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**Abstract**

Recently the field of cholestasis has expanded enormously reflecting an improved understanding of the molecular mechanisms underlying bile secretion and its perturbation in chronic cholestatic disease. Novel anti-cholestatic therapeutic options have been developed for patients not favorably responding to ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA), the current standard treatment for cholestatic liver disease. Important novel treatment targets now also include nuclear receptors involved in bile acid (BA) homoeostasis like farnesoid X receptor and G protein-coupled receptors *e.g.*, the G-protein-coupled BA receptor “transmembrane G coupled receptor 5”. Fibroblast growth factor-19 and enterohepatic BA transporters also deserve attention as additional drug targets as does the potential treatment agent norUDCA. In this review, we discuss recent and future promising therapeutic agents and their potential molecular mechanisms in cholestatic liver disorders.
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**Core tip:** Anti-cholestatic therapeutic options now go beyond ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) and target nuclear receptors regulating bile acid (farnesoid X receptor) and G protein-coupled receptor and fibroblast growth factor-19. Additionally, enterohepatic bile acid transporters and norUDCA represent potential targets in cholestatic disease.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Cholestasis is a clinical syndrome that results from diminished bile formation by hepatocytes or impaired bile secretion at the level of cholangiocyte or obstruction of bile flow by stones (cholelithiasis) or by tumor bulk[1]. Consequently, cholestasis can be either extra- or intra-hepatic in etiology. The common causes of extrahepatic cholestatic liver disease include choledocholithiasis, tumors and parasitic infections. Several causes of intrahepatic cholestasis include immune-mediated conditions like primary biliary cholangitis, primary sclerosing cholangitis (PSC), exposure to several medications (steroids, nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, antibiotics, anti-diabetic agents) and inborn errors of cholesterol/bile acid (BA) biosynthesis and/or metabolism. Irrespective of the root cause(s), these syndromes are characterized by retention of products which under normal circumstances would be excreted into bile, particularly bile salts (BS). Cholestasis itself causes progressive bile duct injury and drives further retention of toxic hydrophobic BAs, which cause persistent and extensive damage to the bile duct. To adequately treat cholestatic injury, it is necessary to first identify and appropriately target those defective secretory mechanisms and/or remove or remediate lesions that obstruct or interfere with bile duct patency.

Chronic cholestatic disorders significantly increase the burden on health care from liver diseases. Since hepatitis C treatment has recently become tremendously successful, there is pressing need for more effective therapies to correct cholestatic liver diseases. The pathogenesis of many cholestatic liver disorders has been evolving with most research in bile formation/secretion pathways which has resulted in a change in the paradigms for treatment. Recently several molecular targets for diverse aspects of BA signaling and transport have been developed[2]. These approaches represent major developments which may lead to increased interest in exploring the novel paradigms and molecular approaches as better disease-modifying drugs in the treatment of chronic cholestasis.

Primary biliary cholangitis/cirrhosis (PBC) and PSC are prototype of chronic cholestatic liver diseases and we consider them here as model diseases to discuss the medical management of cholestasis. In the first part of this review we provide a short overview of BA synthesis, transport and regulation as an in-depth review of BAs is beyond the scope of this article. This will help the reader to better understand later described novel medical therapies from ursodeoxycholic acid (UDCA) and beyond (Table 1).

