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**Abstract**

This editorial explores the intricate relationship between microplastics (MPs) and gut microbiota, emphasizing the complexity and environmental health implications. The gut microbiota, a crucial component of gastrointestinal health, is examined in the context of potential microbial degradation of MPs. Furthermore, dysbiosis induced by MPs emerges as a consensus, disrupting the balance of gut microbiota and decreasing diversity. The mechanisms triggering dysbiosis, including physical interactions and chemical composition, are under investigation. Ongoing research addresses the consequences of MPs on immune function, nutrient metabolism, and overall host health. The bidirectional relationship between MPs and gut microbiota has significant implications for environmental and human health. Despite uncertainties, MPs negatively impact gut microbiota and health. Further research is essential to unravel the complex interactions and assess the long-term consequences of MPs on both environmental and human well-being.
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**Core Tip:** The intricate relationship between microplastics (MPs) and gut microbiota, as outlined in this article, emphasizes the growing concern for environmental health. Although the potential microbial degradation of certain MPs is recognized, the dysbiosis induced by these particles is widely acknowledged as a threat, impacting the balance and diversity of gut microbiota. Ongoing research aims to unravel these complex, bidirectional interactions, highlighting the need for a comprehensive understanding of their implications for both environmental ecosystems and human health.

**INTRODUCTION**

This editorial comments on an article published in a recent issue of *World Journal of Gastroenterology*, entitled “Impact of microplastics and nanoplastics on liver health: Current understanding and future research directions”[1]. We delve deeper into the connection between gut microbiota and plastic microparticles.

The interaction between microplastics (MPs) and microbiota is a subject of growing concern, especially in the context of environmental and human health. Overall, the interaction between MPs and microbiota is a complex issue that requires further research, particularly to understand the long-term health effects in both animals and humans. The growing body of evidence suggests that MPs could be a significant environmental health concern, impacting not just ecosystems but also the health of individual organisms by altering their gut microbiota.

**MPs**

MPs can at least be categorized according to their size, origin, or chemical composition.

***Classification by size***

MPs are tiny particles of plastic that measure less than 5 mm in length. Nanoplastics are particles smaller than 1 micrometer in size[2].

***Two main categories based on their source***

MPs can be classified according to their source: Primary MPs and secondary MPs.

Primary MPs encompass various types of tiny plastic particles that directly contribute to environmental pollution. Microbeads, deliberately added to personal care items such as scrubs and toothpaste, have faced bans in several regions due to their detrimental impact. Nurdles, small plastic pellets used in plastic production, are released into the environment through accidental spills during transport. Microfibers from synthetic textiles during washing, become a notable source of microplastic pollution in water. Additionally, MPs are present in cosmetics and personal care products, including glitter and other small plastic particles used for aesthetic purposes, contributing to the broader issue of microplastic contamination[3].

Secondary MPs result from the breakdown of larger plastic items through environmental processes such as sunlight exposure, wind, and wave action. Over time, exposure to environmental factors such as sunlight, heat, and mechanical forces can lead to the breakdown of larger plastic items into smaller particles, eventually forming MPs. The deterioration of vehicle tires represents a noteworthy contributor, as minute particles released during this process contribute to the presence of MPs in both terrestrial and aquatic ecosystems[4]. Additionally, the degradation of paints, coatings, and finishes on various surfaces releases small plastic particles into the environment, further contributing to the issue of secondary MPs [5].

***Chemical structure of MPs***

MPs consist of various synthetic polymers, each characterized by long chains of molecules formed from repeating subunits. The chemical composition of MPs varies according to the specific polymer used in their production. Noteworthy polymers found in MPs encompass Polyethylene (PE), prevalent in packaging materials, bottles, and various plastic products; Polypropylene, utilized in packaging, textiles, and plastic containers; PE terephthalate, commonly employed in beverage bottles, food containers, and synthetic fibers; Polyvinyl chloride, used in construction materials, pipes, and certain types of packaging; Polystyrene, commonly present in foam packaging, disposable utensils, and insulation materials; Polyurethane, employed in foams, coatings, adhesives, and flexible plastics; Nylon, found in textiles, fishing nets, and certain plastic components; and Acrylic, used in transparent plastics, lenses, and signage[6]. These polymers are not easily biodegradable, contributing to the persistence of MPs in the environment.

It's important to note that the chemical composition of MPs can also be influenced by additives and colorants used in the manufacturing process.

