

Dear editor:

Here are what we have revised in the manuscript and the answers for the reviewers.

1. Had a power analysis of the study been done?

How was the number of participants in the study groups determined?

In AP-PT section; please correct later view lateral view. Also the same as Figure 7.

Answer: We have calculated the sample size by PASS 15(NCSSL, Kaysville, Utah, USA) . We have changed later view to lateral view. We further increased the sample size to 65.

2. Results.

Table 1 demonstrates the demographic data well. No need to write it repetitive in results section.

Table 1 Correct mail:femail as male:female

Table 1 Correct pian duration as pain duration

Table 2 correct sucessful as successful. No need to repetitive writing both in table and section. Please rewrite only significant or most important data or remove the table (If you prefer to remove table, include p values to the paragraph.)

Answer: We only reserved the most important demographic data in the result section. We have corrected the spelling errors. We have changed femail to female, pian duration to pain duration, sucessful to successful.

We amended the result section. only significant or most important data was included in the section.

3. Discussion.

First paragraph should be moved to the introduction section if preferred, or remove. Second and Third paragraph are not need to be in the discussion section, should be removed. Discussion section should start with the aim of the study.

Answer: We have removed the first, second and the third paragraph in the discussion section.

4. It needs significant English language revision to improve readability and understanding.

Answer: we have further polished the language of the manuscript.

Thank you for your hard work!

Hongjie Yuan