**BILE ACID SYNTHESIS, TRANSPORT AND REGULATION**

BAs act as detergents in initiating bile flow. BAs also facilitate intestinal absorption of lipids and function as signaling molecules which can activate nuclear and membrane receptors. BAs (along with phospholipids and cholesterol) represent the major constituents of bile in humans. BA synthesis is a complex metabolic pathway involving hydroxylation and modification of cholesterol. BA synthesis can be summarized into three main steps: (1) modification of the steroid ring; (2) cleavage of the cholesterol side chain; and (3) conjugation with glycine or taurine. This latter step involves 2 pathways: BA synthesis the “classical” pathway produces cholic acid (CA) and chenodeoxycholic acid (CDCA), responsible for 90% of primary BA synthesis while the alternative pathway can only produce CDCA in humans[3]. Conjugation with glycine/taurine makes BAs more hydrophilic and more readily secretable in the bile. Cytochrome P450 7A1 (CYP7A1) is the rate-limiting enzyme in BA synthesis. Conjugated BAs, (mainly glycoconjugates), exist as anionic salts called “BS” under physiological conditions. These BS are stored in the gallbladder and are released into the intestinal lumen upon a meal-related gall bladder contraction. Here, they help in absorption of fat and fat-soluble vitamins. Conjugated primary BAs released into the intestinal lumen are further modified by the intestinal bacteria by deconjugation, oxidation and dehydroxylation to produce secondary BAs: lithocholic acid (LCA) and deoxycholic acid (DCA).

There are specific uptake and export systems for biliary compounds in liver hepatocytes and cholangiocytes[4] (Figure 1) The determinants of hepatic uptake of BAs include the sodium taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide (NTCP) and a family of multi-specific organic anion transporters (OATPs) which are mainly responsible for the first pass clearance of conjugated BAs as they are returned to the liver in portal blood. Excretion of BAs in bile canaliculi is mediated by ATP-binding cassette (ABC) transporters. Bile-salt export pump (BSEP) for excretion of monovalent BAs and conjugate export pump MRP2 for excretion of bilirubin and divalent BAs are present on canalicular membrane. The multidrug export pump MDR1 assists in the excretion of cationic drugs. The phospholipid export pump MDR3 “flops” phosphatidylcholine from the inner to outer membrane leaflets, which forms mixed micelles together with BAs and cholesterol. Other BA export pumps, MRP3, MRP4 and organic solute transporter (OSTa/b) are present at the basolateral membrane and function as back-up pumps for alternative sinusoidal BA export. The cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator (CFTR) drives bicarbonate excretion and present only in cholangiocytes in the liver. The biliary epithelium also reabsorbs BAs *via* an apical Na+-dependent bile-salt transporter ASBT and the basolateral counterpart OSTa/b.

Hepatobiliary transport systems are regulated by ligand-activated nuclear receptors (NRs) at transcriptional levels *via* positive and negative feedback pathways[5]. Amongst the NRs for BAs, farnesoid X receptor (FXR), is involved in the regulation of NTCP and Na+-independent hepatocellular BA uptake (OATP1B1 and OATP1B3)[6], canalicular excretion of monovalent (BSEP) and divalent BAs (MRP2), conjugated bilirubin (MRP2) and FXR also regulates the rate limiting enzyme of BA production CYP7A1[7]. The other classical ligand-activated NRs, pregnane X receptor (PXR), the constitutive androstane receptor and the vitamin D receptor also play important roles in the regulation of BAs and bilirubin transport in addition to detoxifying xenobitics[8]. In summary, BA homeostasis is tightly regulated by the negative feedback effect of BAs on the activity and expression of CYP7A1 as well as signaling *via* various NRs like FXR and PXR.

Inborn errors of BA biosynthesis and transport can present with chronic cholestasis with or without multisystem involvement in infant, children and adults. Most of these can be effectively treated medically if diagnosed early. These rare disorders include 3β-hydroxysteroid-Δ5-C27-steroid dehydrogenase deficiency, Δ4-3-oxosteroid 5β-reductase deficiency, sterol 27-hydroxylase deficiency, oxysterol 7α-hydroxylase deficiency, BA-CoA: amino acid N-acyltransferase deficiency and BA-CoA ligase deficiency to name but a few[9].

