

PEER-REVIEW REPORT

Name of journal: World Journal of Gastrointestinal Surgery

Manuscript NO: 46999

Title: Management of infected pancreatic necrosis in the setting of concomitant rectal cancer: A case report and review of literature

Reviewer's code: 03256444

Reviewer's country: Spain

Science editor: Fang-Fang Ji

Reviewer accepted review: 2019-03-11 11:05

Reviewer performed review: 2019-03-11 12:05

Review time: 1 Hour

SCIENTIFIC QUALITY	LANGUAGE QUALITY	CONCLUSION	PEER-REVIEWER STATEMENTS
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Excellent	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade A: Priority publishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Accept	Peer-Review:
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Very good	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade B: Minor language	(High priority)	<input type="checkbox"/> Anonymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: Good	polishing	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Accept	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Onymous
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Fair	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade C: A great deal of	(General priority)	Peer-reviewer's expertise on the
<input type="checkbox"/> Grade E: Do not	language polishing	<input type="checkbox"/> Minor revision	topic of the manuscript:
publish	<input type="checkbox"/> Grade D: Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> Major revision	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Advanced
		<input type="checkbox"/> Rejection	<input type="checkbox"/> General
			<input type="checkbox"/> No expertise
			Conflicts-of-Interest:
			<input type="checkbox"/> Yes
			<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No

SPECIFIC COMMENTS TO AUTHORS

Congratulations for the management of this complicated patient. Although both pancreatitis and rectal cancer have been treated successfully, some minor questions must be clarified: 1- Was the patient followed in the outpatient clinic after the first discharged?



**Baishideng
Publishing
Group**

7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite
160, Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA
Telephone: +1-925-223-8242
Fax: +1-925-223-8243
E-mail: bpgoffice@wjgnet.com
https://www.wjgnet.com

The PCR and imaging at the time of discharged showed that the pancreatitis has not been completely resolved. Do the authors considered to drain the pancreatic necrosis previous to discharge? 2- Pancreatic necrosis was accesible to drain through the stomach due to its location but do authors preferred endoscopic drainage over surgical drainage? Was the choice of endoscopic drainage made because of the location of the pancreatic collection? 3- Does the diagnosis of rectal cancer changed any of the step-up approach management of the complicated pancreatitis? It seemed that the authors drained the collection because of the presence of a rectal cancer and the need of faster drainage and recovery instead of a true indication to drain the pancreatic collection. Hope this questions can be clarified to the better understanding of such a very complicated surgical patient. Congratulations once again.

INITIAL REVIEW OF THE MANUSCRIPT

Google Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No

BPG Search:

- ☐ The same title
- ☐ Duplicate publication
- ☐ Plagiarism
- ☐ [Y] No