacing.	[Y]
	The primary responsibilities of our scientific editors include carefull	y checking the
	entire manuscript and all accompanying materials for: (1) error	
Responsibilities of scientific editors		1 0
	grammar, punctuation and wording; (2) suitability of tables, figures, f	igure data and
	legends; (3) accurate and appropriate presentation of symbols (e.g. +,	-, ×, ÷, %, *) in
	tables and figures; and (4) complete and comprehensive revision of t	he manuscript
	according to the reviewers' comments.	
	0.	



	Manuscript reception and registration→Initial review by scientific editor→Peer		
	review \rightarrow End of peer review \rightarrow First round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be		
	accepted \rightarrow Revision by the author(s) \rightarrow Second round of meeting evaluation \rightarrow To be		
	accepted/revised/rejected \rightarrow Final review by the Editor-in-Chief (final quality		
Publication	control for academic content and language quality)→Final acceptance and charging		
process	of publication fee \rightarrow Language editing \rightarrow Production \rightarrow Proofreading by scientific		
	editor→Proofreading by deputy editor→Final review by Editor-in-Chief→Release		
	of online open-access papers in electronic form on the BPG website \rightarrow Release of		
	online papers on PubMed Central→Delivery of high-quality PDF reprints to the		
	author(s) \rightarrow End of the publication process.		
	¹ Ethics and Relevant Document Handling Time		
	For the checking of the ethics and relevant document, the editing fee should be		
	calculated independently to the Scientific Editor. The handling time of the ethics		
	and relevant documents for clinical and basic researches is calculated as 3 hours, for		
Notes	case reports and other types of manuscript is calculated as 2 hours.		
	If any errors are found in ethics and relevant document after a manuscript is		
	published, a fine of 500 CNY will be imposed on the Scientific Editor for the		
	manuscript, which will be deducted from the Scientific Editor's Welfare provided		
	by the Baishideng Publishing Group.		