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**Abstract**

***BACKGRUND***

The tunnel endoscopic technique is the treatment of choice for submucosal tumors. However, the use of tunnel endoscopy to diagnose adenocarcinoma of the esophagus originating from the submucosa has not been well studied.

***CASE SUMMARY***

A 74-year-old man who presented with dysphagia for half a year underwent a series of checks, such as gastroendoscopy, X-ray contrast examination of the upper digestive tract, endoscopic ultrasonography, high-resolution esophageal manometry, and positron emission computed tomography. It should be noted that the stenosis of the esophagus was too narrow for endoscopic ultrasound-guided fine needle aspiration. The cause remained undiagnosed. Eventually, the tunnel endoscopic technique was perform for the pathological examination in the submucosa and the final diagnosis was adenocarcinoma of the esophagus. The patient and family members chose expectant treatment due to the patient’s age and the high costs of surgical treatment.

***CONCLUSION***

Tunnel endoscopy could be used to diagnose tumors. Moreover, we review the literature to provide guidance regarding the causes of esophagostenosis.
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**Core tip:** Tunnel endoscopy is not only effective as a treatment for submucosal tumors, but also as a means of performing pathological examination for diagnosing tumors. Moreover, we review the literature to provide guidance regarding the causes of esophageal stenosis.

Liu S, Wang N, Yang J, Yang JY, Shi ZH.Use of tunnel endoscopy for diagnosis of obscure submucosal esophageal adenocarcinoma: A case report and review of the literature with emphasis on causes of esophageal stenosis. *World J Clin Cases* 2019; In press

**INTRODUCTION**

Tumors of the esophagus are a severe health problem worldwide with crypticity and high mortality. According to the different pathologic types, tumors of the esophagus have been divided into esophageal squamous cell carcinoma and esophageal adenocarcinoma[1]. Esophageal squamous cell carcinoma is the predominant histologic type while esophageal adenocarcinoma remains rare[2]. It is generally accepted that pathological examination is the “gold standard” for the diagnosis of esophageal tumors. Moreover, imaging modalities such as endoscopy, endoscopic ultrasonography (EUS), and computed tomography (CT) have been applied to measure the depth of the invasion of the esophageal wall, tumor size, the presence of invasion into adjacent organs, lymph node metastasis, and distant metastasis[3]. The high mortality rate has been attributed to the fact that half of patients have a locally-advanced form of the disease at diagnosis[4]. Therefore, early pathological diagnosis can effectively improve patient prognosis.

The tunnel endoscopic technique is an innovative and minimally-invasive endoscopic surgical procedure. The first submucosal tunnel endoscopic resection in the world was conducted in China, when it was used to remove submucosal tumors (SMTs) originating from the muscularis propria of the esophagus[5]. Nevertheless, biopsy in a submucosal tunnel has not been well studied. We report herein an unusual case involving diagnosis of esophageal stenosis caused by adenocarcinoma through the tunnel endoscopic technique, and review the causes of esophageal stenosis. The patient has signed an informed consent form and data had been anonymized and unidentified.

**CASE PRESENTATION**

***Chief complaints***

A 74-year-old male patient visited our hospital complaining of dysphagia which he had experienced for half a year with no clear trigger.

***History of present illness***

He vomited after eating, bringing up the contents of the stomach. There was no obvious chest pain, hematemesis, or weight loss. He was in good physical condition.

***Personal and family history***

There were no special circumstances in personal and family history.

***Physical examination upon admission***

On physical examination, he showed no evident positive characteristics.

***Laboratory examinations***

With regard to laboratory values, only the serum tumor marker CA199 (47.85 U/mL; normal reference range: < 39 U/mL), albumin (35.6 g/L; normal reference range: 40–50 g/L), and hemoglobin (124 g/L; normal reference range: 130–175 g/L) were moderately changed. Renal function, electrolytes, blood sugar, cholesterol, erythrocyte sedimentation rate, blood coagulation, humoral immune function, antiphospholipid antibody, anti-autoantibody, antineutrophil cytoplasmic antibody, urine, and conventional stool concentration were all within normal limits.

