

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

ESPS manuscript NO: 23482

Title: Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and lung cancer - a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00608185

Reviewer's country: Japan

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-11-25 19:51

Date reviewed: 2015-12-02 07:28

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | [Y] No | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | BPG Search: | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Although this manuscript was huge volume, the finding was not interesting and informative for readers. Especially, methods section was too long. This is not mathematical but scientific journal.

ESPS PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Meta-Analysis

ESPS manuscript NO: 23482

Title: Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and lung cancer - a systematic review

Reviewer's code: 00608206

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Shui Qiu

Date sent for review: 2015-11-25 19:51

Date reviewed: 2015-12-15 21:24

| CLASSIFICATION | LANGUAGE EVALUATION | SCIENTIFIC MISCONDUCT | CONCLUSION |
|---|--|--|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing | Google Search: | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | <input type="checkbox"/> High priority for publication |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of language polishing | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | <input type="checkbox"/> Rejection |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Poor | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | <input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision |
| | <input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejected | BPG Search: | <input type="checkbox"/> Major revision |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> The same title | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Duplicate publication | |
| | | <input type="checkbox"/> Plagiarism | |
| | | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No | |

COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Name of journal: World Journal of Respiriology Reviewer's report Manuscript NO: ESPS Manuscript NO: 23482 ESPS Manuscript NO: ESPS Manuscript NO: 23482 Title: Environmental tobacco smoke exposure and lung cancer - A systematic review Running Title: ETS and lung cancer Version: 1 Date: December, 15, 2015 Reviewer number: Dr. Antonio Pereira-Vega, (apv01h@gmail.com) Number ID: 00608206 Reviewer's report: Comprehensive review and meta-analysis on the relationship between passive smoking and lung cancer. It has conducted an extensive review of the literature (343 references) but only 45 (13%) are the last 6 years. Usually it is accepted that there is a link between passive smoking and lung cancer. This review and meta-analysis questioned this assertion. The study carried out an extensive review of the literature and analyzed with a methodology that seems appropriate. The issue has an obvious analyzed clinical and epidemiological interest and provides new data about it. CONCLUSION: Most, if not all, of the weak association of ETS with lung cancer can be explained by confounding and smoking misclassification. A causal relationship is not demonstrated. It is noteworthy that two tobacco companies, Japan and the UK, funded the study.