

Reviewer #1:

Scientific Quality: Grade C (Good)

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Conclusion: Minor revision

Specific Comments to Authors:

Dear authors Your work is a nice and organised manuscript. There are two point that I think they should be better clarified in the manuscript. First, how you can describe the infection occurred within two months prior to LT and ATG as a protective factor. Second, the method of obtaining samples for lung culture should be clarified, whether samples were from trachea or not, and if not how contamination was ruled out. Best wishes

(1.Thank you for your advice and there are some mistakes in our presentation, so we change “MELD score at LT \geq 22 (P=0.066), pre-LT diabetes (P=0.067), infection within 2 months prior to LT (P=0.098), and anti-thymocyte globulin use(P=0.063) showed a trend toward a lower incidence of KPIs” into “MELD score at LT \geq 22 (P=0.066), pre-LT diabetes (P=0.067), infection within 2 months prior to LT (P=0.098), and anti-thymocyte globulin use(P=0.063) showed a trend toward a higher incidence of KPIs” in our revised paper. 2. Samples were from trachea or induced sputum. The lung infection was thought as KPI based on a positive culture together with clinical signs of active infection (e.g., chills, fever, hypotension or by imaging such as CT or chest X-ray). A positive culture of *K. pneumoniae* absent of clinical signs of active infection was thought as contamination.)