***Imaging examinations***

Color Doppler ultrasonography revealed chronic cholecystolithiasis. A chest CT scan revealed thickening of the esophageal wall (Figure 1A and B). Barium meal X-ray showed that the lower esophagus presented the beak sign, suggesting achalasia (Figure 1C and D). Regarding condition, no ulceration, prominence lesions, or Barrett's esophagus manifestation was found by endoscopy (Figure 2A-D). In addition, routine gastroscopy was performed at a local hospital, and no tumor cell was detected by pathological examination at esophageal stenosis. EUS was performed to clarify the cause of the narrow esophageal structure. On EUS, esophageal cavity stenosis was visible at a distance of 37 cm from the incisors, along with obvious thickening of the intrinsic muscularis which reached 0.8 cm, and the first to third layer structure was not clear (Figure 2E and F). High-resolution esophageal manometry suggested lower esophageal outflow obstruction (Figure 3). Based on these findings, a tumor that originated from the tunica muscularis esophagi was highly suspected. Therefore, we recommended the patient to undergo a positron emission CT (PET-CT) examination. The PET-CT results from Wuhan Tongji Hospital indicated dilatation of the entire esophagus, stenosis of the esophagus and cardia junction, and increased local metabolism. However, it was difficult to obtain pathological evidence as the esophageal mucosa was only roughened, with no ulceration, erosion, or bleeding. We invited a general thoracic vascular surgeon and a gastrointestinal surgeon to assist in the diagnosis and treatment. Eventually, a multidisciplinary consultation recommended that tunnel endoscopy was performed for biopsy. Therefore, the tunnel endoscopic technique was chose for pathological examination. We created a submucosal tunnel, advanced towards the stenosis of the esophagus, and obtained muscularis tissues (Figure 4).

**FINAL DIAGNOSIS**

The final diagnosis was adenocarcinoma of the esophagus (Figure 5).

**TREATMENT**

Regretfully, the patient and family members chose expectant treatment due to the patient’s age and the high costs of surgical treatment. The flow chart of disease diagnosis can be referred to Figure 6.

**DISCUSSION**

Adenocarcinoma of the esophagus is a malignant tumor arising from the submucosal tissue of the esophagus or from the glands of the cardia. However, because early disease is asymptomatic in most patients, timely diagnosis of esophageal adenocarcinoma (especially arising from the submucosa) is relatively difficult. Even when treated by radical surgery combined with radiotherapy and chemotherapy, the 5-year survival rate remains low[6,7]. Thus, early diagnosis and treatment of esophageal tumors are of great significance.

Esophageal strictures can result from a wide variety of benign and malignant conditions. Meanwhile, dysphagia is the most common symptom which urges patients to seek medical treatment. Benign esophageal strictures can occur following peptic strictures[8], eosinophilic esophagitis[9], achalasia[10], pill-injury esophageal strictures[11], caustic strictures[12], anastomotic strictures[13], Crohn's disease-associated esophageal stricture[14], IgG4-related esophagitis[15], radiation-induced esophageal strictures[16], esophageal intramural pseudodiverticulosis[17], or epidermolysis bullosa[18] (Table 1). It is generally known that a malignant esophageal stricture refers to esophageal cancer. Some esophageal strictures can be treated by drug therapy such as with proton pump inhibitors or steroids[9,11,15,17], while others can be refractory to most optical endoscopic therapies such as dilation[15,16,18], stent placement[13], or peroral endoscopic myotomy.

In the present case, the mucosa of the esophageal stenosis was only rough, with no obvious ulceration or erosion. It could well be that mucosal biopsies failed to achieve real results. Meanwhile, the stenosis of the esophagus was too narrow for a conventional endoscope to pass, let alone the large probe required for EUS. Therefore, it could not perform EUS guided fine needle aspiration for biopsy and we chose to use small probe endoscopic ultrasonography to clarify the cause of the esophageal stricture.

Subcutaneous emphysema, pneumothorax, and secondary infection are common complications of endoscopic resection[19-21]. As there was no serous layer of the esophagus, resection of the muscularis propria of the esophagus would be more prone to concurrent subcutaneous emphysema, pneumothorax, and secondary infection than the gastric muscularis propria. When the tunnel endoscopic technique is used to excise SMTs, the lesion mucosal surface remains intact and the mucosa of the tunnel opening is closed with a titanium clip, avoiding leakage of gas and digestive fluid into the chest and abdominal cavity, thus reducing the risk of secondary infection. Moreover, the tunnel endoscopic technique allows clear visualization of bleeding foci in the tunnel, reducing bleeding during the operation and postoperative delayed bleeding.