**UDCA: FIRST LINE THERAPY**

UDCA is the accepted therapy for PBC and was the only treatment available until 2016. UDCA is a physiological BA which constitutes only a small proportion (3%) of the normal BA pool, but its proportion can increase to 40% in patients with PBC upon treatment with UDCA[10]. UDCA activates impaired hepatocellular secretion of hydrophobic BAs and stabilizes the biliary HCO3¯ “umbrella” effectively protecting the cholangiocytes from the toxic effects of hydrophobic BAs. Furthermore, UDCA also exhibits anti-apoptotic and anti-inflammatory effects[11,12]. UDCA has shown to improve biochemical and histological markers in PBC[13]. UDCA is also known to delay progression to cirrhosis and the time to liver transplantation[14]. UDCA response had also been demonstrated to reduce the risk of hepatocellular carcinoma. The accepted optimal dose of UDCA is 13-15 mg/kg/d. However, 35%-40% of PBC patients have an incomplete response to UDCA. PBC patients not responding to UDCA are known to have poor prognosis[15]. Studies have shown that the transplant-free survival rate among UDCA-treated patients is lower than control population[16], indicating that there is still a pressing need for newer therapeutic options particularly for patients not showing adequate response to UDCA.

The efficacy of UDCA in PSC patients remains a hotly debated issue. Clinical studies have shown that UDCA at therapeutic doses (15-20 mg/kg/d) improves serum liver chemistry, but is without any benefit to survival or histology[17]. Conversely, a recent study showed an adverse result in PSC patients treated with very high UDCA dosing (28-30 mg/kg/d)[18]. Therefore, at present there are no evidence-based recommendations for normal doses of UDCA in PSC, but it is known that very high-dose regimens should be avoided. UDCA is also used to treat other cholestatic conditions like intrahepatic cholestasis of pregnancy, progressive familial intrahepatic cholestasis type 3, Δ4-3-oxosteroid 5β-reductase deficiency and cystic fibrosis-associated liver disease although experimental support for UDCA efficacy in these setting is scarce. Thus, effective medical therapy for patients with these forms of chronic cholestatic liver injury is still an unmet medical need.

**FARNESOID X RECEPTORS LIGANDS**

FXR was discovered in 1995 as an orphan NR[19]. Later it was found that natural BAs (CA and CDCA) are physiological ligands for the FXR receptor[20]. FXR exists as a heterodimer with the all-trans retinoic acid receptor RXR. This complex binds to the LR-1 DNA motive in the promoter region of target genes[21]. The receptor is predominantly expressed in the liver, intestine and to lesser extent in kidney, adipose tissue, muscle and adrenal glands. After a meal-induced gallbladder contraction, BAs enter the intestine and are reabsorbed in the terminal ileum where they activate FXR. FXR has a number of target genes in the intestinal cell and hepatocyte of which short heterodimer partner (SHP) and fibroblast growth factor (FGF)-19 are important. FXR regulates hepatic BA homeostasis *via* stimulation of SHP in the liver and activation of FGF-19 in the intestine, both inhibiting the rate-limiting enzyme cholesterol 7a-hydroxylase (CYP7A1) which causes reduced hepatic BA synthesis (Figure 2). Moreover, FXR controls BA influx (NTCP) and efflux (BSEP) systems, thereby limiting hepatic BA overload[22]. FXR also exerts positive immunosuppressive/immunomodulatory and anti-inflammatory effects by attenuating nuclear factor of activated B cells (NF-kB)[23]. In addition, FXR may improve the intestinal epithelial barrier function under cholestatic conditions[24]. Thus, FXR activation predominantly leads to inhibition of BA synthesis with a net increase in choleresis through increase in canalicular secretion and BA reuptake inhibition.

Obetocholic acid (6-ethyl-chenodeoxycholic acid) is a steroidal derivative of UDCA that regulates the FXR receptor and is 100 times more potent than the physiologically abundant CDCA. Obetocholic acid (OCA) has already been approved in PBC by regulatory authorities. In clinical phase III trials (POISE) both UDCA naive and UDCA non-responder PBC patients showed improvements in liver enzymes including serum levels of alkaline phosphatase (ALP) under OCA arm[25]. Half of their study population achieved the primary study endpoint which was reduction in ALP by 1.5-fold and 15% of the patients reached normal bilirubin levels (compared to only 10% in the placebo arm). Higher reductions in ALP were seen with higher doses of OCA. Gamma-glutamyltransferase, CRP and IgM immunoglobulin levels also decreased under OCA treatment. However, the study failed to show a change in the fibrosis score using transient elastography and did not find an improvement in overall quality of life as measured by PBC-40 questionnaire after 12 mo of OCA treatment.

