

To Lian-Sheng Ma
Science Editor, Company Editor-in-Chief
Editorial Office
Baishideng Publishing Group Inc
7041 Koll Center Parkway, Suite 160
Pleasanton, CA 94566, USA

Dear Lian-Sheng Ma,

Thank you for your response regarding our paper entitled “Treatment of severe extravasation injuries with localized closure, ethacridine lactate wet dressings, and phototherapy” (Manuscript NO.: 61339, Retrospective Study) for submission to *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. We are very grateful for your favorable review of our manuscript. The manuscript has been thoroughly revised by native English-speaking editors to a high academic standard, and the suggestions have been carefully reviewed and incorporated into the final manuscript. A detailed point-by-point response has been provided below.

We hope that the manuscript is now suitable for submission to *World Journal of Clinical Cases*. We look forward to hearing from you.

Kind regards,

Ying Wu, MS

Department of Burn & Reconstructive Surgery

Xiangya Hospital of Central South University

Changsha 410008

Hunan, China

E-mail: wuying2341@126.com

Reviewer #1:

General comment: In general, the manuscript needs a major revision in order to be suitable for publication. Overall, the language and grammar in the manuscript are not of a sufficient standard and need further work to improve it.

Response: We thanks for the comments. The manuscript has now been thoroughly revised by native English-speaking editors to a high academic standard.

The title of the manuscript is confusing: “Treatment of severe extravasation injuries with localized closure, ethacridine lactate wet dressings, and phototherapy” not reflect the main idea of the manuscript.

Response: Thank you for the comment. As suggested, we have now revised the title of the manuscript as “Efficacy of a combination of localized closure, ethacridine lactate dressing, and phototherapy in the treatment of severe extravasation injuries: a case series” on the basis of the main idea of the manuscript.

Specific comments: Abstract: The abstract is inadequate and doesn't summarize the work described in the manuscript.

Response: Thank you for the comment. As suggested, we have revised the Abstract. Please refer to the revised abstract.

Introduction: Many sentences are difficult to understand and using inaccurate words.

Response: Thank you for the comment. We have revised the Introduction section. Please refer to the revised introduction.

Methodology Please specify the name of hospital that the study was done. Registration no of ethics approval? ‘stage III or IV extravasation injuries ‘ please explain Any inclusion and exclusion criteria?

Response: Thank you for the comment. We have implemented the suggested changes in the Study design and patients section of the revised manuscript. The name of the hospital is Xiangya Hospital of Central South University. The registration

number of ethics approval is 202001107.

Inclusion and exclusion criteria have also been mentioned “All patients who met the following diagnostic criteria for severe extravasation injuries were included in this study: 1) initial signs and symptoms, such as tingling, burning, discomfort/pain, swelling, and/or redness at the injection site; and 2) blistering, and/or skin discoloration. All these patients had Stage 3 or 4 extravasation injuries, manifesting as swelling, blisters, and skin discoloration or extravasation of blistering agents, blood products, irritating agents, or corrosive drugs in accordance with the American INS infiltration degree 4. Patients with allergy to lidocaine or ethacridine lactate were excluded from the study.”

Results The research objectives achieved by the method used in this study however, proper result presentation and legend are advised. Need more description of the results.

Response: Thank you for the comment. We have revised the Results section, including Characteristics of the patients, management and management outcomes.

Figures 1A and 2A needs to be improved: all these figures need to be replaced by new ones having an adequate quality.

Response: Thanks for the comments. According to your request, we have replaced the figures. However, some photos were taken by the family members of patients, which may not have an adequate quality. As this was a retrospective study, it's hard to obtain the new photos.

Discussion The discussion is not organized; however, the discussion is presented fairly good by the author. Limitation of the study is not mentioned.

Response: Thank you for the comment. We have included limitations in the last paragraph of the Discussion section of the revised manuscript.

References Please avoid to use citation more than 10 years old.

Response: Thanks for your comment. We have now replaced the references that were published more than 10 years ago with newer ones.

4 LANGUAGE QUALITY

Please resolve all language issues in the manuscript based on the peer review report. Please be sure to have a native-English speaker edit the manuscript for grammar, sentence structure, word usage, spelling, capitalization, punctuation, format, and general readability, so that the manuscript's language will meet our direct publishing needs.

Response: We thanks for the comments. The manuscript has now been thoroughly revised by native English-speaking editors to a high academic standard.

5 EDITORIAL OFFICE'S COMMENTS

Authors must revise the manuscript according to the Editorial Office's comments and suggestions, which are listed below:

(1) Science editor: 1 Scientific quality: The manuscript describes a retrospective study of the treatment of severe extravasation injuries with localized closure, ethacridine lactate wet dressings, and phototherapy. The topic is within the scope of the WJCC. (1) Classification: Grade C; (2) Summary of the Peer-Review Report: The authors investigated the "Treatment of severe extravasation injuries with localized closure, ethacridine lactate wet dressings, and phototherapy". However, the manuscript needs a major revision, the references should be updated. The questions raised by the reviewers should be answered; and (3) Format: There is 1 table and 1 figure. A total of 35 references are cited, including no references published in the last 3 years. There are no self-citations. 2 Language evaluation: Classification: Grade C. A language editing certificate issued by MedSci was provided. 3 Academic norms and rules: The authors provided the Biostatistics Review Certificate, the Institutional Review Board Approval Form, and written informed consent. The authors need to provide the signed Conflict-of-Interest Disclosure Form and Copyright License Agreement. No academic misconduct was found in the Bing search. 4 Supplementary comments: This is an

unsolicited manuscript. The topic has not previously been published in the WJCC. 5 Issues raised: (1) The language classification is Grade C. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>; (2) The authors did not provide original pictures. Please provide the original figure documents. Please prepare and arrange the figures using PowerPoint to ensure that all graphs or arrows or text portions can be reprocessed by the editor; (3) PMID and DOI numbers are missing in the reference list. Please provide the PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers to the reference list and list all authors of the references. Please revise throughout; and (4) The “Article Highlights” section is missing. Please add the “Article Highlights” section at the end of the main text. 6 Re-Review: Not required. 7 Recommendation: Conditional acceptance.

Response: Thank you for your comments. In addition, we have included names of all the authors in the references. An Article Highlights section has been included after the Conclusion section in the revised manuscript. Also, we have now inserted PubMed numbers and DOI citation numbers in the reference list.

(2) Editorial office director:

(3) Company editor-in-chief: I have reviewed the Peer-Review Report, the full text of the manuscript, and the relevant ethics documents, all of which have met the basic publishing requirements of the World Journal of Clinical Cases, and the manuscript is conditionally accepted. I have sent the manuscript to the author(s) for its revision according to the Peer-Review Report, Editorial Office’s comments and the Criteria for Manuscript Revision by Authors. However, the quality of the English language of the manuscript does not meet the requirements of the journal. Before final acceptance, the author(s) must provide the English Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company. Please visit the following website for the professional English language editing companies we recommend: <https://www.wjgnet.com/bpg/gerinfo/240>.

Response: Thank you for your comments. We have included the English

Language Certificate issued by a professional English language editing company
along with the revised manuscript.