**CONCLUSION**

In conclusion, this was an unusual case of esophageal stenosis caused by adenocarcinoma and diagnosed by the tunnel endoscopic technique. As a method of diagnosis and treatment, the tunnel endoscopic technique would be a less complicated and less risky choice. We would like to emphasize the role of tunnel endoscopy in diagnostic treatment. Further, we analyzed the common causes of esophageal stenosis, hoping to provide some information which will help with clinical work.

**REFERENCES**

1 **Rustgi AK**, El-Serag HB. Esophageal carcinoma. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 2499-2509 [PMID: 25539106 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra1314530]

2 **Shibata A**, Matsuda T, Ajiki W, Sobue T. Trend in incidence of adenocarcinoma of the esophagus in Japan, 1993-2001. *Jpn J Clin Oncol* 2008; **38**: 464-468 [PMID: 18664481 DOI: 10.1093/jjco/hyn064]

3 **Ajani JA**, D'Amico TA, Almhanna K, Bentrem DJ, Besh S, Chao J, Das P, Denlinger C, Fanta P, Fuchs CS, Gerdes H, Glasgow RE, Hayman JA, Hochwald S, Hofstetter WL, Ilson DH, Jaroszewski D, Jasperson K, Keswani RN, Kleinberg LR, Korn WM, Leong S, Lockhart AC, Mulcahy MF, Orringer MB, Posey JA, Poultsides GA, Sasson AR, Scott WJ, Strong VE, Varghese TK Jr, Washington MK, Willett CG, Wright CD, Zelman D, McMillian N, Sundar H; National comprehensive cancer network. Esophageal and esophagogastric junction cancers, version 1.2015. *J Natl Compr Canc Netw* 2015; **13**: 194-227 [PMID: 25691612 DOI: 10.6004/jnccn.2015.0028]

4 **Enzinger PC**, Mayer RJ. Esophageal cancer. *N Engl J Med* 2003; **349**: 2241-2252 [PMID: 14657432 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra035010]

5 **Xu MD**, Cai MY, Zhou PH, Qin XY, Zhong YS, Chen WF, Hu JW, Zhang YQ, Ma LL, Qin WZ, Yao LQ. Submucosal tunneling endoscopic resection: a new technique for treating upper GI submucosal tumors originating from the muscularis propria layer (with videos). *Gastrointest Endosc* 2012; **75**: 195-199 [PMID: 22056087 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2011.08.018]

6 **Fan YJ**, Song X, Li JL, Li XM, Liu B, Wang R, Fan ZM, Wang LD. Esophageal and gastric cardia cancers on 4238 Chinese patients residing in municipal and rural regions: a histopathological comparison during 24-year period. *World J Surg* 2008; **32**: 1980-1988 [PMID: 18566857 DOI: 10.1007/s00268-008-9674-x]

7 **Borghesi S**, Hawkins MA, Tait D. Oesophagectomy after definitive chemoradiation in patients with locally advanced oesophageal cancer. *Clin Oncol (R Coll Radiol)* 2008; **20**: 221-226 [PMID: 18248970 DOI: 10.1016/j.clon.2007.12.001]

8 **Ramage JI Jr**, Rumalla A, Baron TH, Pochron NL, Zinsmeister AR, Murray JA, Norton ID, Diehl N, Romero Y. A prospective, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of endoscopic steroid injection therapy for recalcitrant esophageal peptic strictures. *Am J Gastroenterol* 2005; **100**: 2419-2425 [PMID: 16279894 DOI: 10.1111/j.1572-0241.2005.00331.x]

9 **Muir AB**, Wang JX, Nakagawa H. Epithelial-stromal crosstalk and fibrosis in eosinophilic esophagitis. *J Gastroenterol* 2019; **54**: 10-18 [PMID: 30101408 DOI: 10.1007/s00535-018-1498-3]

10 **Moonen A**, Boeckxstaens G. Current diagnosis and management of achalasia. *J Clin Gastroenterol* 2014; **48**: 484-490 [PMID: 24926623 DOI: 10.1097/MCG.0000000000000137]

11 **Yeoh SW**. Esophageal bezoar due to karaya gum granules used as a laxative. *Clin J Gastroenterol* 2017; **10**: 437-441 [PMID: 28730320 DOI: 10.1007/s12328-017-0764-x]