In another study by Hirschfield *et al*[26], pruritus did not improve in 50% of the PBC patients, and even intensified in patients receiving 25 or 50 mg OCA. There are still some concerns related to OCA in PBC. Pruritus was most common reason for treatment discontinuation in both OCA studies which could be mitigated by dose-titration. However, development and worsening of pruritus is a barrier to treatment as most of the PBC patients have pruritus as a highly common symptom at baseline. Other adverse effects included lipid changes, predominantly reduction of potentially beneficial high density lipoprotein levels in patient treated with OCA, the clinical impact of which is unknown. Severe adverse events reported in clinical studies which occurred much less frequently included hyperbilirubinemia and hepatic decompensation. Recently, the Food and Drug Administration also issued a warning concerning severe liver injury and death related to OCA in PBC. Although not all data are yet available, it appears that deaths occurred in patients with advanced liver disease who received higher than recommended doses of OCA. So, whether the serious adverse events occurred due to OCA or because of liver disease progression remains unanswered. Another limitation includes lack of clinical data on transplant free survival and overall mortality. These questions may be answered in the “COBALT” study that is currently enrolling patients (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02308111). OCA has also been tested in phase II PSC study (“AESOP”) involving 35 centers across United States and Italy. The preliminary results showed statistically significant reductions in ALP in the OCA-treated study arm compared to placebos[27]. As expected, the most common adverse event in the study was again pruritus. Some limitations of this study could be inclusion of patients with mainly earlier stage disease, shorter duration of therapy (up to 24 wk) and the use of ALP as surrogate endpoint for primary outcome. Other concerns about OCA use is the stimulation of FGF-19 which is produced in the terminal ileum upon activation of FXR by BS absorbed from intestine. FGF-19 is known to be pro-proliferative and pro-carcinogenic in mouse models[28]. Therefore, theoretically prolonged exposure to FGF-19 *via* OCA may increase risk of bile duct, gall bladder and colon cancer in PSC[29].

Other FXR agonists have been evaluated in phase I studies including the non-BA GS9674 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02854605) and LJN452 (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02516605). In these studies, mild lipid changes observed in the treatment arm were not significantly different from placebo. LJN 452 (Tropifexor) is a non-BA FXR agonist engages the enterocyte as its target. Preclinical data demonstrate that LJN452 is highly selective, potent and causes dose dependent elevation of FGF-19. It is orally available, well tolerated and there is no finding of drug-related pruritus. GS9674 acts on the intestinal epithelium, resulting in the release of FGF-19, thus causing elevations of FGF-19 levels and ultimately diminishing lipogenesis, gluconeogenesis and BA synthesis[30]. Currently, both drugs are in phase II studies.

**FGF-19 MIMETICS**

FGF-19 is an enterokine induced after FXR activation by BAs in ileum. FGF-19 provides a negative feedback loop for BA synthesis from terminal ileum. FGF-19 is secreted into the portal circulation from enterocytes and reach the hepatocytes. At the surface of the hepatocyte, FGF-19 binds to the FGFR4/β-klotho receptor and blocks HNF4α and LRH-1 mediated transcription of CYP7A1. Blockade of CYP7A1 in turn reduces BA synthesis[31]. FGF-19 has strong metabolic effects as it suppresses lipogenesis and gluconeogenesis[32]. FGF-19 mimetics have been shown to be potent inhibitors of BA synthesis and sclerosing cholangitis in experimental animal models[33]. FGF-19 also improves fibrosis and has anti-inflammatory activities. Even if FGF-19 is ultimately reported to have proliferative and carcinogenic potential, the novel engineered FGF-19 analog (NGM 282), has been shown in animal models to retain full BA regulatory activity and to lack carcinogenic properties[34]. Still, there is no stable effective oral compounds available, hence these are all injectable drugs which raises similar concerns associated with other injectable medications like inducing antibody production and injection-site reactions. A clinical study of NGM 282 in patients with PBC not responding to UDCA showed a dose-related and dramatic drop in serum C4 which is a marker of *de novo* BA synthesis[35]. In this trial, NGM 282 achieved its endpoint of reduction in serum BAs in humans which in turn produced a reduction in ALP at 28 d. There was no increase in pruritus but did show an unexpected increase in non-serious GI symptoms as diarrhea, loose stools which are thought to be due to pro-secretory and pro-motility actions of NGM 282. NGM 282 in combination with UDCA has now also entered phase II testing in PBC patients (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02135536).