**THE GUT MICROBIOTA**

The gut microbiota is a complex and dynamic ecosystem, primarily composed of bacteria, along with archaea, viruses, fungi, and protozoa, residing in the gastrointestinal tract. This diverse microbial community is predominantly composed of bacteria from the *Firmicutes* and *Bacteroidetes* phyla, with significant contributions from *Actinobacteria*, *Proteobacteria*, and *Verrucomicrobia*. The specific composition varies widely among individuals due to factors such as diet, health status, age, and genetic background. Within these microbial groups, genera such as *Lactobacillus*, *Bifidobacterium*, *Escherichia*, *Clostridium*, and *Faecalibacterium* play crucial roles in maintaining gut health[7]. They contribute to nutrient absorption, synthesis of vitamins, protection against pathogens, and modulation of the immune system. The balance and diversity of the gut microbiota are essential for overall health, with imbalances linked to a range of diseases, including obesity, inflammatory bowel disease, diabetes, and allergies.

**INTERACTION MICROBIOTA/MPs**

The relationship between microbiota and MPs can exhibit various forms of interaction. The microbiota, with its diverse ensemble of microorganisms, may possess the ability to degrade certain MPs, a mechanism beyond the capability of eukaryotic cells. Conversely, the gut microbiota, a complex and diverse community of microorganisms, holds the potential to contribute to the degradation of MPs; nonetheless, this aspect is not yet fully comprehended.

**DEGRADATION OF PLASTICS BY THE MICROBIOTA**

Some microorganisms have been found to possess enzymes capable of breaking down certain types of plastics. These microorganisms, often bacteria or fungi, can metabolize or degrade plastic polymers to some extent under specific conditions.

Exploring the human digestion of MPs and their influence on colonic microbiota involves a range of methodologies, incorporating both in vitro and in vivo approaches. While investigations using animals and human trials are considered the standard due to their physiological relevance, they face limitations such as ethical concerns, high expenses, and the intricate nature of the multistage processes in human digestion. Consequently, there is a legitimate need for in vitro models that faithfully replicate the physiological conditions of human digestion.

Static models play a crucial role in identifying endpoints or kinetics of particular digestion phases, such as the biotransformation occurring in the stomach and small intestine. In contrast, dynamic models, despite their increased complexity, offer a more accurate representation of the physiological reality within the gastrointestinal tract[7]. Among these dynamic simulators, the simgi® system for instance, has been employed to investigate the effects of various foods, for example[8].

Numerous articles have discussed the existence of enzymes within the gut microbiota capable of breaking down MPs. However, these studies have not provided specific numbers regarding the percentage of MPs degraded by the microbiota, nor have they clarified whether the degradation process is complete. Additionally, there is a lack of information on the actual impact of the microbiota on eliminating MPs from the human environment. The diverse structure of MPs also suggests that the microbiota may not be totally capable of degrading all types of MPs. The work by Nugrahapraja *et al*[8] (2022) serves as an illustrative case of this issue. While the authors delineated enzymatic activities capable of degrading plastics within the human gut microbiota, their conclusion highlighted the challenge in quantifying the actual impact of the microbiota on the elimination of MPs.

The degradation of MPs by gut microbiota, if possible, would depend on several factors. These include the type of plastic, the size and shape of the MPs, the specific microbial species present, and the environmental conditions within the gut (such as pH, temperature, and oxygen levels)[9].

If gut microbiota can degrade MPs, it could have significant implications for reducing the environmental burden of plastic pollution and its impacts on health. However, the potential byproducts or consequences of such microbial degradation in the gut environment are not yet clear and would need to be thoroughly studied.

In summary, while there is potential for certain microorganisms to degrade plastics, the extent to which gut microbiota can break down MPs is still an open question in scientific research. More studies are needed to understand this interaction and its implications for environmental and human health. Regardless, it is evident that the microbiota within the human gut lacks the capability to break down all the MPs present in the food ingested by an individual.

**DYSBIOSIS INDUCED BY MPs**

If there is a consensus regarding the interrelationships between MPs and the intestinal microbiota, it is indeed that of dysbiosis. The vast majority of publications related to the connections between MPs, and the microbiota conclude that MPs present in the intestine induce, in humans as well as in other species, particularly in fish[10], a modification of the microbiota composition, notably resulting in a decrease in its diversity. Numerous bibliographic references on this topic exist, and some reviews are available on this subject[11,12].

Dysbiosis induced by MPs represents a disruption in the delicate balance of the gut microbiota, a complex community of microorganisms residing in the gastrointestinal tract. MPs, being foreign entities, can interact with the gut environment in various ways, potentially triggering alterations in microbial composition, diversity, and function.

The precise mechanisms by which MPs trigger dysbiosis are still under investigation, with several factors currently under consideration. First, the physical presence of MPs may lead to direct interactions with gut microorganisms, influencing their growth, survival, and metabolic activities. Second, the chemical composition of MPs and any associated additives might have direct or indirect effects on the microbiota. Moreover, MPs may serve as carriers for other pollutants or pathogens, further complicating their impact on gut microbial communities. The consequences of dysbiosis extend beyond the gut, potentially affecting immune function, nutrient metabolism, and overall host health. Long-term exposure to MPs and their influence on gut dysbiosis continue to be critical areas of research, with significant implications for both environmental and human health as contamination levels rise.