12 **He K**, Zhao L, Bu S, Liu L, Wang X, Wang M, Fan Z. Endoscopic mucosal autograft for treating esophageal caustic strictures: preliminary human experience. *Endoscopy* 2018; **50**: 1017-1021 [PMID: 29890517 DOI: 10.1055/a-0622-8019]

13 **Siddiqui AA**, Sarkar A, Beltz S, Lewis J, Loren D, Kowalski T, Fang J, Hilden K, Adler DG. Placement of fully covered self-expandable metal stents in patients with locally advanced esophageal cancer before neoadjuvant therapy. *Gastrointest Endosc* 2012; **76**: 44-51 [PMID: 22726465 DOI: 10.1016/j.gie.2012.02.036]

14 **Nandy N**, Gavin M, Martin D, McCarthy D. Crohn's Disease: Hard to Swallow! *Dig Dis Sci* 2017; **62**: 2690-2693 [PMID: 28884257 DOI: 10.1007/s10620-017-4751-3]

15 **Obiorah I**, Hussain A, Palese C, Azumi N, Benjamin S, Ozdemirli M. IgG4-related disease involving the esophagus: a clinicopathological study. *Dis Esophagus* 2017; **30**: 1-7 [PMID: 28881885 DOI: 10.1093/dote/dox091]

16 **Agarwalla A**, Small AJ, Mendelson AH, Scott FI, Kochman ML. Risk of recurrent or refractory strictures and outcome of endoscopic dilation for radiation-induced esophageal strictures. *Surg Endosc* 2015; **29**: 1903-1912 [PMID: 25277484 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-014-3883-1]

17 **Abbes L**, Perrod G, Rahmi G, Cellier C. Esophageal intramural pseudodiverticulosis, a rare cause of stenosis. *Clin Res Hepatol Gastroenterol* 2017; **41**: 505-506 [PMID: 28506454 DOI: 10.1016/j.clinre.2017.04.001]

18 **Michalak A**, Cichoż-Lach H, Prozorow-Król B, Buk L, Dzida M. A rare case of skin blistering and esophageal stenosis in the course of epidermolysis bullosa - case report and literature review. *BMC Gastroenterol* 2018; **18**: 47 [PMID: 29653516 DOI: 10.1186/s12876-018-0771-5]

19 **Soh JS**, Kim JK, Lim H, Kang HS, Park JW, Kim SE, Moon SH, Kim JH, Park CK, Cho JW, Lim MS, Kim KO. Comparison of endoscopic submucosal dissection and surgical resection for treating gastric subepithelial tumours. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 2016; **51**: 633-638 [PMID: 26673033 DOI: 10.3109/00365521.2015.1124451]

20 **He G**, Wang J, Chen B, Xing X, Wang J, Chen J, He Y, Cui Y, Chen M. Feasibility of endoscopic submucosal dissection for upper gastrointestinal submucosal tumors treatment and value of endoscopic ultrasonography in pre-operation assess and post-operation follow-up: a prospective study of 224 cases in a single medical center. *Surg Endosc* 2016; **30**: 4206-4213 [PMID: 26823060 DOI: 10.1007/s00464-015-4729-1]

21 **Shen C**, Chen H, Yin Y, Chen J, Han L, Zhang B, Chen Z, Chen J. Endoscopic versus open resection for small gastric gastrointestinal stromal tumors: safety and outcomes. *Medicine (Baltimore)* 2015; **94**: e376 [PMID: 25569663 DOI: 10.1097/MD.0000000000000376]