**TRANSMEMBRANE G COUPLED RECEPTOR 5 (TGR5) AGONIST**

TGR5 is membrane bound BA specific cell surface receptor[36]. TGR 5 is expressed mainly in the liver (Kupffer cells and cholangiocytes) as well as in adipose tissue, spleen, gall bladder and colon tissues (especially intestinal L cells)[37,38]. TGR5 inhibits pro-inflammatory cytokine production and activation of macrophages and Kupffer cells in the liver in part by suppression of NF-kB signaling[39]. TGR5 is also involved in modulation of intestinal inflammation and motility. Mouse models have shown that lacking TGR5 leads to a decreased total BA pool size, increased CYP7A1 gene expression and a more hydrophobic BA composition[37]. TGR5 also improves intestinal barrier function[40].

INT-777 [6α-ethyl-23(S)-methylcholic acid] is a semisynthetic, selective and potent TGR5 agonist[41]. In animal studies, INT-777 has shown to increase bile flow and improve liver function with concomitant reductions in steatosis, suggesting its potential in NASH treatment. However, currently there are no clinical trials with INT-777 in cholestatic liver diseases as activation of TGR5 is known to aggravate pruritus in animal models, a common symptom in patients with cholestasis[42].

INT-767, a semisynthetic BA analogue, inhibits BA synthesis, stimulates bicarbonate-rich choleresis and causes immunomodulation by inhibiting NF-κB *via* dual FXR and TGR5 agonist actions but has a higher affinity for FXR[43]. In a mouse model of sclerosing cholangitis, INT-767 was shown to reduce liver enzymes, markers of liver inflammation and fibrosis[44]. Therefore, INT-767 appears promising therapeutic agent in treating cholestasis and it is currently entering phase I clinical trials.

Interestingly, a single-nucleotide polymorphism of TGR5 is also seen in PSC, suggesting that this receptor could be a potential therapeutic target in PSC[45]. However, a TGR5 agonist approach failed improve cholestatic liver injury in a mouse model of sclerosing cholangitis. Other concerns over the use of TGR5 agonists may arise due to some of its undesirable off-target effects like bile reflux-induced pancreatitis in animal models[46]. TGR5 mediated proliferative effects on cholangiocytes[47] and its role in carcinogenesis (*via* its interaction with EGFR in gastric and esophageal adenocarcinoma[48]). Hence, TGR5 ligands, despite their therapeutic potential, do not appear to be candidates worthy of clinical development for cholestatic disorders.

**PEROXISOME PROLIFERATOR-ACTIVATED RECEPTOR (PPAR) AGONIST**

PPARs are NRs whose natural ligands are fatty acids and their derivatives. PPARs are present in skeletal muscles, liver, heart and GI tract[48]. PPAR-alpha response elements are prominent in the canalicular membrane phospholipid export pump (MDR3/ABCC4) and PPAR-alpha agonists cause increased biliary phospholipid concentrations which protect cholangiocytes from potentially damaging and toxic effects of BAs. PPAR-alpha also suppresses BA synthesis[49]. Furthermore, PPAR-alpha exerts anti-steatotic effects by stimulating fatty acid oxidation and has anti-inflammatory actions in the GI tract and systemically[50]. Fibrates are ligands for the PPAR-alpha and have been proposed to be beneficial as a second line therapy in PBC. A number of uncontrolled clinical studies, largely in patients with PBC, have shown significant improvements in ALP with bezafibrate, an activator of PPAR-alpha[51].

