
Answering reviewers

Dear Editors and Reviewers,

After consultation with all authors of this article, We decided to accept your offer to publish our manuscript in World Journal of Clinical Cases. Thank you very much. Thank you for the reviewers' comments concerning our manuscript entitled "**Acute pancreatitis with pulmonary embolism: A case report and literature review**". (Manuscript NO.: 59350). Those comments are all valuable and very helpful for revising and improving our paper, as well as the important guiding significance to our case report. We have studied comments carefully and have made correction which we hope meet with approval. The main corrections in the paper and the responds to the reviewer's comments are as following.

Responds to the reviewer's comments:

Reviewer #1

Language Quality: Grade B (Minor language polishing)

Response: Dear reviewer, thank you for pointing out the language problem. I have asked the MedE Editing Group to refine and review the language of the article again, hoping that the language can meet the publishing standards.

Science editor

1. Language Quality: Grade B

Response: Dear science editor, thank you for pointing out the language problem. I have asked the MedE Editing Group to refine and review the language of the article again, hoping that the language can meet the publishing standards.

2. (1)I found the authors did not provide the approved grant application form(s). Please upload the approved grant application form(s) or funding agency copy of any approval document(s); (2) I found the authors did not provide the original figures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor.

Response: Dear science editor, we are very sorry that we did not provide the approved grant application form(s) and the original figures. Now, we have provided the approved grant application form(s) and the original figures. Thank you very much.

Finally, thank you again for your positive evaluation of our manuscript. Your suggestions to us will undoubtedly improve the quality of our manuscript. As a result of the significant disruption that is being caused by the COVID-19 pandemic we hope your family and your associates are isolated from this epidemic.

We tried our best to improve the manuscript and made some changes in the manuscript. These changes will not influence the content and framework of the paper.

We appreciate for Editors/Reviewers' warm work earnestly, and hope that the correction will meet with approval. Once again, thank you very much for your comments and suggestions.

Thank you and best regards.

Yours sincerely, Wu