**P-Reviewer:** Ananthakrishnan N, Lee JI, Lim SC

**S-Editor:** Wang JL **L-Editor:** Wang TQ **E-Editor:**

**Specialty type:** Medicine, research and experimental

**Country of origin:** China

**Peer-review report classification**

Grade A (Excellent): 0

Grade B (Very good): 0

Grade C (Good): C, C

Grade D (Fair): D

Grade E (Poor): 0



**Figure 1 X-ray and thoracic computed tomography imaging of the patient.** A and B: A barium meal X-ray revealed poor relaxation of the distal sphincter, dilatation of middle esophageal and stenosis of lower esophagus, weakened esophageal peristalsis, and ultimately stricture of the esophageal lumen with a beak-like appearance; C and D: Thoracic computed tomography imaging revealed thickening of the esophageal wall and antrum stricture (arrows), with a regular mucosal pattern.
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**Figure 2 Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy and endoscopic ultrasonography of the patient.** A-D: Upper gastrointestinal endoscopy revealed esophageal stenosis 37 cm from the incisors, along with mucosal surface asperities; E and F: Endoscopic ultrasonography revealed that the esophageal ring cavity was in the stenosis and the muscularis propria markedly thickened, together with an unclear structure of the first to third layers.
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**Figure 3 High-resolution esophageal manometry.** The integrated relaxation pressure (4s integrated relaxation pressure; normal reference value: 15 mm Hg) was 26.6 mm Hg which suggested relaxation dysfunction of the lower esophageal sphincter.

**E:\WJG\15-兼任编辑部主任\编辑稿件\2019-01-03\43301-OK\修回\43301-Image-File-revision_5419.tif Figure 4 Case illustration of endoscopic biopsy through a tunnel.** A: The narrow place in the lower esophagus (37 cm from the incisors); B: The submucosal tunnel was established; C: White fibrotic adhesions can be seen in the tunnel; D: The submucous structure was disorganized; E and F: It was difficult to distinguish yellow tissue and white tissue from the muscular layer in the tunnel; G: Tissue biopsy in the tunnel; H: The mucosal entry incision was sealed with several clips.

**E:\WJG\15-兼任编辑部主任\编辑稿件\2019-01-03\43301-OK\修回\43301-Image-File-revision_5433.tifFigure 5 Microscopic images.** In smooth muscle cells, abnormal glands, dense nuclei of glandular epithelial cells, irregular glandular cavities, and fibrous hyperplasia were seen (hematoxylin and eosin staining; A, B, and C, magnification ×40, ×100, and ×200, respectively).

2017-4: Visited our hospital complaining of dysphagia for half a year

2017-9: Aggravation of dysphagia; gastroscopy at a local hospital showed the stricture of the lower esophagus, and chronic inflammation was found at the stenosis site

2017-10: Dysphagia did not improve significantly; endoscopic ultrasonography at our hospital suggested stricture of the lower esophagus; barium meal X-ray showed the beak sign; high resolution esophageal manometry indicated achalasia (variant)

2017-11-10: PET-CT results from Wuhan Tongji Hospital indicated dilatation of the entire esophagus, stenosis of the esophagus and cardia junction, and increased local metabolism

2017-11-15: Tunnel endoscopic surgery and pathological examinations were performed at our hospital

2017-11-20: The pathological diagnosis was adenocarcinoma of the esophagus; surgical treatment was recommended; patient and their families chose conservative treatment

**Figure 6 Information schedule.** PET-CT: Positron emission computed tomography.

**Table 1 Analysis of causes of esophageal stenosis**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Ref.** | **Years** | **Cause analysis of stenosis** | **Clinical manifestation** |
| Ramage Jr *et al*[8] | 2005 | Peptic strictures | Acid reflux, dysphagia |
| Moonen *et al*[10] | 2014 | Achalasia | Dysphagia, regurgitation aspiration, chest pain, and weight loss |
| Muir *et al*[9] | 2018 | Eosinophilic esophagitis | Dysphagia |
| Yeoh *et al*[11] | 2017 | Pill-injury esophageal strictures | Regurgitation, retrosternal pain |
| He *et al*[12] | 2018 | Caustic strictures | Dysphagia, hematemesis |
| Siddiqui *et al*[13] | 2012 | Anastomotic strictures | Dysphagia, when a standard endoscope could not pass through the post-ESD scar |
| Nandy *et al*[14] | 2017 | Crohn's disease induced esophageal strictures | Dysphagia and odynophagia |
| Obiorah *et al*[15] | 2017 | IgG4-related esophagitis | Dysphagia |
| Agarwalla *et al*[16] | 2015 | Radiation-induced esophageal strictures | Dysphagia |
| Abbes *et al*[17] | 2017 | Esophageal intramural pseudodiverticulosis | Dysphagia and weight loss |
| Michalak *et al*[18] | 2018 | Epidermolysis bullosa | Dysphagia, skin blistering, joint contractures and missing nails |

ESD: Endoscopic submucosal dissection.