In a meta-analysis of studies comparing patients treated with UDCA plus bezafibrate *vs* UDCA alone, combination therapy performed better than monotherapy when considering biochemical parameters, however symptoms and overall survival were not different[52]. A recent prospective study reported potential beneficial effects of fenofibrate, a PPAR-alpha agonist among UDCA non-responders[53]. However, several potential concerns include increases in serum creatinine and bilirubin in patients with cirrhosis[54]. Currently a phase III study of bezafibrate (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT01654731) in patients with PBC with incomplete response to UDCA is under enrollment.

MBX-8025 is a selective, orally active and potent PPAR-delta agonist (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02 609048)*.* The results from phase II study with MBX-8025 are exciting, so far showing marked improvements in markers of cholestasis.

Elafibranor is an oral agent PPAR-alpha/delta agonist. In experimental NASH studies, elafibranor has been shown to decrease BA synthesis and to increase uptake and detoxification of BAs, *via* activation of PPAR-alpha in addition to its anti-inflammatory effects through NF-Kb mediated light chain enhancement of activated B cells[55]. Elafibranor has so far been evaluated in multiple phase II studies of dyslipidemia and nonalcoholic steatohepatitis. All these studies reported significant improvements in ALP and GGT levels in addition to their primary endpoints. A multicenter, randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase II study to evaluate the efficacy and safety of elafibranor in patients with PBC, non-responsive to UDCA, is currently recruiting patients.

**CHOLEHEPATIC DRUG: NORUDCA**

24-norursodeoxycholic acid (norUDCA) is a side chain shortened derivative of UDCA without a methylene group resulting in a resistance against side chain conjugation with taurine or glycine[56]. Nor-UDCA is passively absorbed from cholangiocytes and undergoes “cholehepatic shunting” (instead of the complete enterohepatic cycle) with induction of bicarbonate rich hypercholeresis which is key protective mechanism against BS toxicity[57]. NorUDCA also has potent anti-inflammatory, anti-proliferative and anti-fibrotic properties. NorUDCA is also more hydrophilic and consequently less toxic to hepatocytes and cholangiocytes *in vitro* than UDCA which may help to further reduce biliary toxicity. NorUDCA has shown to improve sclerosing cholangitis in animal models of this condition[58]. NorUDCA had been evaluated in phase II clinical trial in 116 PSC patients over 38 centers[59]. These results were promising with demonstration of pronounced reductions in ALP. NorUDCA was found to be effective in UDCA naïve and UDCA experienced-PSC patients, regardless of whether they had responses to therapy. Also, this drug was very well tolerated. Several limitations of the study were the short course of treatment, no data on FGF-19 levels and inclusion of patients with only earlier stages of disease and whose surrogate primary end point was reducing ALP.

**ENTEROHEPATIC BLOCKERS**

ASBT (Apical sodium-dependent BS transporter/ileal BA transporter) critically determines BA reabsorption and subsequently biliary BA concentrations. ASBT inhibitors block the uptake for BA to reduce the BA levels, thereby counteracting toxic BA-mediated liver injury and reducing pruritus. In mouse models of sclerosing cholangitis, ASBT inhibition reduced cholestatic liver injury and fibrosis by increasing fecal BA excretion, lowering toxic BA, and preserving biliary bicarbonate secretion[60]. A phase I clinical study with the ASBT inhibitor (A4250) showed dose-dependent reduction of serum BAs and FGF-19 levels in all treatment groups with major adverse events like diarrhea[61]. Maralixibat (an ASBT inhibitor) used in the CLARITY clinical trial in patients with PBC, showed significant reductions in serum BA, but was unable to show reductions in pruritus compared to placebo[62].