**CONCLUSION**

Overall, MPs have no positive effect on gut microbiota and human health. The interactions between MPs and the gut microbiota are complex, given the diverse sources, sizes, shapes, and chemical structures of MPs. The relationships between plastics and the microbiota operate bidirectionally. While microbiota can, in certain conditions, be able to degrade and eliminate some MPs, simultaneously, MPs can alter the function of the microbiota, inducing dysbiosis, and subsequently may have health effects.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Chiang CC**, Yeh H, Shiu RF, Chin WC, Yen TH. Impact of microplastics and nanoplastics on liver health: Current understanding and future research directions. *World J Gastroenterol* 2024; **30**: 1011-1017 [PMID: 38577182 DOI: 10.3748/wjg.v30.i9.1011]

2 **Yuan Z**, Nag R, Cummins E. Human health concerns regarding microplastics in the aquatic environment - From marine to food systems. *Sci Total Environ* 2022; **823**: 153730 [PMID: 35143789 DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153730]

3 **Wang W**, Gao H, Jin S, Li R, Na G. The ecotoxicological effects of microplastics on aquatic food web, from primary producer to human: A review. *Ecotoxicol Environ Saf* 2019; **173**: 110-117 [PMID: 30771654 DOI: 10.1016/j.ecoenv.2019.01.113]

4 **Zhao X**, Zhou Y, Liang C, Song J, Yu S, Liao G, Zou P, Tang KHD, Wu C. Airborne microplastics: Occurrence, sources, fate, risks and mitigation. *Sci Total Environ* 2023; **858**: 159943 [PMID: 36356750 DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.159943]

5 **Li W**, Zu B, Yang Q, Guo J, Li J. Sources, distribution, and environmental effects of microplastics: a systematic review. *RSC Adv* 2023; **13**: 15566-15574 [PMID: 37228683 DOI: 10.1039/d3ra02169f]

6 **Thakur B**, Singh J, Singh J, Angmo D, Vig AP. Biodegradation of different types of microplastics: Molecular mechanism and degradation efficiency. *Sci Total Environ* 2023; **877**: 162912 [PMID: 36933716 DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2023.162912]

7 **Thursby E**, Juge N. Introduction to the human gut microbiota. *Biochem J* 2017; **474**: 1823-1836 [PMID: 28512250 DOI: 10.1042/BCJ20160510]

8 **Nugrahapraja H,** Sugiyo PWW, Putri BQ, Ni’matuzahroh, Fatimah, Huang L, Hafza N, Götz F, Santoso H, Wibowo AT, Luqman A. Effects of Microplastic on Human Gut Microbiome: Detection of Plastic-Degrading Genes in Human Gut Exposed to Microplastics—Preliminary Study. *Environ* 2022; 140 [DOI: 10.3390/environments9110140]

9 **Danso D**, Chow J, Streit WR. Plastics: Environmental and Biotechnological Perspectives on Microbial Degradation. *Appl Environ Microbiol* 2019; **85** [PMID: 31324632 DOI: 10.1128/AEM.01095-19]

10 **Adamovsky O**, Bisesi JH Jr, Martyniuk CJ. Plastics in our water: Fish microbiomes at risk? *Comp Biochem Physiol Part D Genomics Proteomics* 2021; **39**: 100834 [PMID: 33930774 DOI: 10.1016/j.cbd.2021.100834]

11 **Santos AL**, Rodrigues CC, Oliveira M, Rocha TL. Microbiome: A forgotten target of environmental micro(nano)plastics? *Sci Total Environ* 2022; **822**: 153628 [PMID: 35124041 DOI: 10.1016/j.scitotenv.2022.153628]

12 **Fackelmann G**, Sommer S. Microplastics and the gut microbiome: How chronically exposed species may suffer from gut dysbiosis. *Mar Pollut Bull* 2019; **143**: 193-203 [PMID: 31789155 DOI: 10.1016/j.marpolbul.2019.04.030]

**Footnotes**

**Conflict-of-interest statement:** The author declares no conflict of interest.

**Open-Access:** This article is an open-access article that was selected by an in-house editor and fully peer-reviewed by external reviewers. It is distributed in accordance with the Creative Commons Attribution NonCommercial (CC BY-NC 4.0) license, which permits others to distribute, remix, adapt, build upon this work non-commercially, and license their derivative works on different terms, provided the original work is properly cited and the use is non-commercial. See: https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/

**Provenance and peer review:** Invited article; Externally peer reviewed.

**Peer-review model:** Single blind

**Specialty type:** Gastroenterology and hepatology

**Country/Territory of origin:** France

**Peer-review report’s classification**

**Scientific Quality:** Grade B

**Novelty:** Grade B

**Creativity or Innovation:** Grade B

**Scientific Significance:** Grade B

**P-Reviewer:** Zheng L, China **S-Editor:** Lin C **L-Editor:** A **P-Editor:**