A recent phase II clinical trial with another ASBT inhibitor in PBC (GSK2330672) showed different results with significant reductions in pruritus but with increased gastrointestinal side effects which includes abdominal discomfort and diarrhea[63].

**IMMUNOMODULATORS**

FFP-104 is an anti-CD40 human monoclonal IgG4 antibody which is derived from the chimeric monoclonal antibody (ch5D12) that specifically targets human CD40. This agent is currently undergoing study in a pilot phase II, open-label, multicenter trial, to evaluate its safety and tolerability in subjects diagnosed with PBC (ClinicalTrials.gov identifier: NCT02193360). Whether combinations of these drugs with or without addition of UDCA can enhance the anti-cholestatic properties is still unknown. Currently more multicenter effort and partnerships with larger patient enrollments at both federal and commercial levels are required to improve the management of cholestatic liver diseases.

**CONCLUSION**

The field of treating chronic liver diseases is rapidly changing due to recent advances in understanding the molecular mechanisms of hepatocellular and cholangiocelluar cholestasis. Newer insights into the diverse roles played by BAs have led to the development of new therapeutic targets mainly for receptors and transcription factors controlling BA metabolism such as FXR, TGR5, and PPARs. In addition, several novel therapies such as FGF-19 mimetics, norUDCA, and ASBT inhibitors have been introduced as powerful and novel therapeutic options. The rapid development of more specific, safer and easily administered drugs aimed at treating cholestasis ensures even greater therapeutic success for managing chronic cholestatic liver diseases in the near future.
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**Table 1 Novel Drugs for cholestatic liver diseases**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Class** | **Drug** | **Mechanism of action** | **Trial** |
| Bile acid | UDCA | Increases biliary bicarbonates; Anti-apoptotic, anti-inflammatory |  |
| Nor UDCA | Cholehepatic; shunting; Choleretic | NCT01755517 |
| FXR ligands | Obetocholic acid | Reduced hepatic bile salt synthesis; Anti-inflammatory, Immunomodulator, Choleretic | POISECOBALT AESOP |
|  GS9674 | NCT02854605 |
|  LJN452/Tropifexor | NCT02516605 |
| FGF-19 mimetics | NGM 282 | Reduced bile acid synthesis | NCT02135536 |
| TGR5 agonist | INT-777 | Decreased bile acid pool, Choleretic, Anti-inflammatory, improves intestinal barrier | Not in trial |
| INT-767 | Not in trial |
| PPAR agonist | Banzfibrate | Increase biliary phospholipid concentration, Anti-inflammatory | NCT01654731 |
| MBX-8025 | NCT02609048 |
| Elafibrinor | NASH trials |
| ASBT inhibitor | A4250 | Dose dependent reduction in bile acids |  |
| Maralixibat | CLARITY |
| GSK2330672 |  |
| Immunomodulator | FFP-104 | Anti CD40 human monoclonal IgG4 | NCT02193360 |

UDCA: Ursodeoxycholic acid; ASBT: Apical sodium-dependent bile salt transporter; FXR: Farnesoid X receptor; FGF: Fibroblast growth factor; TGR: Transmembrane G coupled receptor; PPAR: Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor.



**Figure 1 Overview of bile acid transport system.** Na+/ taurocholate cotransporter, organic anion transporters, Bile-salt export pump multidrug export pump MDR1, organic solute transporter OSTa/b, cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator, apical Na+-dependent bile-salt transporter apical sodium-dependent bile-salt transporter. NTCP: sodium taurocholate co-transporting polypeptide; OATPs: Organic anion transporters; BSEP: Bile-salt export pump; CFTR: Cystic fibrosis transmembrane conductance regulator; OST: Organic solute transporter; ASBT: Apical sodium-dependent bile-salt transporter.



**Figure 2 Mechanism of action of Farnesoid X receptor ligands.** FXR:Farnesoid X receptor; OCA: Obetocholic acid; BA: Bile acid; FGF: Fibroblast growth factor; OST: Organic solute transporter; BSEP: Bile-salt export pump.